Verification report form for GS4GG Programme of Activity (Gold Standard for the Global Goals)					
BASIC I	NFORMATION				
Title of the GS4GG Programme of Activity (PoA)	PoA GS ID: 11450 MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India				
Reference number of the Programmes of Activity (PoA)	GS 11450				
Version number of the verification and certification report	2.0				
Completion date of the verification and certification report	21/10/2022				
GS ID (s) of VPAs under PoA	VPA ID: GS11503 (VPA 19), GS11501 (VPA 21), GS11498 (VPA 24), GS11496 (VPA 26)				
Version number of the monitoring report to which this report applies	g				
Completion date of the monitoring report to which this report applies					
Monitoring period no. and duration	1 st 01/01/2021 - 31/12/2021				
Crediting period of the PoA corresponding to this monitoring period					
Project Representative	Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited				
Host Party	India				
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines	AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user" version 14.				
	Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 03.1.				
Activity requirements applied	 Community Services Activities Renewable Energy Activities Land Use and Forestry Activities/Risks & Capacities N/A 				

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

Product Requ	irements applied		 GHG Emissions Reduction & Sequestration Renewable Energy Label N/A 			
average GHG	emission reduction	VPA 21 - 75,22 VPA 24 - 70,89 VPA 26 - 88,2 SLS: VPA 19 - 52,79 VPA 21 - 74,4 VPA 24 - 52,53 VPA 26 - 71,2	VPA 19 - 60,397 tCO ₂ e VPA 21 - 75,228 tCO ₂ e VPA 24 - 70,890 tCO ₂ e VPA 26 - 88,227 tCO ₂ e			
Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact	Total amount o impact (as methodology) a monitoring perio Estimated	per approved chieved in this	Units/Product:		
SDG 13: Climate Action	Number of VER's	VPA19 -113,194 VPA21 -149,648 VPA24 -123,421 VPA26 -159,507	VPA19 -69,441 VPA21 -103,884 VPA24 -63,254 VPA26 -55,288	tCO₂e VERs		
SDG 1: No Poverty	households with clean energy products i.e., ICS	VPA 19 -22,600 VPA 21 -26,000 VPA 24 -22,600 VPA 26 -25,625	VPA19 -21,000 VPA21 -22,000 VPA24 -21,000 VPA26 -20,124	Number of ICS		
	households with clean energy products i.e., SLS	VPA 19 -197,033 VPA 21 -287,184 VPA 24 -189,047 VPA 26 -260,835	VPA19 - 40,164 VPA21- 136,182 VPA24 - 237 VPA26 - 175	Number of SLS		
SDG 3: Good Health and Well Being	Percentage of users reporting reduction in smoke/PM after shifting to ICS in project	VPA 19 - 100 % VPA 21 - 100 % VPA 24 - 100 % VPA 26 - 100 %	VPA19 - 82% VPA21 - 84% VPA24 - 90% VPA26 - 90%	Percentage		
SDG 5: Gender Equality	reporting time saving due to reduction in collected fuel consumption/cooking time/boiling water	VPA 19 - 100 % VPA 21 - 100 % VPA 24 - 100 % VPA 26 - 100 %	VPA19 - 82% VPA21 - 84% VPA24 - 90% VPA26 - 90%	Percentage		
SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy	Number of beneficiaries (ICS)	VPA 19 - 20,340 VPA 21 - 23,400 VPA 24 - 20,340 VPA 26 - 23,062	VPA19 - 17,220 VPA21 - 18,450 VPA24 - 18,900 VPA26 - 18,112	Number of ICS		

Version 03.0

	Number of beneficiaries (SLS)	VPA 19- 197,033 VPA 21- 287,184 VPA24- 189,047 VPA26- 260,835	VPA24- 204	Number of SLS
SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth	Total number of jobs created	VPA 19 - 20 VPA 21 - 20 VPA 24 - 20 VPA 26 - 20	VPA19- 73 VPA21- 85 VPA24- 30 VPA26- 30	Number of Jobs
Name and number of the	UNFCCC refere e VVB	nce Earthood Servi E-0066	ces Private Limited	
	on and signature r of the verificat	11 63	5	
		Managing Dire Dr. Kaviraj Sir		

SECTION A. Executive summary

The GS programme of activity "MicroEnergy Credits - Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India" (PoA GS 11450) aims to replacement of fossil fuel consumption and the resultant GHG emission with a clear and sustainable technology which will lead to reduced GHG emissions. CME archives this through dissemination of improved cookstove (ICS), Solar lighting systems (SLS) and Water Purification System (WPS) in households/facilities of rural areas in various states of India. The PoA is using carbon finance to support local partners engaged in different activities like production, distribution, and maintenance of various product technologies like ICS, SLS and WPS. The VPAs main target is on reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from the burning of non-renewable woody biomass and/or charcoal for cooking and boiling of water for drinking purpose. Improved Cookstoves (ICS) improve heat transfer efficiency as compared to the baseline conventional there stone fired stoves, and thereby reducing GHG emissions, the water purification systems also reduce the dependency of boiling water using non-renewable woody biomass, thereby reducing the GHG emissions from the burning of non-renewable woody biomass and/or charcoal for treating the water, and solar lighting systems results in fulfilment of lighting needs through a renewable source (solar energy), thus replacing the baseline scenario with the project activity will lead to reduction in GHG emissions and fulfilling the requirements of the applied methodologies TPDDTEC Version 3.1/09/ and AMS-I. A "Electricity generation by the user" version 14/10/ respectively.

Parameter	Validated information
GS ID of the VPAs to be included	GS 11503 (VPA 19), GS 11501 (VPA 21), GS11498 (VPA 24) & GS 11496 (VPA 26)
	 GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 19 – Clear Sky Partners – GS11503MicroEnergy
	 GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – VPA 21 - Clear Sky Partners – GS11501
Title of the VPAs	 GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 24 – Clear Sky Partners – GS11498
	 GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA-26- Clear Sky Partners – GS11496
	 AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user" version 14.
Methodology applied	 Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 03.1.
Crediting period	5 years, Renewable twice, total 15 years of crediting period.

The VPA's are being submitted to GS4GG for Verification are as follows:

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

The VPA aims at dissemination of improved cookstove and solar lighting system in various states of India /02/ and is being implemented by MicroEnergy Credits Corporation Private Limited's (PO) and coordinated by MicroEnergy Credits Corporation Private Limited (MEC). The VPA's aims at GHG emission reductions through displacement of fossil fuel use with improved cookstove and solar lighting systems (ICS and SLS) to meet the thermal and electric demands of facility/household. The households in rural areas of India traditionally use fossil fuels which includes charcoal, kerosene, LPG, diesel, wood, and coal intensive grid for fulfilling their energy demands. The baseline scenario under the VPA's is the replacement of traditional three stone fired cookstove with the improved cookstove thereby reducing the amount of fuelwood used for cooking purposes in the baseline. Also, the distribution of solar lighting systems replaces the kerosene-based lamps in households, which would have resulted in GHG emissions due to burning of kerosene.

The PoA has been registered under GS4GG (GSID 11450). The CME of the PoA is Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited and with the help of local partners & the VPAs Implementer Shri Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP), Evangelical Social Action Forum (ESAF), Asirvad Microfinance Ltd., Simpa Networks and Bandhan Creation Pvt. Ltd.

The Monitoring period covered under this verification is 01/01/2021 - 31/12/2021 (inclusive of both the dates). All the VPAs i.e., GS 11503 (VPA 19), GS 11501 (VPA 21), GS11498 (VPA 24) & GS 11496 (VPA 26)/02/ envisage an archived annual GHG emission reduction and other SDG impacts over the crediting period as given in the table below.

Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact	Amount Achieved	Units/ Products
13 Climate Action (mandatory)	Number of VERs	VPA19- 69,441 VPA21- 103,884 VPA24- 63,254 VPA26- 55,288	tCO2e VERs
1 End poverty in all its forms everywhere	Number of households with clean energy products	VPA19- 21,000 VPA21- 22,000 VPA24- 21,000 VPA26- 20,124	Number ICS
1 End poverty in all its forms everywhere	Number of households with clean energy products i.e. SLS	VPA19- 40,164 VPA21- 136,182 VPA24- 237 VPA26- 175	Number SLS
3 Good Health and Wellbeing	% Households confirming less smoke with the use of improved cookstove	VPA19- 82% VPA21- 84% VPA24- 90% VPA26- 90%	%
5 Gender Equality	% Household reporting time saving	VPA19- 82% VPA21- 84%	%

	on domestic work by women in collecting fuel or cooking on traditional stove		
7 Affordable and Clean Energy	Number of beneficiaries (ICS)	VPA19- 17,220 VPA21- 18,450 VPA24- 18,900 VPA26- 18,112	Number
7 Affordable and Clean Energy	Number of beneficiaries (SLS)	VPA19- 39,445 VPA21- 131,242 VPA24- 204 VPA26- 167	Number
8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Quantitative Employment and income generation	VPA19- 30 VPA21- 42 VPA24- 30 VPA26- 30	Number

Scope of Verification

The verification is an independent and objective review for determination of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions by the VVB. The verification includes the implementation and operation of the PoA as set out in the registered PoA-DD/01/ & VPA-DDs/02/ for VPA19, 21, 24 & VPA 26 in the monitoring period.

The verification tests the data and assertions set out in the monitoring report prepared for this monitoring period, and it is based on the review of the following:

- (i) The approved methodology AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user, version 14.0/10/
- (ii) The approved methodology TPDDTEC "Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumptions, Version 3.1 /09/
- (iii) The registered PoA-DD/01/ & registered VPA-DDs/02/ and monitoring plan/02/
- (iv) UNFCCC criteria referred to in the Kyoto Protocol criteria and the CDM modalities and procedures as agreed in the Bonn Agreement and the Marrakech Accords
- (v) GS4GG requirements
- (vi) The CDM Validation and Verification Standard (VVS) version 3.0/24/ and The CDM Project Standard (PS) version 3.0/23/
- (vii) Relevant decisions, guidance, and clarifications of the CMP and CDM Executive Board and any other information and references relevant to the project activity's reported emission reductions
- (viii) GS review of validation of PoA and VPAs

The verification has considered both the quantitative and qualitative aspects on stated/reported emission reductions. The monitoring report (all versions) and corresponding supporting documentation was assessed in accordance with the rules defined by UNFCCC and GS4GG, as appropriate to the PoA. The verification is not meant to provide any consulting or recommendations to the CME/others. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the monitoring activities.

Verification Process

The verification process is conducted as per internal GS4GG Requirements, which includes the following steps;

a) Contract with CME and appointment of verification team and technical review team (refer Section B.1 and B.2 of this report)

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

- b) Desk review (refer Section D.1 of this report) of Monitoring Report and corresponding ER sheet by verification team and remote audit (including sampling approach (refer Section D.4 of this report) to be applied)
- c) Onsite audit (refer Section D.2 of this report) by verification team consistent of Team Leader and all Technical Experts, as a minimum
- d) Follow up activities e.g., interviews (refer Section D.3 of this report)
- e) Reporting and closure of findings (CARs/CLs/FARs) and preparation of draft verification report (refer Section D.5 of this report)
- f) Independent technical review (refer Section B.2 of this report) of the draft verification report and final/revised documentation (e.g., Monitoring Report, corresponding ER sheet and evidences)
- g) Reporting and closure of TR comments/findings (refer Section D.5 of this report) (CARs/CLs/FARs) and final approval for the decision made (refer Section G and H of this report).
- h) Issuance of final verification report to contracted CME (or authorized representatives) and submission of request for issuance, as appropriate.

Verification Conclusion

The review of the monitoring report, supporting documentation and subsequent follow up actions have provided ESPL with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. Earthood is of the opinion that the PoA "MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India" (GS ID: 11450) meets all the GS requirements and has correctly applied the GS approved methodologies TPDDTEC Version 3.1/09/ and AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user" version 14/10/.

The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly based on the approved methodologies "TPDDTEC Version 3.1/09/ and AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user" version 14/10/ and the monitoring plan contained in the registered PoA-DD/01/ and VPA-DDs /02/.

Earthood Services Private Limited can certify that the emission reductions achieved in the monitoring period 01/01/2021 – 31/12/2021 by GS PoA "MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India" (GSID: 11450) amount to 69,441 tCO₂e for VPA 19, 103,884 tCO₂e for VPA 21, 63,254 tCO₂e for VPA 24 and 55,288 tCO₂e for VPA 26. Therefore, this is being submitted for request for issuance, as per GS4GG and UNFCCC procedures.

SECTION B. Verification team, technical reviewer and approver

No	Role		Last name	First name	Affiliation	Invo	lvem	ent ir	۱
		Type of resource			(e.g. name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)	Desk/document review	On-site inspection*	Interview(s)	Verification findings
1.	Team Leader	IR	Varshney	Divij	Central Office	Y	Ν	Y	Y
2.	Methodologic al Expert	IR	Guleria	Shifali	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.	Technical Expert (TA 1.2)	IR	Guleria	Shifali	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.	Local Expert	IR	Guleria	Shifali	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.	Trainee (Verifier)	IR	Vashisht	Sushant	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y

B.1. Verification team member

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

6.	Trainee (Verifier)	IR	Panda	Satya Ranjan	Central Office	N	Y	Y	N
	Trainee (Verifier)	IR	Yadav	Ashish	Central Office	Ν	Y	Y	Ν

*On – site interviews have been conducted for the current verification and the same has been discussed in detail in section D.2 of the report.

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the verification report

No.	Role	Type of resour ce	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer and TA expert (TA 1.2) to TR	IR	Mahala	Deepika	Central Office
2.	Approver	IR	Singh	Kaviraj	Central Office

SECTION C. Application of materiality in conducting the verification

No.	Risk that could lead to	Assessr	nent of the risk	Response to the risk
	material errors, omissions or misstatements	Risk level	Justification	in the verification plan and/or sampling plan
1.	Erroneous transfer of information from documented records (sales receipt, carbon transfer form etc.) to credit tracker platform	Low	POs contracted by CME enters the details in credit tracker platform at the time of installation. POs also conduct an internal check to verify the accuracy of data entry.	On a sampling basis, the records are checked with the information from the credit tracker platform and substantiated by questions asked during the remote surveys of end-users. The familiarity of PO representatives with the tracker platform is also checked.
2.	Erroneous consideration of technical specifications of CEPs (especially for solar CEPs)	Low	The technical specifications are provided by the manufacturer.	Technical specifications of each CEP model are checked against the document issued by the manufacturer.
3.	Observational error by monitoring survey staff of CME/CPA implementer while recording the responses of users in relation to survey parameters	Low	Other than monitoring surveys, the CEP usage status-check surveys are also conducted regularly for distributed CEP. Therefore, risk of error is low. However, if there are discrepancies, they	If the aggregated materiality threshold stays within the prescribed materiality threshold, no additional effort is required. However, if the aggregated materiality threshold is

C.1. Consideration of materiality in planning the verification

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

			-	
			are to be dealt with as	threshold, additional
			per the acceptance	samples are to be
			sampling approach.	inspected. If additional
				sampling is not able to
				reduce the materiality
				threshold to a
				reasonable level of
				assurance, the
				monitoring result by
				the CME for that
				parameter is to be
				discarded.
4.	Calculation and referencing	Low	The ER calculations	All calculations and
	errors in ER sheet		are cross-checked by	referencing will be
			using two different	checked by verification
			methods of calculation	team with respect to
			and comparing the	applicable .
			results, therefore	requirements under
1			occurrence of error is	-
			less likely. However,	
			referencing errors	DD, CPA DD etc.
1			within the ER sheet	,
			may occur.	
L			may becan	

C.2. Consideration of materiality in conducting the verification

In accordance with CDM VVS for PoAs, Version 03.0/24/ the prescribed thresholds for materiality for CDM PoAs are as under;

Type of PoA	PoAs comprising large-scale CPAs			PoAs comprising	PoAs comprising
Emission Reductions (tCO2e)/year	500,000 or more	300,001 to 499,999	300,000 or less	only small- scale CPAs	only micro- scale CPAs
Materiality Threshold (as per CDM VVS for PoAs Version 03.0)	0.5%	1.0%	2.0%	5.0%	10.0%

The applicable materiality threshold is 2.0% as PoA comprises Large-scale VPA (VPA 21 & 26)

Particulars / Monitoring Report	MR Version (Initial)	MR Version (Revised/Final)
Emission Reductions Achieved (tCO2e) in this monitoring period	VPA21- 67,798 tCO ₂ e VPA26- 55,288 tCO ₂ e	VPA21- 103,884 tCO ₂ e VPA26- 55,288 tCO ₂ e
Applicable Threshold (%) as per	2.0%	2.0%
CDM VVS for PoAs Version 03.0		

The applicable materiality threshold is 5.0% as PoA comprises Small-scale VPA (VPA 19 & 24)

Particulars / Monitoring Report	MR Version (Initial)	MR Version (Revised/Final)
	VPA19- 69,444 tCO ₂ e	VPA19- 69,441 tCO ₂ e
(tCO2e) in this monitoring period	VPA24- 63,254 tCO ₂ e	VPA24- 63,254 tCO ₂ e
Applicable Threshold (%) as per	5.0%	5.0%

CDM VVS for PoAs Version 03.0

G34	IGG	j-P	OA-	VE	K-F	OR	V

Monitor	Reportin	Number of	Sample	Type of	Impact	on ERs
ed Paramet er (Symbol / Descript ion)	g Frequenc Y	Discrete Data* (Total) Total (100%)	selected for verification Sample	error identified	ERs impact ed (Sampl e)	ERs impacted (extrapol ate for populatio n)
VPA 19 (0	GS11503)	I				
For solar	CEPs					
li	Annual	30	30	None	NA	NA
Ni,a	Annual	40,164	40,164 The aggregate number of installations was cross- checked from system generated output file of credit tracker platform	None	NA	NA
d _{i,a,v}	Annual	40,164	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
LFR _{i,v}	Annual	40,164	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
CFi,v,LFR	Annual	Calculated	It is a calculated value. Calculation was checked	None	NA	NA
Н	Annual	1 (since it is a default value sourced from applied methodology)	1 (appropriate ness and proper application of the default value was checked)	None	NA	NA
N, i,v,total	Annual	57	57 (calculation for each PO/state/ model group was	None	NA	NA



	r	Γ	L .	G	13466-F0A	-VER-FORM
			checked)			
Kerosene Usage in the Baseline	Annual	40,164	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
For impro	ved cook sto	ove				
N _{p,y}	Annual	21,000	21,000 The aggregate number of installations was cross- checked from system generated output file of credit tracker platform	None	NA	NA
P _{p,y}	Updated Every two years	90	11 (based documentary evidences were checked along with cross-check of a few during onsite audit for 11 randomly selected ICS)	None	NA	NA
U _{p,y}	Annual	100	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
VPA 21 (G	S11501)					
For solar	CEPs					
li	Annual	34	34	None	NA	NA
Ni,a	Annual	136,182	136,182 The aggregate number of installations was cross- checked from system generated output file of credit tracker platform	None	NA	NA
d _{i,a,v}	Annual		11 (based on	None	NA	NA

		1				
		136,182	acceptance sampling)			
LFR _{i,v}	Annual	136,182	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
CFi,v,LFR	Annual	Calculated	It is a calculated value. Calculation was checked	None	NA	NA
Н	Annual	1 (since it is a default value sourced from applied methodology)	1 (appropriate ness and proper application of the default value was checked)	None	NA	NA
N,i,v,total	Annual	105	105 (calculation for each PO/state/ model group was checked)	None	NA	NA
Kerosene Usage in the Baseline	Annual	136,182	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
For impro	ved cook st	ove				
N _{P,y}	Annual	22,000	22,000 The aggregate number of installations was cross- checked from system generated output file of credit tracker platform	None	NA	NA
Р _{р,у}	Updated Every two years	90	11 (based documentary evidences were checked along with cross-check of a few during onsite audit for 11 randomly selected	None	NA	NA

VPA 24 (GS For solar CE li / Ni,a / di,a,v /			ICS) 11			
VPA 24 (GS For solar CE li Ni,a di,a,v			11			
For solar CE li Ni,a di,a,v	Annual	129	(based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
li A Ni,a A di,a,v A	511498)					
N _{i,a}	EPs					
di,a,v A	Annual	15	15	None	NA	NA
	Annual	237	237 The aggregate number of installations was cross- checked from system generated output file of credit tracker platform	None	NA	NA
IFR:	Annual	237	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
	Annual	237	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
CF _{i,v,lfr}	Annual	Calculated	It is a calculated value. Calculation was checked	None	NA	NA
H A	Annual	1 (since it is a default value sourced from applied methodology)	1 (appropriate ness and proper application of the default value was checked)	None	NA	NA
N,i,v,total A	Annual	15	15 (calculation for each PO/state/ model group was checked)	None	NA	NA
Kerosene Usage in the Baseline	Annual	237	11 (based on acceptance	None	NA	NA

GS4GG-	PoA-VE	R-FORM
--------	--------	--------

				6	13400-FUA	
N _{p,y}	Annual	21,000	21,000 The aggregate number of installations was cross- checked from system generated output file of credit tracker platform	None	NA	NA
Р _{р,у}	Updated Every two years	90	11 (based documentary evidences were checked along with cross-check of a few during onsite audit for 11 randomly selected ICS)	None	NA	NA
U _{p,y}	Annual	100	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
-	GS11496)					
For solar	CEPs	1	1	I	1	
li	Annual	14	14	None	NA	NA
N _{i,a}	Annual	175	175 The aggregate number of installations was cross- checked from system generated output file of credit tracker platform	None	NA	NA
d _{i,a,v}	Annual	175	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
LFR _{i,v}	Annual	175	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
CF _{i,v,LFR}	Annual	Calculated	It is a calculated	None	NA	NA

				-		
			value. Calculation was checked			
Н	Annual	1 (since it is a default value sourced from applied methodology)	1 (appropriate ness and proper application of the default value was checked)	None	NA	NA
N,i,v,total	Annual	14	14 (calculation for each PO/state/ model group was checked)	None	NA	NA
Kerosene Usage in the Baseline	Annual	175	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA
For impro	ved cook ste	ove				
N _{p,y}	Annual	20,124	20,124 The aggregate number of installations was cross- checked from system generated output file of credit tracker platform	None	NA	NA
Р _{р,у}	Updated Every two years	90	11 (based documentary evidences were checked along with cross-check of a few during onsite audit for 11 randomly selected ICS)	None	NA	NA
U _{p,y}	Annual	100	11 (based on acceptance sampling)	None	NA	NA

SECTION D. Means of verification

D.1. Desk/document review

The verification of the information of the PoA was performed through the document review including review of monitoring report /40/ version 3.0 dated 17/10/2022. Additionally, cross checks were performed for information provided in the monitoring report using other source of information, the verification team's sectoral or local expertise and, if necessary, independent background investigations.

The desk review involves:

- A review of the data and information presented to verify their completeness.
- A review of the monitoring plan, the monitoring methodologies including applicable tool(s) and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline, paying attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures.
- A review of calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reductions.
- An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions.

The list of documents reviewed during the verification is provided under appendix 3 of this report.

	Duration of on-site inspection: 19/09/2022 to 24/09/2022							
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member				
1.	Physical site visit: Households visited (implementation of PoA)	Karnataka	19/09/2022 to 24/09/2022	Shifali Guleria, Satya Ranjan panda and Ashish Yadav				
2.	Review of information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters	Karnataka	19/09/2022 to 24/09/2022	Shifali Guleria, Satya Ranjan panda and Ashish Yadav				
3.	Cross check between information provided in the monitoring report and data from other sources such as plant logbooks, inventories, purchase records or similar data sources;	Karnataka	19/09/2022 to 24/09/2022	Shifali Guleria, Satya Ranjan panda and Ashish Yadav				
4.	A check of the monitoring equipment including calibration performance and observations of monitoring practices against the applicable requirements	Karnataka	19/09/2022 to 24/09/2022	Shifali Guleria, Satya Ranjan panda and Ashish Yadav				
5.	Identification of quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters	Karnataka	19/09/2022 to 24/09/2022	Shifali Guleria, Satya Ranjan panda and Ashish Yadav				

D.2. On-site inspection

D.3. Interviews

D.3.1. Interviews with CME and VPA Implementers

No. Interviewee Date Subject Team

	GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM							
	Last name	First name	Affiliation				membe	er
1	Parmar	Dilkhush	Sr. Carbon Technical officer – MEC India	20/09/20 22	VPA DD description Additionalit Baseline identificatio Project bo Ex-ante a post param	Ey, on, oundary, nd Ex-	Divij V Shifali and Vashish	arshney, Guleria Sushant t
2	Kumarswa my	С.К.	MEC India	20/09/20 22	application monitoring	Methodology application, monitoring plan, sampling method,		arshney, Guleria Sushant t
3	Sadashivan	Ashok	MEC India	20/09/20 22	application monitoring sampling	Methodology application, monitoring plan, sampling method, ER calculations		arshney, Guleria Sushant t
	End- User for \			21/00/20		D · ·		D .
1	-	Jayamma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
2	-	Sudhamm a	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
3	-	Boramma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
4	-	Obakka	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
5	-	Thippakka	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
6	-	Thippam ma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
7	-	Nallajaruv akka	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
8	-	Nethram ma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
9	-	Prabhavat i	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
10	-	Karpoora mma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
11	-	Sithamm ma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Panda	Ranjan
ICS I	End- User for V	VPA 21						
1	-	Bharthi	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish	Yadav
2	-	Renuka	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish	Yadav
3	-	Puttamma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish	Yadav
4	-	Mahadeva mma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish	Yadav
5	-	Chandram ma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish	Yadav
6	-	Chandara kala	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish	Yadav
7	-	Pavithra	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Ashish	Yadav

						00400	FUA-VER-FURIN
				22	Survey		
8	-	Devamma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
9	-	Rathnam ma	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
10	-	Asha	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
11	M.R.	Sarala	End User	21/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
ICS I	End- User for	VPA 24	1	1	,		
1	-	Pavithra	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
2	-	Thimmavv a	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
3	-	Gowramm a	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
4	-	Shashikal a	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
5	-	Vijayamm a	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
6	-	Pruthvi	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
7	-	Rathnam ma	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
8	-	Parvatha mma	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
9	-	Bhagyam ma	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
10	-	Doddamm a	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
11	-	Jayamma	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Satya Ranjan Panda
ICS I	End- User for	VPA 26	•	•			
1	G.	Kavya	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
2	-	Shobha	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
3	-	Manjula	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
4	-	Gowramm a	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
5	-	Mahadeva mma	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
6	-	Sundram ma	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
7	-	Vasantam ma	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
8	-	Kamala	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
9	-	Hemavath i	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
10	-	Lakshma mma	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
11	-	Renuka	End User	22/09/20 22	VVB Survey	Project	Ashish Yadav
	End- User for						
1	-	Buddamm	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya Ranjan

						63466-	POA-VER	
		а		22	Survey		Panda	
2	-	Shobha	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
				22	Survey	· · · j ·	Panda	
3	-	Sumitra	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
5		Summera		22	Survey	riojecc	Panda	Ranjan
4	_	Yashodam	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
•		ma		22	Survey	rioject	Panda	Ranjan
5	_	Manjamm	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
5		a		22	Survey	rioject	Panda	Ranjan
6	-	Shaheena	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
0		Bhanu		22	Survey	Hojeet	Panda	Ranjan
7	_	S Devika	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
'		5 Devika		22	Survey	Hojeet	Panda	Ranjan
8	-	Fakkira	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
0		Banu	Life Oser	22	Survey	rioject	Panda	Kanjan
9	-	Khurshid	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
9	-	Unnisa	Lifu User	22	Survey	FIOJECI	Panda	Kanjan
10	-	Sabiya	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
10	-	Banu	Lifu User	22	Survey	FIOJECI	Panda	Kanjan
11	-	Muktatah	End User	21/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
тт	-	ara	Lifu User	21/09/20	Survey	FIUJECL	Panda	Kanjan
CIC	L End- User for			22	Survey		Fallua	
<u> </u>	The oser for		Endlloor	24/09/20	VVB	Droject	Cuchant	
T	-	Nitha K	End User	24/09/20		Project	Sushant Vashisht	
ſ			Endlloor		Survey	Droject		
2	-	M K	End User	24/09/20	VVB	Project	Sushant	
2		Ramani	E 1.11	22	Survey	.	Vashish	
3	-	Sujatha P	End User	24/09/20	VVB	Project	Sushant	
		R		22	Survey	<u> </u>	Vashisht	
4	-	<u> </u>	End User	24/09/20	VVB	Project	Sushant	
		Girija		22	Survey		Vashisht	
5	-		End User	24/09/20	VVB	Project	Sushant	
_		Sruthi K		22	Survey		Vashisht	
6	-	Jothy	End User	24/09/20	VVB	Project	Sushant	
		Lakshmi		22	Survey		Vashisht	
7	-		End User	24/09/20	VVB	Project	Sushant	
_		Omana		22	Survey		Vashisht	
8	-		End User	24/09/20	VVB	Project	Sushant	
		Latha		22	Survey		Vashisht	
9	-		End User	24/09/20	VVB	Project	Sushant	
		Sherly	-	22	Survey		Vashisht	
10	-		End User	24/09/20	VVB	Project	Sushant	
		Suson		22	Survey		Vashisht	
11	-	Salma	End User	24/09/20	VVB	Project	Sushant	
		Jomon		22	Survey		Vashisht	-
SLS	End- User for		1		1		r	
1	-	Puttalaksh	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
	ļ	mi		22	Survey		Panda	
2	-	Gurumoor	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
		thi		22	Survey		Panda	
3	-	Manjunat	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
		ha		22	Survey		Panda	
4	-	S S	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
		Kumarasw		22	Survey		Panda	
		amy						
5	-	Neelavath	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
		i		22	Survey	-	Panda	-
6	-	Kumara	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project		Ranjan

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

						63466-		
				22	Survey		Panda	
7	-	Shivamm	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
		а		22	Survey	5	, Panda	5
8	-	Ravi	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
_		Kumar		22	Survey	-]	Panda	- J -
9	-	Nagarathn	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
		a		22	Survey	5	, Panda	5
10	Setty	A H	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
		Gangadhr		22	Survey	2	Panda	2
		ara			-			
11	-	Shivakum	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Satya	Ranjan
		ar		22	Survey	2	Panda	
SLS	End- User for	VPA 26	•		• •		•	
1	-	Renuka	End User	19/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
				22	Survey	2	Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	5
2	-	Kalavathi	End User	19/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
				22	Survey	-	Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	-
3	-	Bhagya	End User	19/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
				22	Survey	_	Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	-
4	-	Poornima	End User	19/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
				22	Survey		Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	
5	-	Manjulam	End User	19/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
		ma		22	Survey		Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	
6	-	Saroja	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
		Bayi		22	Survey		Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	
7	-	Kalavathi	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
				22	Survey		Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	
8	-	Yashodam	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
		ma		22	Survey		Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	
9	-	Renuka	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
				22	Survey		Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	
10	-	Ruhana	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
		Bhanu		22	Survey		Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	
11	-	Kala K	End User	20/09/20	VVB	Project	Shifali	Guleria,
				22	Survey		Satya	Ranjan
							Panda	

Type of questions asked by VVB to VPA Implementers:

Following questions are asked by the end-users for the verification of samples:

No.	Questions asked by Team member for SLS monitoring survey			
1.	Name of the end-user			
2.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode)			

3.	Branch, District, State
4.	What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product.
5.	What is the Installation Date?
6.	What is the Unique ID of CEP?
7.	Total Quantity of each product type you have?
8.	Is your product in use/ operational?
9.	How many hours do you use the solar lighting system per day
10.	Is device using electricity/energy to operate?
11.	What was the baseline device in use?
12.	Lumen output and wattage
13.	How many lamps did you receive?
14.	How many lamps are operational?
15.	Does the HH include distributed Cookstove and Purifier?
16.	Is your sampled HH also surveyed by PP?
No.	Questions asked by Team member for ICS monitoring survey
1.	Name of the end-user
1. 2.	Name of the end-user Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode)
-	
2.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode)
2. 3.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State
2. 3. 4.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product.
2. 3. 4. 5.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product. What is the Installation Date?
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product. What is the Installation Date? What is the Unique ID of CEP?
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product. What is the Installation Date? What is the Installation Date? Total Quantity of each product type you have?
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product. What is the Installation Date? What is the Installation Date? Total Quantity of each product type you have? Is your product in use/ operational?
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product. What is the Installation Date? What is the Installation Date? What is the Unique ID of CEP? Total Quantity of each product type you have? Is your product in use/ operational? Is device using electricity/energy to operate? Is the baseline stove still in use?
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product. What is the Installation Date? What is the Installation Date? What is the Unique ID of CEP? Total Quantity of each product type you have? Is your product in use/ operational? Is device using electricity/energy to operate? Is the baseline stove still in use?
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product. What is the Installation Date? What is the Installation Date? What is the Unique ID of CEP? Total Quantity of each product type you have? Is your product in use/ operational? Is device using electricity/energy to operate? Is the baseline stove still in use? Quantity of wood use in baseline stove?
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product. What is the Installation Date? What is the Installation Date? What is the Unique ID of CEP? Total Quantity of each product type you have? Is your product in use/ operational? Is device using electricity/energy to operate? Is the baseline stove still in use? Quantity of wood use in baseline stove? Is there any smoke reduction after using the project stove?
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode) Branch, District, State What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product. What is the Installation Date? What is the Installation Date? What is the Unique ID of CEP? Total Quantity of each product type you have? Is your product in use/ operational? Is device using electricity/energy to operate? Is the baseline stove still in use? Quantity of wood use in baseline stove? Is there any smoke reduction after using the project stove? Are you spending lesser time in collecting wood since using the project device?

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

All the end-users reported that the product is working satisfactorily, and they feel that there has been an improvement in the indoor air quality in case of ICS. All the end users also reported that they are aware of the grievance mechanism. While no adverse or negative responses were received regards the usage or convenience of use of stove, some responded gave suggestions like having the project.

D.4. Sampling approach

CME's sampling approach

Solar Lighting System

For the purpose of sampling CME has followed the CDM guidelines for Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities version 4.0/26/ which is in line with the revised accepted PoA DD/01/. The CME has applied simple random sampling at the VPA level for different monitoring parameters as per PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/. 90/10 confidence precision was applied by CME in the sampling, which is appropriate since they are doing an annual survey. The basis of selected samples by the CME is elaborated in the subsequent sections viz., E.6.5.

Improved Cookstove

The number of samples/ households that CME undertook while performing user habit surveys and project Monitoring Survey are as follows:

	VPA 19	VPA 21	VPA 24	VPA 26
Habit Survey**	100	129	100	100
Project KPT	90	90	90	90
Survey**				

The basis for selecting the above samples by the CME is elaborated in the subsequent sections viz., E.5.5

**: Estimated as per the methodology TPDDTEC v3.1/09/ requirement if the user >1000 then 100 is needed for Habit Survey.

For project survey the estimated samples came to 90 to satisfy 90/10 precision level of less than 10%.

VVB's sampling plan:

In order to meet the requirements of Standard for Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities /25/, the verification team applied acceptance sampling in the verification (in accordance with para 28). The verification team selected random samples of CME's sampled records, checked the acceptability (or otherwise) of the data for each such record with CME's sample records, and then based on the number of records where there is an agreement, determined if the CME's sample records meet the requirements.

The verification team determined the sample size for acceptance sampling by evaluating the following, using its own professional judgment and guidance in the Standard 'Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities' /25/:

- The proportion of discrepancies between the CME's data and verification team's (field or onsite inspection results) data that can be considered acceptable. This is referred to as the AQL (Acceptable Quality Level): 0.5% was considered in this verification.
- The proportion of discrepancies between the CME's data and verification team's (field or onsite inspection results) data that would be considered unacceptable. This is the UQL (Unacceptable Quality Level): 20% was considered in this verification.
- The producer risk: 10% was considered.
- The consumer risk: 10% was considered.

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

Considering the above input values, a sample size of 11 was required as per Table (Sample size and acceptance number based on AQL, UQL, and producer and consumer risks) in the referred Standard /25/. Accordingly, the acceptance number (c) thus determined for the sample size is 0. A sample size of 11 meets the criteria. The samples to be surveyed by assessment team were randomly selected from the list of monitored samples using the random sample generator on Microsoft excel. The audit plan and list of samples thus obtained to be surveyed by assessment team was communicated to CME via email.

The current verification is for VPA 19 (GS11503), VPA 21 (GS11501), VPA 24 (GS11498) and VPA 26 (GS11496). In this monitoring period, following was observed:

GS Ref. VPA	Measure/Technology	Unique CEPs at the end of previous MP	Unique CEPs at the end of current MP	Incremental CEPs distribution?	Fresh/New Monitoring by CME in the MP?
GS11503	Improved cookstove	21,000	21,000	No	Yes
	Solar Lighting system	40,164	40,164	No	Yes
GS11501	Improved cookstove	22,000	22,000	No	Yes
	Solar Lighting system	136,182	136,182	No	Yes
GS11498	Improved cookstove	21,000	21,000	No	Yes
	Solar Lighting system	237	237	No	Yes
GS11496	Improved cookstove	20,124	20,124	No	Yes
	Solar Lighting system	175	175	No	Yes

Accordingly, the verification team together has verified 88 samples collectively (11 samples for each technology distributed under each VPA) during the on - site survey and observed that the sampling survey results of the CME for all the CEPs checked were found to be consistent with VVB's survey results. The sampling method used is in line with Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities /25/ and Guideline: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities /26/. In all, the verification team conducted onsite surveys for 88 households.

D.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

Area of verification findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
General			
Compliance of the monitoring report with the			-
GS4GG monitoring report form	-		-
Remaining forward action requests from validation	-	-	-
and/or previous verifications			
VPAs considered for verification and covered under	-		-
this report			
Programme of activities	-	-	-
Compliance of the programme implementation with	-	-	-
the registered PoA-DD			
Implementation and operation of the management	-	-	-
system			
VPA Implementation	-	-	-
Compliance of the VPA implementation with the	-	CAR#02	-
included VPA design document			
Post-design certification changes	-	-	-
Compliance of the monitoring activities with	-	-	-
the registered monitoring plan			
Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of	-	-	-
crediting period			
		<u> </u>	1

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

			-
Data and parameters monitored	CL#01	CAR#01	-
		CAR#03	
Comparison of monitored parameters with last	-	-	-
monitoring period			
Implementation of the sampling plan	-	CAR#04	-
Assessment of data and calculations of net	CL#02	-	-
emission reductions or removals			
Calculations of baseline value of each SDG Impact	-	CAR#05	-
Calculations of project value of each SDG Impact	-	-	-
Calculations of leakage GHG emissions	-	-	-
Calculations of net benefits for each SDG Impact	-	-	-
Comparison of actual GHG ER value achieved	-	CAR#06	-
during this monitoring period with estimated value			
Safeguarding principles	-	-	-
Stakeholder Inputs and Legal Disputes	-	-	-
Continuous input and grievance mechanism	-	-	-
Internal quality control	-	-	-
Verification opinion	-	-	-
Total	02	07	00
	•	•	•

SECTION E. Verification findings

E.1. Compliance of the monitoring report with the GS4GG monitoring report form

Means of verification	The monitoring report form used is GS4GG Monitoring report template version $1.1 / 04/$, which is a valid version available at the time of verification. All the sections of the aforesaid form were filled as per the Monitoring report template guide version $1.1 / 04/$ and all the relevant details were provided in the form.
Findings	No findings were raised.
Conclusion	The monitoring report version 2. /40/ has been found to be completed using the valid version of the monitoring report form. The information provided in the monitoring report has been assessed in accordance with the GS4GG principles & requirements version 1.2/27/ and monitoring report template guide /04/.

E.2. Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications

This is the first verification of VPAs (VPA 19,21,24,26) under GS. The validation and verification of the VPA is submitted simultaneously for GS design and performance review. Any FAR's raised will be reflected in the next verification

E.3. VPAs considered for verification and covered under this report

Title and GS reference number of the VPA included in the PoA as of the end of this monitoring period	Is the VPA considered for this verification? (yes/no)	Version of the VPA- DD/ PoA-DD
GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 19 – Clear Sky Partners – GS11503	Yes	Version 4.1/ Version 2.1
GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy	Yes	Version 4.1/ Version

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

Credits PoA - VPA 21 - Clear Sky Partners - GS11501		2.1
GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 24 – Clear Sky Partners – GS11498	Yes	Version 3.1/ Version 2.1
GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA-26- Clear Sky Partners – GS11496	Yes	Version 4.0/ Version 2.1

E.4. Programme of Activities

E.4.1. Compliance of the programme implementation with the registered PoA-DD

Means of verification	The PoA involves the promotion, distribution and sale of improved cook stoves (ICS), Solar lighting systems and water purifiers in India. CME has implemented the VPA through coordination with the partner organizations (POs) and further with local/channel sellers/distributors. The overall responsibility of implementation and operation is with CME (MEC), which was evident from the interviews conducted with CME. This is consistent with PoA DD /01/. The current verification considers 04 VPA (VPA 19 - MicroEnergy Credits PoA - CPA 19 - Clear Sky Partners, VPA 21 - MicroEnergy Credits PoA - CPA 21 - Clear Sky Partners, VPA 24 - MicroEnergy Credits PoA - CPA 24 - Clear Sky Partners, VPA 26 - MicroEnergy Credits PoA - CPA 26 - Clear Sky Partners) that was put together by CME. The implementation of the VPA's, as referenced above, is within the geographical boundary of the PoA-DD/01/, which constitutes the physical boundary as well. The type of CEP (Clean Energy Product) models deployed under the VPAs is verified by the following: VPA 19 - GS11503:			
	Type of CEP	Model	PO/ Implementer	
	Improved Cookstove	Grameen Greenway Jumbo Stove (GJS)	SKDRDP	
	Solar lighting system	PLT3F1HLS PLT3F1HLS PLT6HLS CL2LT2HLS PL2LT6F1HLS PLT4HLS CL1LT1F1HLS SKDLT3 PL1LT3HLS CL1LT2HLS CL1LT1HLS CL2HLS CL2HLS CL3LT1HLS2 PL1LT3F1HLS CLT2F1HLS	SKDRDP, ESAF and Asirvad	

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

PL1LT3F1HLS2	
CLT2HLS	
CL3LT1HLS	
PL1LT5HLS	
CLT1HLS	
PL1LT3F2HLS	
PL2LT8F2HLS	
SB2HLS	
Glosolar Mini HLS	
Jugnu Lightbox L2005 Greenlight Planet Boom (Sunking Boom) Greenlight Planet Pro-X (Sunking Pro-X)	
RAL Duron Mitva MS-16C	
RAL Duron Mitva MST 952A Greenlight Planet Home Lighting System (Sunking HLS)	
Greenlight Planet Pico Plus (Sunking Pico Plus)	

VPA 21 - GS11501:

Type of CEP	Model	PO/ Implementer
Improved	Grameen Greenway Jumbo	SKDRDP
Cookstove	Stove (GJS)	
Solar	Power 80	Simpa, ESAF, Bandhan
lighting system	SK-1510	and Asirvad
System	SK-1520	
	SK-1530	
	SP 315	
	SP Breeze	
	SP Inverter 200	
	SP100	
	SP200	
	Spark Pro	
	Spark Pro Breeze	
	Spark Pro Ujala	
	Sunverter 1530	
	Ujala 2.0	
	Ujala Breeze	
	SK 1540	
	SK Mini	
	SP 50	
	Magic TV	
	Greenlight Planet Pro200 (Sunking Pro200)	
	Greenlight Planet Pico Plus	

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

(Sunking Pico Plus)	
RAL Duron Mitva MS16C	
RAL Duron Mitva MST952A Greenlight Planet Boom (Sunking Boom) Greenlight Planet Home Lighting System (Sunking HLS) Greenlight Planet Pro 400 (Sunking Pro 400) Greenlight Planet Home Lighting System 120 (Sunking HLS120) Greenlight Planet Pro-2 (Sunking Pro-2) Greenlight Planet Home	
Lighting System 120 Plus (Sunking HLS120 Plus)	

VPA 24 - GS11498:

Type of CEP	Model		PO/ Implementer
Improved Cookstove	Grameen Greenway Stove (GJS)	Jumbo	SKDRDP
Cookstove Solar lighting system	Stove (GJS) CL1LT2HLS CL1LT1HLS CL2HLS CL2LT2HLS CL3LT1HLS SKDLT3 PL1LT5HLS CLT2F1HLS CLT2HLS PL1LT3F1HLS PL1LT3F1HLS CL2LT2HLS2 PL1LT4HLS PL2LT4HLS		SKDRDP
	PLT4F1HLS		

VPA 26 - GS11496:

Type of CEP	Model		PO/ Implementer
Improved Cookstove	Grameen Greenway Stove (GJS)	Jumbo	SKDRDP
Solar lighting system	CL1LT2HLS CL1LT1HLS CL2HLS CL2LT2HLS CLT1HLS CLT2HLS		SKDRDP

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

PL1LT3HLS	
SKDLT3	
PL1LT5HLS	
CLT2F1HLS	
CL2LT2HLS2	
PL1LT4HLS	
PL2LT4HLS	
PLT4F1HLS	

The Improved Cook stove model implemented under the PoA include Grameen Greenway Smart Stove (GSSV3) and Grameen Greenway Jumbo Stove (GJS), among other models. These ICS are high efficiency cook stoves designed as an eco-friendly and modern replacement for traditional mud & stone stoves and delivers convenient cooking without any requirement of fuel processing or change in cooking habits thus solving the health, environment and fuel collection effort required for operating traditional stoves.

Solar lighting systems implemented under the PoA are renewable energy based LED/CFL lighting systems. Through the introduction of LED/CFL-based lighting systems the project activity is replacing portable fossil fuel based lamps.

Water purification system disseminated under the PoA include various models. The water purifiers remove harmful virus, bacteria, parasites, pesticides and physical impurities, giving the water which is as safe as boiled water. The water purification systems disseminated in this PoA do not require electricity or continuous tap water and hence, there is no plumbing required. However, it is to be noted that no water purification systems are disseminated under verifying VPAs.

Technical specification of each type of CEP models are verified with the details provided by respective CEP suppliers /20/, /21/ and found to be consistently reported in the monitoring report.

As per the PoA DD/1/ maximum 2 types of CEP shall be deployed under any VPA in any combination except ICS and Water Purifier being together. The numbers of CEPs deployed under the VPA has been confirmed by the monitoring database i.e. Credit Tracker Platform /46/.

The verification team has confirmed that the number of CEPs deployed under the VPA and the actual thermal energy savings/year (for type II) and installed capacity (for type I) were found as follows:

VPA title and GS ID	Technology	Savings/Capacity/Emission Reduction
MicroEnergy Credits	ICS	145.43 GWh
PoA – CPA 19 –	Solar Lighting	0.28 MW
Clear Sky Partners	system	
– GS11503		
MicroEnergy Credits	ICS	155.73 GWh
PoA – VPA 21 -	Solar Lighting	0.25 MW
Clear Sky Partners	system	
– GS11501	,	
MicroEnergy Credits	ICS	146.12 GWh

hood		GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM
PoA – CPA 24 – Clear Sky Partners	Solar Lighting system	0.003 MW
- GS11498 MicroEnergy Credits PoA - CPA-26- Clear Sky Partners	ICS Solar Lighting system	127.67 GWh 0.002 MW
 and target group of th DDs/2/. Further, base physical observations monitoring period: The VPA(s) are described in the The CME is sam The implementa conducted in a PoA-DD/1/ and All physical feat are in place. The project par as per the inclu The verification team households. It was of household identification each CEP, personal infficience CEP was cross checked with Credit Tracker Plac CEPs was confirmed to (of CEPs). The house identity of the end us usage of baseline tech 	the CEPs is consistent and on the review of a from on-site v a implemented with a PoA-DD/1/. The as that mentioned ation and operation accordance with the VPA-DDs/2/. Tures of the VPA pre- rticipants/VPA imple ided VPA-DDs. The vector of the vector has conducted supple observed that each on number. The up formation of CEP ow d with the MIS syster atform available with through remote supple ser, operational states nologies, among ot the being claimed duties ted emission reductions of the sector ted emission reductions of the sector attack of the sect	uring this monitoring period are tions in the VPA-DDs, as given ted CERs in the VPA-DDs for the
MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 19 – Clear Sky Partners – GS11503	VPA 19 - 113,194	VPA19 - 69,441
MicroEnergy Credits PoA – VPA 21 - Clear Sky Partners – GS11501	VPA 21 - 149,648	VPA21 – 103,884
MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 24 – Clear Sky	VPA 24 - 123,421	

VPA 26 - 159,507

MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA-26- Clear Sky

Partners -GS11498

VPA24 - 63,254

VPA26 - 55,288



GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

	Partners – GS11496			
	The actual distribution of solar lighting systems and improved cookstoves for VPA are less than the maximum quantity estimated in the VPA-DDs for corresponding year of CEP distributions. The VPA-DDs also mentions that the Type 1 SSC threshold of 15 MWe will not be exceeded and Type II threshold of 180 GWhth for VPA 19 & 24 will not exceeded and the scale of VPA is small scale and for VPA 21 & 26 is crossed and the scale of VPA is small scale. The information (including data and variables) provided in the MR is found to be in line with the description provided in the PoA-DD/1/.			
	The verification team considers the programme description as contained in the PoA-DD/1/ is complete and accurate. The PoA-DD/1/ complies with the applied methodologies, tools, and forms. The monitoring report was compared and verified against the description provided in the PoA-DD/1/ and found to be correct.			
	beneficiaries by the f logbook/38/ which is	anism involves recording ield staffs to the househol s maintained at the regis priod, no grievances was re	d on a regular basis in a stered office. During the	
Findings	No Findings were raised.			
Conclusion	project equipment, ar were in place and accordance with the during the current	can confirm that all physind and monitoring and metering that the CME operated registered VPA-DDs/2/ and monitoring period and ba on-site audit and interviews	g equipment) of the VPAs the project activity in I VPA-Inclusion Report/3/ ased on the information	

E.4.2. Implementation and operation of the management system

Means of verification	Based on the interview of CME representatives, representatives of different POs (VPA implementer's) and monitoring team, it is confirmed that the CME has organized an appropriate management and operational system for monitoring and reporting.	
	The CME co-ordinates with respective POs to establish a marketing and lending program for CEPs. POs staff, local distributors, technicians, and other service providers involved in marketing of CEPs to concerned households. The monitoring plan and procedures to identify each CEP sold have been followed by POs.	
	MEC (Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited) is CME for the PoA and responsible for inclusion of VPAs in the PoA. The Carbon Operation Manager of MEC is responsible for completion of inclusion process.	
	The Carbon Operation Manager directly reports to CEO of CME and gets the carbon expert assistance during the VPA inclusion process, if required.	
	The information about the type of CEP installed under each VPA is stored	

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

in Credit Tracker Platform/46/ that is maintained by MEC (CME).

The Credit Tracker Platform/46/ records the unique identification number, location, installation date, and usage status of each clean energy product (CEP) in each VPA, helps to identify, locate and verify any or all of the CEP installations in particular VPA. CME has provided the tracker output file/46/ that is used to ensure that unique identification of CEPs can be tracked. This file has been verified to also ensure that no household receives more than 1 solar lighting system.

The Carbon Operation Manager at the CME is responsible for QA/QC of the data, analysis, and reporting into the monitoring report. For survey data, a monitoring team has been organized by the CME consisting of trained monitoring staff, who conducted the surveys/ field tests and KPTs. The staff was interviewed, and training records/34/,/34.1/ were checked to ensure that they were trained for conducting the surveys/ field tests. The monitoring manager at the CME is responsible for QA/QC of the data, analysis, and reporting into the monitoring report.

In line with the registered monitoring plan, CME conducts an annual survey to ascertain the status of equipment and classify them as installed active, installed damaged and installed inactive. This process is to initiate a repair/post-sales service. All the products which were found to be damaged or inactive are discounted from emission reduction calculation as verified from emission reduction spreadsheet/5/6/7/8/. There are no CEPs with installed inactive status in the database for the VPA included in batch requesting issuance.

VPA Implementer/PO field staff annually visit households included in the database to cross-check the information on the database with the factual evidence in the field. Any inconsistencies found (e.g., change in the address of a user) are updated on the database, and in the case, CEPs are found to be no longer in use, they will be clearly marked as such and excluded from emission reduction calculations.

Original copies of sales receipts/22/, completed survey forms/41/ and carbon title transfer forms/13/ are retained by the respective POs/VPA implementers. The organizational structure and roles and responsibilities for monitoring were in line with the information provided in the VPA-DDs/02/, which was confirmed through interviewing PD representatives and the situation on the ground as observed during the onsite visit conducted during current monitoring period, and the structure was considered appropriate.

The CEP users sign a title transfer/13/ with the PO while purchasing the product. The title transfer affirms the legal rights of the carbon credits generated by the CEP to the POs. The verification team cross-checked that that carbon title forms/13/ were duly signed by the end-users. Further, a signed contractual agreement between the PO and the CME/39/ guides the transfer of the emission reduction rights to the CME. It has been checked and verified from sample carbon title transfer forms/13/ and agreement between POs and CME/39/ that for the VPA's covered in current verification, the carbon credits generated from the CPA belong to the POs and are later transferred to the CME (MEC). The verification team confirms that the process pertaining to the transfer of emission reduction rights to CME is valid and appropriate for the VPA GS 11503 (VPA 19), GS 11501 (VPA 21), GS11498 (VPA 24) & GS 11496 (VPA 26) requesting issuance.

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

Findings	No Finding were raised.
Conclusion	The verification team assessed the management systems in place to implement the monitoring of the PoA. This included the roles and responsibilities, data collection, transfer and aggregation procedures, data storage and archiving for the monitoring system. The roles and responsibilities data collection transfer and aggregation procedures, data storage and archiving for the monitoring system have been provided in the MR /40/. The verification team confirms that the monitoring management system of the VPA and by extension PoA is in place with the responsibilities properly identified and established as per the PoA-
	DD/01/.

E.4.3. Post-design certification changes

Temporary deviations from the approved Monitoring & Reporting E.4.3.1. Plan, methodology or standardized baseline

Not Applicable

E.4.3.2. Corrections

Not Applicable

E.4.3.3. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

Not Applicable

E.4.3.4. Permanent changes from the Design Certified monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline

Not Applicable

E.4.3.5. Changes to the programme design

Not Applicable

E.4.3.6. Addition of CPA inclusion template

Not Applicable

E.4.3.7. Change of coordination/managing entity

Not Applicable

Change specific to afforestation and reforestation activities E.4.3.8.

Not Applicable

E.5. Voluntary project activity

E.5.1. Compliance of the VPA implementation with the included VPA design document

Means of verification	The reporting for this issuance has been done technology-wise, thus section E.5 shall be dealing with distribution of ICS and its compliance with PoA-DD/01/ and applicable standard.
	VPA's - GS 11503 (VPA 19), GS 11501 (VPA 21), GS11498 (VPA 24) & GS 11496 (VPA 26) described in this section target the promotion, distribution and sale of ICS (Improved Cook Stoves) i.e., Greenway Jumbo Stoves (GJS) and Greenway Smart Stove (GSSV3). According to

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

a third-party lab assessment/47/, this cookstove has a thermal efficiency of 31.17% and 25.19% respectively/47/.

Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited is the Coordinating and Managing Entity (CME) for the implementation of VPA's. The CME coordinates and manages each Partner Organization (PO)/ VPA Implementer and assists them in implementing each element of the monitoring plan, which was confirmed to be the case by interviewing the CME and PO staff.

Improved cookst				
VPA Ref. #	GS 11503	GS 11501	GS 11498	GS 11496
	(VPA 19)	(VPA 21)	(VPA 24)	(VPA 26)
Location /	Karnataka	Karnataka	Karnataka	Karnataka
State				
CEP Type	ICS	ICS	ICS	ICS
CEP Model	Grameen	Grameen	Grameen	Grameen
	Greenway	Greenway	Greenway	Greenway
	Jumbo	Jumbo	Jumbo	Jumbo
	Stove (GJS)	Stove	Stove	Stove
		(GJS)	(GJS)	(GJS)
VPA	SKDRDP	SKDRDP	SKDRDP	SKDRDP
Implementer				
/ PO				
Total	21,000	22,000	21,000	20,124
Quantity				
Sold /				
Disseminated				
Maximum	23,000	27,000	23,000	27,000
Estimated				
Qty CEPs in				
ČPA ((for				
comparable				
year of				
distribution)				
Estimated	60,397	75,228	70,890	88,227
CERs	,	, -	,	,
(comparable				
period)				
(tCO2e)				
Actual CERs	57,184	62,581	63,187	55,230
from the CEP	57,107	02,001	00,107	55,250
Type (tCO2o)				
(tCO2e)				

VPA 19 - GS11503:

ICS were distributed in Karnataka in India, which is consistent with the description given in the included VPA DDs/2/. By the end of current monitoring period requesting issuance, total 21,000 ICS were disseminated under this VPAs, which is within the estimated quantity of 23,000 ICSs of the VPA DDs/2/ for comparable year of distribution. It has been checked by the verification team that the VPAs is way below the threshold of 180 GWh/year (thermal) i.e., 145.43 GWhth. The distribution model is that stoves are distributed by PO- SKDRDP, managed by CME. The stoves are sold to end users and the sales data is

collected by means of sales receipts/22/ at the time of sale to the end-user.

VPA 21 - GS11503:

ICS were distributed in Karnataka in India, which is consistent with the description given in the included VPA DDs/2/. By the end of current monitoring period requesting issuance, total 22,000 ICS were disseminated under this VPA, which is within the estimated quantity of 27,000 ICSs of the VPA DDs/2/ for comparable year of distribution. It has been checked by the verification team that the VPAs is way below the threshold of 180 GWh/year (thermal) i.e., 155.73 GWhth, however, as per VPA-DDs the scale of the VPA is defined as large scale for ICS. The distribution model is that stoves are distributed by PO- SKDRDP, managed by CME. The stoves are sold to end users and the sales data is collected by means of sales receipts/22/ at the time of sale to the end-user.

VPA 24 - GS11498:

ICS were distributed in Karnataka in India, which is consistent with the description given in the included VPA DDs/2/. By the end of current monitoring period requesting issuance, total 21,000 ICS were disseminated under this VPAs, which is within the estimated quantity of 23,000 ICSs of the VPA DDs/2/ for comparable year of distribution. It has been checked by the verification team that the VPAs is way below the threshold of 180 GWh/year (thermal) i.e., 146.12 GWhth, however, as per VPA-DDs the scale of the VPAs is defined as large scale for ICS. The distribution model is that stoves are distributed by PO- SKDRDP, managed by CME. The stoves are sold to end users and the sales data is collected by means of sales receipts/22/ at the time of sale to the end-user.

VPA 26 - GS11496:

ICS were distributed in Karnataka in India, which is consistent with the description given in the included VPA DDs/02/. By the end of current monitoring period requesting issuance, total 20,124 ICS were disseminated under this VPAs, which is within the estimated quantity of 27,000 ICSs of the VPA DDs/02/ for comparable year of distribution. It has been checked by the verification team that the VPAs is way below the threshold of 180 GWh/year (thermal) i.e., 127.67 GWhth, however, as per VPA-DDs the scale of the VPA's is defined as large scale for ICS. The distribution model is that stoves are distributed by PO- SKDRDP, managed by CME. The stoves are sold to end users and the sales data is collected by means of sales receipts/22/ at the time of sale to the end-user.

PO has a mechanism of allocating a unique ID to each CEP and the end user so that there is no inter and/or intra-VPA double counting. It was found that PO involved in implementation of VPA's are involved in this issuance has allocated unique identification numbers to the CEPs sold by them. This information was checked against sample end-user documentation/18//22/, CME database/08/, and was found to be appropriate. The stoves are sold to end users and the sales data is collected by means of sales receipts/22/ at the time of sale to the end user.

This verification report covers the monitoring period from 01/01/2021 to

Findings
Conclusion

E.5.2. Post-design Certification Changes

E.5.2.1. Temporary deviations from the approved Monitoring & Reporting Plan, methodology or standardized baseline

Not Applicable

E.5.2.2. Corrections

Not Applicable

E.5.2.3. Changes to the start – date of the crediting period.

Not Applicable

E.5.2.4. Change to project design of approved project

Not Applicable

E.5.3. Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with applied methodologies and standardized baselines

Means of verification	The monitoring plan contained in the VPA-DDs/02/ was reviewed in relation to the monitoring requirements of the applied methodology, TPDDTEC, version 3.1 /09/, as well as the PoA DD /01/, bearing in mind the technology involved. In light of the review conducted, it was found that the monitoring plan in the VPA-DDs/02/ contains all the required parameters to be monitored in the context of the VPA design and description, and allows determination of emission reductions according to the PoA DD/01/ and applied methodology/09//10/.	
Findings	No findings raised.	
Conclusion	The monitoring plan is in line with the approved methodology, Gold Standard Simplified Methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 3.1 /09/, that is included in the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/02/. The monitoring plan is in accordance with the applied methodology /09//10/ that is included in the VPA-DDs/02/.	

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

E.5.4. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan

E.5.4.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

SDG13: Quantity of fuel consumed in baseline scenario b during year y, in kg/HH-day

Means of verification	Quantity Pb,y - kg per household per day The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA- DDs. This was cross checked with the baseline kitchen performance test (KPT) ¹ . The calculation steps and the attendant references in the excel 		
	VPA 19	Karnataka	6.944
	VPA 21	Karnataka	7.040
		Kerala	7.077
	VPA 24 VPA 26	Karnataka Karnataka	7.130 7.051
		Kerala	7.042
Findings	No findings were raised.		
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /40/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /05/ are consistent with the approach given in registered VPA-DDs wherein it is recommended to establish baseline fuel usage for VPAs at the time of verification/02/. Hence the applied value is correct and justified.		

SDG13: CO $_2$ emission factor arising from use of fuel type I in baseline scenario, $tCO_2e/\ t_{fuel}$

Means of verification	 EF_b, I,CO2 The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 2: Stationary Combustion, Table 2.5 Default emission factors for stationary combustion in the residential and agriculture/forestry/fishing/fishing farms categories/32/. This value is used towards determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs. 		
	VPA Number VPA 19 VPA 21	Value 112 tCO2/TJ 112 tCO2/TJ	
	VPA 24 VPA 24 VPA 26	112 tCO ₂ /TJ 112 tCO ₂ /TJ 112 tCO ₂ /TJ	

¹ <u>https://cleancooking.org/binary-data/DOCUMENT/file/000/000/604-1.pdf</u>

Findings	No findings were raised.
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /40/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /5/ are consistent with the registered VPA-DDs/02/. The applied value is correct and justified.

SDG13: Non-CO₂ emission factor arising from use of fuel type *i* in baseline scenario, tCO_2/t_{fuel}

Means of verification	for Nationa Combustion is calculated their corresp baseline em This value	Il Greenhouse Gas Ir , Table 2.9–- Residentia I using the Emission fac ponding GWP./32/ This issions. is used towards detern	s derived from 2006 IPC nventories, Chapter 2: I Source Emission Factor tor of firewood for CH ₄ a value is used for the deter innation of baseline em s mentioned below as per Value 37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ 37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ 37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ	Stationary s. The value and N_2O and ermination of issions. The	
	VPA 26 37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ				
Findings	No findings were raised.				
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /40/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /5/ are consistent with the registered VPA-DD/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.				

SDG13: CO₂ emission factor arising from use of fuel type *i* in project scenario, $tCO2/t_{fuel}$

Means o verification	f National Gro Table 2.5 residential a This value	EF _{p,i,CO2} The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 2: Stationary Combustion, Table 2.5 Default emission factors for stationary combustion in the residential and agriculture/forestry/fishing/fishing farms categories/32/. This value is used towards determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs.					
		VPA Number	Value				
		VPA 19 112 tCO ₂ /TJ					
		VPA 21	112 tCO ₂ /TJ				
		VPA 24 112 tCO ₂ /TJ					
		VPA 26 112 tCO ₂ /TJ					
Findings	No findings	No findings were raised.					
Conclusion	Reduction		nitoring Report /40/ an onsistent with the regi and justified.				



SDG13: Non- CO₂ emission factor arising from use of fuel type *i* in project scenario, $tCO2/t_{fuel}$

Means of verification	Guidelines Stationary C The value's N_2O and the This value	$EF_{p, i, non-CO2}$ The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 2: Stationary Combustion, Table 2.9 Residential Source Emission Factors. The value's calculated using the Emission factor of firewood for CH ₄ and N ₂ O and their corresponding GWP/32/. This value is used towards determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs			
		VPA Number VPA 19	Value 37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ		
		VPA 21	37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ		
		VPA 24	37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ		
		VPA 26 37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ			
Findings	No findings	No findings were raised.			
Conclusion	Reduction S	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /40/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /5/ are consistent with the registered VPA- DDs/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.			

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

SDG13: Net calorific value of the fuel type *i* used in the baseline, TJ/Tonne

Means of verification	National Gr 1.2 Defar waste are a This value i	$NCV_{b,i}$ The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 1: Introduction, Table 1.2 Default net calorific values Default IPCC values for wood/wood waste are applied/32/. This value is used for the determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs			
		VPA Number	Value		
		VPA 19	0.0156 TJ/tonnes		
		VPA 21	0.0156 TJ/tonnes		
		VPA 24	0.0156 TJ/tonnes		
		VPA 26	0.0156 TJ/tonnes		
Findings	No findings	No findings were raised.			
Conclusion	Reduction	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /40/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /5/ are consistent with the registered VPA-DDs/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.			

SDG13: Net calorific value of the fuel type *i* used in the project scenario, TJ/Tonne

Means of verification	NCV _{p,i} The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 1: Introduction, Table 1.2 Default net calorific values./32/ This value is used for the determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs				
		VPA Number	Value		
		VPA 19	0.0156 TJ/tonnes		
		VPA 21 0.0156 TJ/tonnes			
		VPA 24 0.0156 TJ/tonnes			
	VPA 26 0.0156 TJ/tonnes				
Findings	No findings were raised.				
Conclusion	Reduction S	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /40/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /5/ are consistent with the registered VPA- DDs/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.			

SDG13: Fraction of biomass used in year y for baseline scenario b that can be established as non-renewable biomass, fraction

Means of verification	the fraction of non-rener has referred to the FSI individual f_{NRB} . The di- assessed by the VVB th and approach used by the the applied methodology. This value is used for the	ewable biomass of $CDM/$ report of various states etailed calculation of t rough a f_{NRB} calculation e the PD is found to be app y/9/ and Tool 30/48/.	of India to calculate the he approach has been excel sheet. The formulas propriate and in line with ine emissions. The value				
	VPA Number	State	Value				
	VPA 19	VPA 19Karnataka0.860VPA 21Karnataka0.860					
	VPA 21						
		Kerala 0.874					
	VPA 24	Karnataka	0.860				
	VPA 26	Karnataka	0.860				

		GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM
	Kerala	0.874
Findings	No findings were raised.	
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Re Reduction Spreadsheet /5/ are consistent v DDs/2/. The applied value is correct and justifie	with the registered VPA-

E.5.4.2. Data and parameters monitored (Carbon & SDG)

SDG13: Quantity of fuel consumed in project scenario p during year y, Pp,y,i
in kg/HH-day

Relevant SDG	SDG13: Climate Action	
Indicator Means of	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
verification	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	The parameter is measured and recorded at least once every two years (biennial)
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the PoA- DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	This value is derived statistically based on surveys in project scenario, adopting minimum 4 consecutive days of wood consumption by the sampled household. The weight of the fuelwood is measured by weighing scales.
		Accuracy class: +/- 0.5 grams
		Serial Number: WS00120, WS00123, WS12012, WS00132, WS00156, WS00151, WS00153, WS00436, WS00136, WB-01, WB-02, WB-03, WB-04, WB-05, WB-06, WB-07, WB-08
		Calibration Frequency: Annual
		Date of recent calibration: 15/02/2021
		Validity: until 14/02/2022
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Annual Please refer to section E.5.6 of this report for further details.
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	This is statistically derived value whose computation is explained as follows: The 4 consecutive day consumption of the firewood by the sampled household is calculated using 90/10 rule. The purpose of the calculation is to find the mean value of the firewood consumption which is as close to the population mean as possible.

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		33400-r		
The calculation behind this was verified from the ER Calculation sheet of VPA 19, 21, 24, 26/5/. As per 90/10 rule, the mean consumption from the sampled household is accepted if the precision attained is less than 10%. In other words, mean value obtained drawn from simple random sample, in project scenario is likely to be 90% of time closer to the unknown population mean. In the calculation provided by the CME, the precision attained is 5.38% (VPA 19), 3.38% (VPA 21), 4.15% (VPA 26) and 4.24% (VPA 24) /08/ which is less than 10% of the outer bounds if 90/10 is applied, to accept the sample mean.				
The calcula with the m and found conservative upper boun mean value	nethodolo that th e, as th d outlie	ogy/09/ e value ne PD h rs while	was asce calculat ad rejeo determin	ertained ed was cted all
The outliers	were de	fined as f	follows:	
Upper Ou Quartile of 1.5* inte consumptio	means of rquartile	f firewood	d consum	ption +
Lower Ou Quartile of 1.5* inte consumptio	means o rquartile		d consur	
For the morandom sa (kg/person/ so the quant to or above the mean va UOT and L monitoring	mpling of (day) an ntity of f UOT we alue of th OT as p	of house d LOT (firewood ere ignore ne sample	holds, tl (kg/perso which ar ed for arr es. The V	ne UOT on/day), re equal iving at alues of
VPA#	19	21	24	26
UOT	1.47	0.96	1.06	1.16
LOT	-0.06	0.28	0.21	0.32
So the con does not consumptio the emissi seasonal va KPTs were However, C on the high current mor in wet seas the VPAs a found to be	overes n which on redu ariations conducte ME has er wood nitoring p on come and has	stimate in turns uction. T in wood d in dry calculated consump period, wo s out to taken by	the fu underes fo accou consump and wet d the ER otion. Du bod consu be highe v CME, v	uelwood stimates unt for otion, 2 season. s based ring the umption r for all which is

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		project KPT has been r be appropriate.	reviewed and found to	
		The samples drawn by the CME during this MP is 90 (after adjusting for outliers it came to 85(VPA 19), 82(VPA 21), 88(VPA 24), 85(VPA 26)) from the beneficiaries in project scenario and the value obtained is:		
		VPA#	Value (kg/HH/day)	
		VPA 19	2.57	
		VPA 21	2.57	
		VPA 24	2.73	
		VPA 26	3.04	
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not applicable		
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	lata appropriate and trustworthy. At the ousion of each research, the equipment use sary KPT is calibrated. Section E.5.6 of		
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable		
Findings	CL#01 was raised and resolved.			
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/9/. The monitoring results were recorded			
	consistently as per the approved			

SDG13: Usage rate in project scenario p during year y determined on a sampling basis, Up,y, Fraction(or %)

Relevant SDG	SDG13: Climate Action	
Indicator Means of verificatio	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
n	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annually

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM			
Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the PoA- DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/		
Monitoring equipment	Not applicable as this parameter is ascertained through surveys		
Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable		
How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	surveys about the usa	ained through annual ge of the stoves in the value obtained during are:	
	VPA#	Value (%)	
	VPA 19	0.82	
	VPA 21	0.84	
	VPA 24	0.90	
	VPA 26	0.90	
	This value was accepted after checking the user habit survey results /41/provided by the CME.		
If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	To achieve a Good Practice utilization rate of up to 90% (estimated value), field team training, end-user training and follow-ups, and an awareness campaign are all necessary. Before distribution, sensitization seminars are organized in each village/area to explain how the stove works. In addition, the field team conducts continuing monitoring operations in the field to verify data quality is up to standard, which serves to encourage stove users to use the stoves and gives them the opportunity to raise questions about the stoves. This was further cross checked with the desk review of documents and through interviews as well as during the onsite visit. The survey results, assumptions and sales records were checked by the verification		
The responses from randomly s samples from respective VPAs und batch issuance for VVB's remote surve cross-checked with CME monitoring forms which were provided by the C the end users responses were cor with monitoring results. The usage rate were also compare		n randomly selected tive VPAs under this B's remote survey were ME monitoring survey wided by the CME, all nses were consistent s. e also compared with om last monitoring	
	were:	Previous MP Values	

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place? In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM	internal checks have l Implementer and	interviews and also
Finding	Project Standard?		
Findings Conclusion	None The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the		
conclusion	registered monitoring plan/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/9/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/2/.		

SDG13: Policy for encouraging discontinuation of baseline stove

Relevant	SDG13: Climate Action	
SDG		
Indicator Means of	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
verificatio	Citteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
n	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Updated every two years
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the PoA- DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The data is verified by checking the internal records of the MEC Credit tracker based database excel spreadsheets/46/. End user trainings/34.1/ were checked which demonstrates that users have been
		informed about the use of project stoves

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

	G34GG-F0A-VER-FORM		
		and phase out of baseline stove.	
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Information about the baseline system used is recorded at the time of loan processing, ICS buyers provide this information which is recorded in the baseline survey forms.	
		The verification team has verified the sample baseline survey forms and found to be satisfactory.	
		As another cross-check, the verification team, while conducting the remote survey of 11 randomly selected households from each VPA, also questioned the end-users about the baseline system. All 11 sampled household responses from each VPA were consistent with information provided in credit tracker platform.	
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processes were deemed to be appropriate and trustworthy.	
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable	
Findings	None		
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the		
	registered monitoring plan/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures		
	to be applied) and applied methodology /9/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/2/.		
	recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/2/.		

SDG13: Technologies in the monitoring Database for project scenario p through year y, Np,y, Number

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG13: Climate Action	
Means of	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
verificatio n	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	This parameter is measured continuously
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		0,	S4GG-P0A-VER-FURIN
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable	
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable	
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The data is verified by checking the records of MEC Credit tracker-based database exce spreadsheets/46/ and sales records/22/. The value of the parameter as per VPAs are:	
		VPA#	Value (Number)
		VPA 19	21,000
		VPA 21	22,000
		VPA 24	21,000
		VPA 26	20,124
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Yes, the information database were verified sales receipt/ loan sta the on-site survey representatives.	d randomly with the tement and through
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	PO, providing training, guidelines and templates to facilitate accurate record	
		During site visit the s keeping was reviewe reliable.	
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable	
indings	CAR#04 was raised and closed		
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology /9/. The monitoring results were		
	recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan.		

SDG13: Leakage in project scenario p during year y, LEp,y, Tonnes/year

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG13: Climate Action	
Means of verificatio	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
n	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	At least once every two years (biennial)

Fi C

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

 GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM		
Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency registered PoA-DD/1/	
Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable	
Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable	
How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The verified value in was assessed to be:	this monitoring period
	VPA#	Value (tCO2e/year)
	VPA 19	0
	VPA 21	0
	VPA 24	0
	VPA 26	0
	 occur in this project active project bound emitting technology ii. The non-renewable saved due to the p by non beneficiaries lower emitting source fraction within a CDM/VER project signific fraction within a CDM/VER project NRB fraction in the iv. The project popular of space heating e by adopting some or by retaining so technology. However all the for discounted as follows i. The baseline st rudimentary story crudeness to its installation, anyboutside the project there is no risk for move outside the project there is no risk for move outside the project there is no risk for move outside the project locative renewable biomass users does not arise threat to leakage e iii. Again the sheer availability in the project a not pose a threat or value. Besides this 	ve are reused outside lary in place of lower y le biomass/fossil fuel roject activity are used as who previously used rces cantly impacts the NRB n area where other activities account for it baseline scenario ation compensates loss ffect of inefficient tech other form of heating ome use of inefficient ur conditions can be the design and ease of ody could install it t boundary and hence the baseline stoves to oroject boundary ance of the firewood in ion the risk of non- s used by non-project and does not pose a emissions r scale of biomass oroject activity area vis activity, the VPA does of biomass or the fNRB a parameter is going to re beginning of every

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		G34GG-FUA-VER-FURIVI
		 iv. Due to the temperate and climate in Karnataka, India the need for space heating is minimal. Also, no evidence suggests that this is the case. Besides the PMS covers all non-cooking use of the household. The calculation steps involved in the sampling method was cross checked and assessed and found to be correct.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not applicable
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processes were deemed to be appropriate and trustworthy.
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable
Findings	None	
Conclusion	registered monitoring plan/2/ (a to be applied) and applied me	itored appropriately, in accordance with the as per measurement methods and procedures ethodology /9/. The monitoring results were approved frequency in the monitoring plan.

SDG1: Number of ICS distributed in Project, BSAProject, Number

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG 1: No poverty	
Means of verificatio	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
n	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	This parameter is measured on annual basis
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The verified value for this parameter as per VPAs are: VPA# Value (Number)

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		VPA 19	21,000
		VPA 21	22,000
		VPA 24	21,000
		VPA 26	20,124
		The records of num	ber of VPAs for ICS ing database, ex-post
		monitoring survey	
		checked. Since the d	. ,
		source of data collect were found to be robu	
		the values were accep	
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with	Not Applicable	
	other available data?		
	Does the data management	The QA/QC processes	
	ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission	appropriate and trustv	vorthy.
	reductions and are necessary		
	QA/QC processes in place?		
	In case project participants have temporarily not	Not Applicable	
	monitored the parameter, has		
	either i) a deviation been		
	approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been		
	estimated as stipulated by		
	Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?		
Findings	None		
		tand appropriately in	a accordance with the
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures		
	to be applied) and applied methodology /9/. The monitoring results were		
	recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan.		

SDG3: HH reporting reduction in smoke while cooking on improved stove in project, SPMHH, %

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG 3: Good Health and Well Being			
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	VVB Assessment		
vermeation	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annually		
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency in line to the PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/.		

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The usage Survey Records/8/18/ was checked to find out the respondent's responses regarding reduced in smoke. This was further cross checked during the onsite visit when the households having the ICS were asked about the reduction in smoke by using the project ICS and all of the end users agreed that there is reduction in smoke compared to the baseline. The value of the parameter as per VPAs are:		
		VPA#	Value (%)	
		VPA 19	82%	
		VPA 21	84%	
		VPA 24	90%	
		VPA 26	90%	
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross- checked with other available data?	Not Applicable		
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processes appropriate and trustw		
Findings	None			
Conclusion	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the GS PoA-DD /1/, and registered VPA-DDs/2/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.			

SDG 5: Time Saving per Households, HHTSProject, %

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG 5: Gender Equality	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	VVB Assessment
vermeation	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annually
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line to the PoA- DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/.
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The Monitoring Survey Records/8//18/ was checked to find out the respondent's

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		responses regarding reduced time spent on collection of firewood. This was further cross checked during the onsite visit when the households having the ICS were asked about the average reduction in time in collecting wood and all of the end users agreed that time was saved considerably as less firewood was needed to cook compared	
		to the baseline.	Value (%)
		VPA 19	82%
		VPA 21	84%
		VPA 24	90%
		VPA 26	90%
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross- checked with other available data?	Not Applicable	
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processe be appropriate and tr	
Findings	None	•	
Conclusion	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the GS PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.		

SDG 7: Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating ICS units under Project), ACSProject, Number

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG7: Affordable and Clean	Energy
Means of verification	VVB Assessment Continuously	
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line to the PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/.
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The post monitoring records/8/18/ were checked to identify as part of the assessment as well as during the interviews conducted with the 11 selected

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

	beneficiaries during site visit/50/ the intended beneficiaries who are having access to affordable, reliable and mode energy services.		
		Since, the usage survey determines the usage rate for ICS, the value of the parameter based on the usage survey was accepted and are as follows as per the VPAs:	
		VPA#	Value (%)
		VPA 19	17,220
		VPA 21	18,450
		VPA 24	18,900
		VPA 26	18,112
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross- checked with other available data?	Not Applicable	
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processe be appropriate and tr	
Findings	None		
Conclusion	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the GS PoA-DD/1/, and registered VPA-DDs/2/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.		

SDG 8: Quantitative Employment and income generation, QE IG, Number

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth			
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	VVB Assessment		
vermcation	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annually		
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line to the PoA- DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/.		
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	These are employment contract /31/ was cross checked for all the contract employees/31/. Based on the documentary evidences provided by CME, this value was		

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		verified and accepted.	-
		The verified value is thus: VPA#	Value (%)
		VPA 19	73
		VPA 21	85
		VPA 24	30
		VPA 26	30
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross- checked with other available data?	Not Applicable	
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processe be appropriate and tr	
Findings	None	•	
Conclusion	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the GS PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.		

E.5.5. Implementation of sampling plan

Means of verification	The sampling plan was implemented by the CME in accordance with the Gold Standard methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption, Version 3.1/09/, and the CDM EB 110, Annex 1, Standard for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programme of Activities/25/. Two different sample sets were picked from population serviced under the VPA19, 21, 24 & VPA 26 viz., Usage Surveys of Cookstoves and Project KPTs. Thus, the project database with the demographic cohorts identified during the sampling survey serves along with the user age (whether non-beneficiary, beneficiary and user for last 1 year and more) as the sample frames for the project population. Since the VPA's covers various state of India and various model of stove is distributed in the population, the population is reasonable considered homogenous. Therefore, the approach of simple random sampling from
	 the entire population is acceptable. Parameters to be covered through monitoring surveys: The CME has conducted following kinds of surveys: Usage Surveys: U_{p,y} Usage rate in project scenario p during year y determined on a sampling basis Project Monitoring Survey/Project Field Tests: P_{rp,y}- Quantity of fuel consumed in project scenario p during year y, in tonnes, and as derived from the statistical analysis conducted on the

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

data collected during the project performance field tests

Sustainability Surveys:

- 1. BSA/HHS- Proportion of population living in households with access to basic services
- 2. SPM, HH-- Air Quality in project households
- 3. HHTS- Time saved per household
- 4. AACS, HH-- Number of households and institutions having access to affordable, reliable and modern energy services
- 5. QE,IG- Quantitative Employment and income generation

Monitoring survey (by CME) duration:

The monitoring survey (field survey / tests) was carried out by CME representatives between following duration for the current monitoring period.

VPA 19:

Survey	Туре		Monitoring dates	Monitoring frequency	Monitoring survey applicable for this MP?
Usage Survey	and	Habit	07/01/2022	Annual	Yes
Survey			24/01/2022		
Project I	KPT		05/07/2021	Biennial	Yes
			-		
			18/08/2021		

VPA 21:

Survey Type		Monitoring dates	Monitoring frequency	Monitoring survey applicable for this MP?
Usage and Survey	Habit	06/01/2022 - 25/01/2022	Annual	Yes
Project KPT		03/07/2021 - 31/07/2021	Biennial	Yes

VPA 24:

Survey Type	Monitoring dates	Monitoring frequency	Monitoring survey applicable for this MP?
Usage and Habit	03/01/2022	Annual	Yes
Survey	- 17/01/2022		
Project KPT	05/07/2021	Biennial	Yes
	-		
	01/09/2021		

Survey Type	Monitoring dates	Monitoring frequency	Monitoring survey applicable for this MP?
Usage and H	abit 03/01/2022	Annual	Yes
Survey	-		
	24/01/2022		
Project KPT	04/07/2021	Biennial	Yes
	-		
	16/08/2021		

Thus, it is confirmed that monitoring survey is applicable for the entire monitoring period.

Sample size calculation for different tests

Usage Survey: All monitored parameters were evaluated using simple random sampling with the requisite precision/confidence. Usage survey /41/ was done to determine usage and changes in circumstances experienced following the ICS project's deployment. The sample size was determined using the TPDDTEC Version 3.1 guideline/09/, which indicates that for a group size more than 1000, a minimum sample size of 100 is required for such a survey. Using MS Excel random selection algorithm, CME drew samples at random from the Monitoring Database. The representation of different age groups of distribution was also considered with 30 samples from each vintage picked in accordance with methodological sampling requirements. То ensure accurate representation of the entire population, the usage surveys were conducted on 100(VPA 19), 129(VPA 21), 100(VPA 24) and 100(VPA 26) randomly chosen cookstoves dispersed across the project distribution boundary.

Kitchen Performance Tests (Project KPT): The KPT sample size determination was based on the guidelines provided in the TPDDTEC Version 3.1 methodology/09/ for evaluating the fuel consumption in the project scenario. The sample size in cases of independent samples was calculated, yielding a sample size of 90 for all the VPAs. This resulted in a precision of 90/10 being met.

In case, the confidence/precision is not met for any parameter for improved cookstove, the upper or lower bound is conservatively applied to arrive at final values for the parameter, which is found in line and acceptable considering the provisions provided in TPDDTEC v3.1./09/

All parameters of interest are included in the ER spreadsheet for the VPA's. These were checked for the input values as well as formula applied and were found consistent. The reliability (demonstration of precision achieved after the survey results) is depicted in the ER calculation sheets corresponding to final Monitoring Report, which were also found correct. None

Findings

E.5.6. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

Means of verification	The registered monitoring plan (in the VPA DDs/2/ and PoA DD/1/) does not state the calibration requirements for any of the parameter. However, as good practice, the verification team enquired information with regard to monitoring equipment viz., weighing scale and moisture meter that were used to carry out field KPT tests. The devices used in this project activity is mentioned here Type – Weighing Scale Accuracy class: +/- 0.5 grams Serial Number: WS00120, WS00123, WS12012, WS00132, WS00156, WS00151, WS00153, WS00436, WS00136, WB-01, WB-02, WB-03, WB-04, WB-05, WB-06, WB-07, WB-08 Calibration Frequency: Date of recent calibration: 15/02/2021 Validity: until 14/02/2022 Type – Moisture Meter Accuracy class: +/- 0.5 grams Serial Number: TM157341, TM157285, TM28591, TM240016, TM28657, TM240017, TM28618, TM239929, TM157277, X014064, X014086, X013975, X014073, X014104, X014102, X014082, X014049. Calibration Frequency: Annual Date of recent calibration: 19/02/2021 Validity: until 18/02/2022 It is noteworthy that registered monitoring plan does not specify any calibration frequency however, CME has maintained an annual frequency. All the monitoring surveys took place in the days when all the equipment were under calibration.
Findings	No findings raised.
Conclusion	The verification team confirm that CME applied good practices (as per manufacturer recommendation) while using the monitoring equipment and these were under the state of calibration. There is no specific requirement prescribed in this regard in the registered monitoring plan of monitoring methodology. The monitoring devices were found to be calibrated during the field test/14//15/.

E.5.7.Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals

E.5.7.1. Calculation of baseline value or estimation of baseline situation of each SDG Impact

Means verification	of	<u>1-</u> <u>SDG-13: Climate Action</u> The equations used were found consistent with the PoA DD/1/, VPA DDs/2/ and the applied methodology TPDDTEC, version 3.1/9/
		Using TPDDTEC Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 3.1/9/, "When the

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM
baseline fuel and the project fuel are the same and the baseline emission factor and project emission are considered the same, the overall GHG reductions achieved by the project activity in year y are calculated as follows:"
$ERy = \sum_{b,p} (N_{p,y} * U_{p,y} * P_{p,b,y} * NCV_{b, fuel} * (f_{NRB,b, y} * EF_{fuel, CO2} + EF_{fuel, nonCO2})) - \sum_{b,y} LE_{p,y} $ (Eq.3)
Where: $\sum b, p$: Sum over all relevant (baseline b/project p) couples.
$N_{\text{p},\text{y}}$: Cumulative number of project technology – days included in the project database for project scenario p against baseline scenario b in year y
$P_{p,b,y}$: Specific fuel savings for an individual technology of project p against an individual technology of baseline b in year y,(tons/day).
$F_{NRB,b,y}$: Fraction of biomass used inyear y for baseline scenario b that can be established as non – renewable biomas (drop this term from the equation when using a fossil fuel baseline scenario).
$\text{NCV}_{\text{b,fuel}}$: Net calorific value of the fuel that is substituted or reduced (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.015 TJ/ton).
$EF_{b,fuel,\ CO2}$: CO_2 emission factor of the fuel that is substituted or reduced. 112 tCO_2/TJ for Wood/Wood Waste, or the IPCC default value of other relevant fuel.
$EF_{b,fuel,non\ CO2}$: Non – CO_2 emission factor of the fuel that is reduced.
LF _{p,y} : Leakage for project scenario p in year y (tCO ₂ e/yr).
Sample calculation of VPA 24 jumbo stove karnataka: ER _y = 7,658,795*0.90*(0.0071-0.0027)*0.0156*(0.86*112+37.25) - 0 = 63,188 tCO ₂ e
Leakage if applicable, will be assessed on the following points:
a. The displaced baseline technologies are reused outside the project boundary in place of lower emitting technology or in a manner suggesting more usage than would have occurred in the absence of the project.
b. The NRB or fossil fuels saved under the project activity are used by non-project users who previously used lower emitting energy sources.
c. The project significantly impacts the NRB fraction within an area where other CDM or VER project activities account for NRB fraction in their baseline scenario.
d. The project population compensates for loss of the space heating effect of inefficient technology by adopting some other form of heating or by retaining some use of inefficient technology.

In line with section 6 of TPDDTEC (v.3.1)/09/ as the project involves installation of new systems with high efficiency and hence leakage emission is considered zero.

2- SDG-1: No Poverty

SDG 1 (Net Benefit) = BSA_{project} - BSA_{Baseline}

Where:

 $BSA_{Baseline} = Number of ICS distributed in baseline = 0$

BSA_{project} = Number of ICS distributed in project = 21,000

VPA#	BSAProject	BSA _{Baseline}	SDG 1 (Net Benefit)
VPA 19	21,000	0	21,000
VPA 21	22,000	0	22,000
VPA 24	21,000	0	21,000
VPA 26	20,124	0	20,124

3- SDG-3: Good health and well-being

SDG 3 (Net Benefit) = SPM_{HH,project} - SPM_{HH,Baseline}

Where:

 $\mathsf{SPM}_{\mathsf{HH},\mathsf{Baseline}}$ % HH reporting reduction in smoke while cooking on improved stove in baseline

 $SPM_{\text{HH,Project}}$ % HH reporting reduction in smoke/ while cooking on improved stove in Project

VPA#	SPM _{HH,Project}	SPM _{HH} ,Baseline	SDG 3 (Net Benefit)
VPA 19	82%	0	82%
VPA 21	84%	0	84%
VPA 24	90%	0	90%
VPA 26	90%	0	90%

4- SDG-5: Gender Equality

SDG 5 (Net Benefit) = HHTS_{Project} – HHTS_{Baseline}

Where:

 $HHTS_{baseline} = \%$ HH reporting time saving from fuel collection due to reduced fuel consumption in baseline

 $HHTS_{Project} = \%$ HH reporting time saving from fuel collection due to reduced fuel consumption in Project

VPA#	HHTSProject	HHTS _{Baseline}	SDG 3 (Net Benefit)
VPA 19	82%	0	82%
VPA 21	84%	0	84%
VPA 24	90%	0	90%
VPA 26	90%	0	90%

5- SDG-7: Affordable clean energy

SDG 7 (Net Benefit ICS) = ACS_{project} - ACS_{Baseline}

Where:

ACS_{baseline} Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating ICS units under baseline)

ACS_{project} Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating ICS units under Project)

SDG 7 (Net Benefit SLS) = ACS_{project} - ACS_{Baseline}

VPA#	ACSProject	ACSbaseline	SDG 3 (Net Benefit)
VPA 19	17,220	0	17,220
VPA 21	18,450	0	18,450
VPA 24	18,900	0	18,900
VPA 26	18,112	0	18,112

6- SDG-8: Decent Work

The SDG impact is calculated as below:

SDG 8 (Net Benefit) = QE IG_{Project} - QE IG_{Baseline}

Where:

QE IG_{Project} Quantative Employment and income generation (Number of person (male or female) hired under project)

VPA#	ACSProject	ACS _{baseline}	SDG 3 (Net Benefit)
VPA 19	73	0	73
VPA 21	85	0	85
VPA 24	30	0	30
VPA 26	30	0	30

Detailed assessment of all the parameters used to calculate emission reductions is provided under section E.5.4.2.

The calculations presented in the Monitoring Report /40/ and the corresponding ER sheet /5/ were found appropriate and complying with provisions prescribed in the registered monitoring plan/2/ of the respective VPA-DDs/2/, PoA-DD/1/ and applied methodology/9/.

Findings	CAR#05 was raised and resolved.
Conclusion	 The verification team verified that a) A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated under Section E.5.4.2 of this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet/5/ of final Monitoring Report/40/. b) The information provided in the monitoring report was cross checked with other sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such information is also included under Section E.5.4.2 of this report. c) The calculations of baseline emissions as presented in the

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

corresponding ER calculations sheet/5/ of final Monitoring Report/40/ were checked and found to be consistent with the formulae and methods described in the registered monitoring plan of VPA-DDs/2/, registered PoA-DD/1/ and the applied methodology/9/.
 d) All assumptions used in the emission calculations were found appropriate and therefore justified e) Appropriate emission factors, IPCC default factors/32/ and other
 reference values have been correctly applied. This has also been elaborated under Section E.5.4.1 of this report. f) No standardized baseline was prescribed in the registered PoA-DD/1/.

E.5.7.2. Calculation of project value or estimation of project situation of each SDG Impact

Means of verification	The equation for calculating emission reductions already accounts for project emissions. b) <u>SDG-1: No Poverty</u> The SDG impacts for the project were 21,000(VPA 19), 22,000(VPA 21),
	21,000(VPA 24) and 20,124(VPA 26) users confirmed to improve savings.
	 c) <u>SDG-3: Good health and well-being</u> The SDG impacts for the project were: 82% (VPA 19), 84% (VPA 21), 90% (VPA 24) and 90% (VPA 26) of respondents confirmed to be exposed to less smoke and/or health problems
	d) <u>SDG-5: Gender Equality</u> The SDG impacts estimated for the project were:
	 82%(VPA 19), 84%(VPA 21), 90%(VPA 24) and 90%(VPA 26) of users confirmed that fuel collection is less time consuming
	e) <u>SDG-7: Affordable clean energy</u> The SDG impacts estimated for the project were the distribution of 17,220(VPA 19), 18,450(VPA 21), 18,900(VPA 24) and 18,112(VPA 26)improve cookstoves.
	 f) <u>SDG-8: Decent Work</u> The SDG impacts estimated for the project was: 73 persons(VPA 19), 85 persons(VPA 21), 30 persons(VPA 24) and 30(VPA 26) were hired under this project.
Findings	None
Conclusion	 The verification team verified that a) A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated under Section E.5.4.2 of this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet/5/ of final Monitoring Report /40/. b) The information provided in the monitoring report was cross checked
	with other sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such information is also included under Section E.5.4.2 of this report.

Means of verification	The 4 conditions under which the leakage should be accounted for is not observed in this project activity. The detailed discussion on the same is provided in section E.5.4.2 above under the parameter: SDG13: $LE_{p,y}$
Findings	None
Conclusion	A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated under Section E.5.4.2 of this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet/5/ of final Monitoring Report /40/. The information provided in the monitoring report was cross checked with other sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such information is also included under Section E.5.4.2 of this report.

E.5.7.3. Calculation of leakage

E.5.7.4. Calculation of net benefits or direct calculation for each SDG Impact

Means of verification	of	SDGs Targete d	SDG Impact	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit	
				57,184 tCO2e VERs (VPA 19)		57,184 VERs 19) 62,581	(VPA
		13	Climate Action	62,581 tCO ₂ e VERs (VPA 21) 63,187 tCO ₂ e VERs (VPA 24)	VERs (for all VPAs)	21) 63,187 VERs	(VPA tCO₂e (VPA
				55,230 tCO ₂ e VERs (VPA 26)		24) 55,230 VERs 26)	tCO₂e (VPA
		1	No Poverty	0	21,000 (VPA 19) 22,000 (VPA 21)	21,000 19) 22,000 21)	(VPA (VPA
					21,000 (VPA 24) 20,124 (VPA 26)	21,000 24) 20,124 26)	(VPA (VPA
			Good Health and well being		82% (VPA 19)		(VPA
		3		0%	84% (VPA 21) 90% (VPA 24)	21)	(VPA (VPA
					90% (VPA 26)		(VPA

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

				00	-00-1	OA-VER-I	
				82% 19)	(VPA	82% 19)	(VPA
				84%	(VPA	84%	(VPA
	5	Gender Equality	0%	21) 90%	(\/PA	21) 90%	(VPA
		Equancy		24)	(017)	24)	(
				90%	(VPA		(VPA
				26)		26)	() (5.4
				17,22 (VPA		17,220 19)	(VPA
				18,45	,	18,450	(VPA
	7 Affordable and	0	(VPA	21)	21)		
	/	clean energy	0	18,90		18,900	(VPA
				(VPA 18,11		24) 18,112	(VPA
				(VPA		26)	(,
				73 ²	(VPA		
				19)			10)
		Decent work		21)	(VPA	73 (VPA 85 (VPA	-
	8	and economic growth	0		(VPA	30 (VPA	24)
		growth		24)		30 (VPA	26)
				30 ⁵ 26)	(VPA		
			pplied for all the Ds/2/. The verification of the termination of termina				
	stated figu	ires were checked	and found accept				
Findings	CAR#01 was raised and closed.						
Conclusion		ation team confir					
	a) The complete data was available and is duly reported;						
	b) As indicated above, the description with regard to cross-check of reported data is included under respective parameter (refer Section						
	E.5.4 of this report); c) Appropriate methods and formulae for calculating baseline GHG						
	emissions or baseline net GHG removals, project emissions and leakage emissions were followed;						
	d) Approp	oriate emission fa	ctors, IPCC defaul	t factoi	rs and	other refe	erence
	values	were correctly ap	phied.				

E.6. Voluntary project activity

E.6.1. Compliance of the VPA implementation with the included VPA design document

	-
Means	The reporting for this issuance has been done technology-wise, thus section E.6
of	shall be dealing with distribution of solar CEPs and its compliance with registered
verificat	PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DDs/2/ and applicable standard.
ion	VPAs (GS11503, GS 11501, GS 11498 & GS11496) described in this section
ion	targets the promotion, distribution and sale of different models of solar lighting

² These are total number of jobs irrelevant of the technology (SLS or ICS).

³ These are total number of jobs irrelevant of the technology (SLS or ICS).

⁴ These are total number of jobs irrelevant of the technology (SLS or ICS).

⁵ These are total number of jobs irrelevant of the technology (SLS or ICS).

systems implemented in this PoA.

Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited is the Coordinating and Managing Entity (CME) for the implementation of VPAs. The CME coordinates and manages each Partner Organization (PO)/VPA Implementer and assists them in implementing each element of the monitoring plan.

VPA Ref.	GS 11503	GS 11501 (VPA	GS 11498 (VPA	GS 11496
#	(VPA 19)	21)	24)	(VPA 26)
Location / State	Bihar (BH), Chhattisgarh (CG), Goa (GOA), Gujarat (GJ), Jharkhand (JK), Karnataka (KA), Kerala (KL), Madhya Pradesh (MP), Maharashtra (MH), Odisha (OD), Punjab (PJ), Rajasthan (RJ), Tamil Nadu (TN), Uttar Pradesh (UP) and West Bengal (WB)	Assam(AS), Bihar(BH), Chandigarh (CH), Chhattisgarh(C G), Goa(GOA), Gujarat(GJ), Jharkhand (JK), Karnataka(KA), Kerala(KL), Madhya Pradesh(MP), Maharashtra(M H), Odisha(OD), Punjab(PJ), Rajasthan(RJ), Tamil Nadu(TN), Tripura (TR), Uttar Pradesh(UP) and West	Karnataka (KA)	Karnataka (KA)
CEP Type	SLS	Bengal(WB) SLS	SLS	SLS
CEP Type CEP				
Model	PLT3F1HLS	Power 80	CL1LT2HLS	CL1LT2HLS
	PLT6HLS	SK-1510	CL1LT1HLS	CL1LT1HLS
	CL2LT2HLS PL2LT6F1HL	SK-1520	CL2HLS	CL2HLS
	S	SK-1530	CL2LT2HLS	CL2LT2HLS
	PLT4HLS	SP 315	CL3LT1HLS	CLT1HLS
	CL1LT1F1HL	SP Breeze SP Inverter	SKDLT3	CLT2HLS
	S	200	PL1LT5HLS	PL1LT3HLS
	SKDLT3	SP100	CLT2F1HLS	SKDLT3
	PL1LT3HLS	SP200	CLT2HLS	PL1LT5HLS
	CL1LT2HLS	Spark Pro	PL1LT3F1HLS	CLT2F1HLS
	CL1LT1HLS	Spark Pro	PLT3F1HLS	CL2LT2HLS2
	CL2HLS	Breeze	CL2LT2HLS2	PL1LT4HLS
	CL3LT1HLS2	Spark Pro	PL1LT4HLS	PL2LT4HLS
	PL1LT3F1HL	Ujala	PL2LT4HLS	PLT4F1HLS
	S	Sunverter 1530	PLT4F1HLS	
	CLT2F1HLS	Ujala 2.0		
	PL1LT3F1HL			1

Ujala Breeze

S2

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

				-00+00	FUA-VER-FURIN
I	/PA mpleme	CLT2HLS CL3LT1HLS PL1LT5HLS CLT1HLS PL1LT3F2HL S PL2LT8F2HL S SB2HLS Glosolar Mini HLS Jugnu Lightbox L2005 Greenlight Planet Boom (Sunking Boom) Greenlight Planet Pro-X (Sunking Pro-X) RAL Duron Mitva MS- 16C RAL Duron Mitva MST 952A Greenlight Planet Home Lighting System (Sunking HLS) Greenlight Planet Pico Plus (Sunking Pico Plus)	SK 1540 SK Mini SP 50 Magic TV Greenlight Planet Pro200 (Sunking Pro200) Greenlight Planet Pico Plus (Sunking Pico Plus) RAL Duron Mitva MS16C RAL Duron Mitva MS16C RAL Duron Mitva MST952A Greenlight Planet Boom (Sunking Boom) Greenlight Planet Home Lighting System (Sunking HLS) Greenlight Planet Home Lighting System 120 (Sunking Pro 400) Greenlight Planet Home Lighting System 120 (Sunking HLS120) Greenlight Planet Pro-2 (Sunking HLS120) Greenlight Planet Home Lighting System 120 (Sunking HLS120 Greenlight Planet Home Lighting System 120 (Sunking HLS120 Greenlight Planet Pro-2 (Sunking HLS120 Greenlight Planet Pro-2 (Sunking HLS120 Flanet Pro-2 (Sunking HLS120 Greenlight Planet Pro-2 (Sunking HLS120 Flanet Pro-2 (Sunking HLS120 Fla	SKDRDP	SKDRDP
r T	nter / PO Total Quantity	Asirvad 40,164	and Asirvad 136,182	237	175
9	Sold /				

			1	0040	JG-FUA-VER-FURIVI			
	Dissemin ated							
		200,000	266,000	200,000	266,000			
	Estimate							
	d Qty							
	CEPs in							
	CPA ((for							
	compara ble year							
	of							
	distributi							
	on)							
	Estimate	52,797	74,420	52,531	71,280			
	d CERs (compara							
	ble							
	period)							
	(tCO2e)							
	Actual	12,257	41,303	67	58			
	CERs from the							
	CEP Type							
	(tCO2e)							
	The solar lighting systems are sold to end users and the sales data is collected by means of sales receipts /22/ at the time of sale to the end user. The technical specifications of SLS model were verified through the specifications provided by technology suppliers /21/ and found to be consistent with the monitoring report. The PO has a mechanism of allocating a unique ID to each CEP and the end user so that there is no inter and/or intra-VPAs double counting. During onsite surveys, the end users were asked if we can see the product installed to confirm the model in use. It has been checked by the verification team that the verified VPA is way below the threshold of 15MW /02/.VPACapacity (MW)GS11503 (VPA 19)0.28GS11501 (VPA 21)0.25							
		GS11498 (GS11496 (0.003				
	All technical specifications/21/ were reviewed and SLS models were found to be meeting the applied methodology requirements and PoA eligibility criteria of PoA and therefore, found acceptable by the verification team, as provisioned in section A.3 of VPA-DDs/2/.							
Finding	CAR#02 was	raised and resolv	ved.					
s Conclus	The verification team is of the opinion that physical features of the VPAs have							
ion	been imple	been implemented in accordance with the VPA-DDs/2/.						
					documentation, that in implemented in			
		e with the VPA-I			in implemented in			
	• The VPAs			etely operational ir	line with the VPA-			
	DDs/2/.The inform	nation provided	in the relevan	it sections of the m	onitoring report are			
	 The information provided in the relevant sections of the monitoring report are appropriately describe the implementation and operational status of the PoA. 							



E.6.2. Post-Design Certification changes

E.6.2.1. Temporary deviations from the approved Monitoring & Reporting Plan, methodology or standardized baseline

Not Applicable

E.6.2.2. Corrections

Not Applicable

E.6.2.3. Changes to the start-date of the crediting period

Not Applicable

E.6.2.4. Permanent changes from the Design Certified monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline

Not Applicable as this is the first monitoring period of the VPA under GS.

E.6.2.5. Changes to project design of approved project

There are no changes made during this monitoring period.

E.6.3. Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with applied methodologies and standardized baselines

Means of verification	The monitoring plan contained in the VPA-DDs/2/ was reviewed in relation to the monitoring requirements of the applied methodology, AMS.I.A version 14.0/10/, as well as the PoA DD/1/, bearing in mind the technology involved. In light of the review conducted, it was found that the monitoring plan in the VPA-DDs/2/ contains all the required parameters to be monitored in the context of the VPAs design and description and allows determination of emission reductions according to the PoA DD/1/ and applied methodology/10/.
Findings	No findings raised.
Conclusion	The monitoring plan is in line with the approved methodology, Gold Standard Simplified Methodology AMS I.A Version 14.0/10/, that is included in the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/. The monitoring plan is in accordance with the applied methodology /10/ that is included in the VPA-DDs/2/.

- E.6.4. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan.
- E.6.4.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

SDG13: The specific luminous efficiency of kerosene when burnt in a kerosene lantern, in Lumens/ W

Means
verificationof LE_{Ker} -- The value of this parameter is considered is mentioned below as
per VPA DDs/2/. This was checked with the revised accepted PoA-DD and

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		is used towards detern	nination of baseline em s mentioned below as per Value 0.13 Lumens/ W 0.13 Lumens/ W 0.13 Lumens/ W 0.13 Lumens/ W				
Findings	No findings	were raised.					
Conclusion	Reduction S	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /40/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /5/6/7/8/ are consistent with the approach given in VPA-DDs/2/. Hence the applied value is correct and justified.					

SDG13: The specific CO₂ emissions of kerosene, tCO₂e/ GJ

Means of verification	National Gre Table 2.5 residential a This value	EF_{Ker} The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 2: Stationary Combustion, Table 2.5 Default emission factors for stationary combustion in the residential and agriculture/forestry/fishing/fishing farms categories/32/. This value is used towards determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs.					
		VPA NumberValueVPA 190.00719 tCO2/TJ					
		VPA 21	0.00719 tCO ₂ /TJ				
		VPA 24	0.00719 tCO ₂ /TJ				
		VPA 26	0.00719 tCO ₂ /TJ				
Findings	No findings were raised.						
Conclusion	Reduction S		nitoring Report /40/ ar e consistent with the reg and justified.				

SDG13: Standard normal for a confidence interval of 90%

Means of verification	z The value of this parameter is considered is mentioned below as per VPA DDs/2/. This was checked with the revised accepted PoA-DD/01/ and included VPA-DDs/2/. This value is used towards determination of baseline emissions. This value is used for the determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs.					
		VPA Number	Value			
		VPA 19	1.290			
		VPA 21	1.290			
		VPA 24	1.290	_		
		VPA 26	1.290			
Findings	No findings	No findings were raised.				
Conclusion	Reduction S	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report/40/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet/5/6/7/8/ are consistent with the registered VPA- DDs/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.				

E.6.4.2. Data and parameters monitored (Carbon & SDG)

SDG13: lumens output for each solar lamp n deployed as part of project activity (Ln), Lumens

activity (Ln),	SDG13: Climate Action	
Relevant SDG	SDG13: Climate Action	
Indicator		
Means of	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
verification	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the PoA- DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Not applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The values reported in the final MR /40/ were verified through the technical specifications provided by the suppliers of the respective model.
		The verified value of this parameter for solar lighting systems sold/distributed under the relevant VPAs at the end of the current monitoring period is lower of Lumen output of installed system and 140.538 Lumen as per PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/ constraint. Additionally, each household in the database only receives one solar lighting system and if any of the households are found to have another SLS installed during quarterly monitoring, no emission reductions are claimed from those households. These measures ensure that no single household gets emission reductions higher than those that were validated at the time of PoA and VPAs registration (equivalent level of kerosene consumption in the baseline).
		The verification team has verified the lumen output of models disturbed in the current monitoring period and found to be consistent with the technical specifications provided by respective product suppliers. In case the SLS models have more than one setting for light intensity, the conservative value is considered in line with VPA-DDs/2/.
		The verification team also checked the type of solar lighting systems in all of the surveyed households during the onsite surveys. The information thus obtained was cross-checked against technical specifications

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		G34GG-F0A-VER-FORIN	
		of the device and it was confirmed if it matched.	
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Specific to distribution of solar CEPs, each household is given a "user account identification number". This number can be used to establish that one household receives only one product since the number is unique and cannot be repeated. The verification team checked the uniqueness of "user account identification number" for solar CEPs across the VPA covered using conditional formatting and confirms that only a single solar device has been provided to each household. The assessment team has also verified the tracker output file provided by CME that includes consolidated list of all CEP sales made under the Programme and confirms that only 1 solar CEP has been implemented in a single household. Type/ model of solar lighting systems given in ER sheets were further checked with the credit tracker output file/46/ during document review of the supporting documents shared by CME. No discrepancy in data was observed regarding models of solar lighting systems distributed.	
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	Solar lighting systems installation information is maintained in the MEC tracker system that records address of the household. The tracker system is monitored continuously. It can be confirmed that management ensuring the correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place.	
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable	
Findings	No Finding were raised.		
Conclusion		The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the	
	registered monitoring plan/1/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/10/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan.		

SDG13: Total number of solar lamps of type i that have been deployed in period a, Ni,a, Lamps

Relevant SDG	SDG13: Climate Action
Indicator	

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

Means of	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observa	ation
verification	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual	
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/	
	Monitoring equipment	Not applicable. The Tracker Platform.	number in Credit
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable	
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The values reported in the final MR /40/ (and corresponding ER sheets /5/6/7/8/) were verified through the Credit Tracker Platform /43/, /45/ that records the name of the customer, loan account number, branch name address/ description of location, contact telephone number(s) (where available), unique client ID and date of first loan disbursement date. The entire database for the VPA included in the current monitoring period is presented in the ER sheet as VPA Database/5/6/7/8/. The verified value for solar systems sold/distributed under the VPAs at the end of the current monitoring period are:	
		VPA#	Value (%)
		VPA 19	40,164
		VPA 21	136,182
		VPA 24	237
		VPA 26	175
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Yes. The information pr database/5/6/7/8/ and verified randomly with warranty cards/22/ interviews of t representatives.	ER sheets/5/ was the sales receipt/
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	PO, providing training, guidelines and templates to facilitate accurate record keeping in their MIS system/Credit	
		The sale process and reviewed by conducti interviews; the record explained were found re	ng CME and PO keeping processes
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has	Not Applicable	

	either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	
Findings	CAR#03 was raised and closed	
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/1/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/10/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan.	

SDG13: Average number of days lamps of type i that have been deployed in period a were operating in period v, di,a,v, days

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG13: Climate Action	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
Vermeation	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the PoA- DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The credit tracker platform records the exact date of sale for solar lighting system that can be tracked by the implementing partners and CME. The value of this parameter calculated as the total days from date of installation of the SLS to the end date of monitoring period or the entire monitoring period, whichever is lesser. Individual number of days SLS have operated during the monitoring period is calculated and the average value is used for calculating the emission reductions. In the event of a non-functional CEP being identified during the monitoring, the number of crediting days for that device are considered '0'. It is noteworthy to see that apart from considering the methodological requirements for determination of this parameter value, an additional check on conservativeness of emission reduction estimation is also ensured by considering 0 crediting days for products identified as non-functional at any point during the quarterly or

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

	GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM
	annual monitoring. This quarterly and annual monitoring is followed by CME.
	The value of the parameter for all the models distributed in each state of VPA reported in the MR is verified through the Credit Tracker Platform output file and found to be consistent. The dates of installations were also verified through sales receipts or installation cards /22/ of 44 randomly selected households for remote survey from the VPA with SLS distribution. The information obtained was consistent with dates provided in ER sheets/5/6/7/8/. It was thus confirmed that for households where distribution was done during the monitoring period (if any), emission reductions were only claimed for days passed since installation.
	The SLS model specific state-wise average values of parameter are equal to or lower than 365 days which was found appropriate based on the evidences provided as mentioned above.
If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	The date of installation of the 11 randomly selected households per VPA for DOE onsite survey was further cross- checked with credit tracker screenshots/45/ of recorded details of these 44 households. The values provided were found to be consistent. The applied value does not exceed 365 which is the total number of operational days in the monitoring period. The verified average values were equal to this as per the model distributed and date of installation.
Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The CME supervises the activities of the PO, providing training, guidelines and templates to facilitate accurate record keeping in their MIS system/Credit Tracker Platform. The sale process and record keeping was
	reviewed by conducting CME and PO interviews; the record keeping processes explained were found reliable.
In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM	Not Applicable

	Project Standard?
Findings	CAR#03 was raised and closed
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/1/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology /10/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan.

SDG13: Average operatin	g hours of kerosene	lamps in the baseline	, H, Hours/ day
-------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	-----------------

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG13: Climate Action	
Means of	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
verification	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	As per the applied methodology AMS I.A version 14/10/ paragraph I) "For the specific case of lighting devices a daily usage of 3.5 hours shall be assumed, unless it is demonstrated that the actual usage hours adjusted for seasonal variation of lighting is different based on representative sample survey (90% confidence interval +/-10% error) done for minimum of 90 days".
		For the current monitoring period default value of 3.5 hours/day is considered for this parameter for these VPAs.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	The value reported in the ER calculation sheet /5/6/7/8/ was checked with MR/40 and applied methodology AMS I.A version 14/10/ and found to be consistent.
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	Yes, the QA/QC procedures are in place. The data provided in applied methodology/10/ has been appropriately reported and used in ER calculation sheet/5/6/7/8/ and MR/40/.
Findings	None	
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/1//2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology /10/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the	

monitoring plan.

SDG13: Lamp failure rate: Share of lamps of lamp type i in checked sample group gi,v not operational in period v (LFRi,v), %

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG13: Climate Action	
Means of	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
verification	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	This parameter is determined by CME/PO/Monitoring partner through the quarterly survey to confirm the usage status of all SLS. The results collected are recorded in the Credit Tracker Platform /43/45/.
		If a solar lighting system is found to be not in use or non-operational during the survey then the same is considered as "failed" during the entire monitoring period under concern. All SLSs distributed till the day of surveying are monitored.
		Lamp failure rate is calculated as:
		LFR = (Number of failed lamps/Total number of lamps monitored)
		The value of this parameter for different SLS models distributed during the current monitoring period is provided in the monitoring report /40/ and ER calculation sheets/5/6/7/8/.
		The verification team randomly selected 44 samples (11 samples per VPA) for VVB's onsite survey from the VPAs covered in this request for issuance and found that all 44 surveyed SLSs for the VPAs were operational (as confirmed by the end users). The results were consistent with the monitoring survey results provided in ER calculation sheet/5/6/7/8/ for the surveyed households.

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

	If applicable has the	
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross- checked with other available data?	The results were cross-checked with quarterly usage survey forms/41/ for the 44 households surveyed to ensure consistency of data. No discrepancies in data reporting of this parameter were observed.
		Additionally, the lamp failure rate values are also compared with values obtained from last monitoring period under CDM and it could be confirmed that for each sub-group the parameter value has increased (indicating increased number of failed lamps) since last monitoring period. This is reasonable and can be attributed to older age of the SLSs, thus making them more prone to discontinuation of usage.
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	Yes, the training was provided to the staff responsible for collection of data/34.1/. QA/QC procedure is in place.
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable
Findings	None	
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/1/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology /10/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan.	

SDG 13: This factor corrects the total number of lamps of Iype i by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period v., $CF_{i,v,LFR}$, %

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG 13: Climate Action		
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation	
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual	

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		G34GG-FUA-VER-FURIVI
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	Value of this parameter is calculated using the value of lamp failure rate (LFR $_{i,v}$) using the below equation:
		$CF_{i,\nu,LFR} = 1 - \left(LFR_{i,\nu} + z * \sqrt{\frac{LFR_{i,\nu} * (1 - LFR_{i,\nu})}{n_{i,\nu,total}}} \right)$
		Values mentioned in the monitoring report were checked with the ER calculations sheet and found to be consistent.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross- checked with other available data?	Calculation approach reported in the ER calculation sheet was found to be satisfactory and in line with the registered monitoring plan.
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	This value is calculated based on the results of other monitored parameters with 90/10 confidence/precision. The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence level 90%) when 90/10 precision is not met.
Findings	CAR#03 was raised and resolved.	
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/1/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology /10/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan.	

SDG13: Total number of lamps checked for which a valid result was obtained, $n_{i,\nu,\text{total}}$ Lamps

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG 13: Climate Action	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	VVB Assessment
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annually
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring	Yes, the frequency in line to the PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/.

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

	G54GG-P0A-VER-FURM
methodology? (Yes / No)	
How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	This parameter is determined using the sampling surveys. Simple random sampling is applied to determine the sample size for the surveys. Sample size for each type of SLS model is calculated separately for each partner organization and each state.
	The verification team conducted a on-site visit wherein 44 randomly selected households (11 households per VPA) from the VPAs with SLS distribution were surveyed and asked about the operationality and usage of the project device. All sampled households were found to have an operational SLS which was subjected to regular, daily usage. The data of surveyed households was also consistent with results presented in ER sheets/5/6/7/8/, which were used in calculation of the parameter value.
	The monitored value are included in the final Monitoring Report /40/. The required level of precision i.e., 10% or less, has been achieved at 90% confidence level.
	Minimum 30 samples or total number of deployed SLS were monitored wherever the sample size arrived as less than 30 for a particular group of SLS model/state/PO combination. In some cases, the actual number of installations were less than 30 therefore the entire population size was considered. The verification team was able to confirm that the sample size calculation is in line with the Guideline: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities/26/.
	As an additional measure of conservativeness, CME has calculated this value using the assumption that all SLSs with status recorded as "installed_damaged" during quarterly and annual usage monitoring survey (which was done as a QA/QC procedure inline with revised approved PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/) are not working or in use. CME has considered no emission reductions from these devices with "installed_damaged" status even if the defunctional and in use after introducing minor repairs or fixes. This has been verified through evidence provided i.e., some sample monitoring survey forms/41//18/ and quarterly usage survey forms/41/. This has been reflected

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		G54GG-P0A-VER-FURM
		accurately in ER sheets/5/6/7/8/ as well.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross- checked with other available data?	The survey results, assumptions and sales records for different state/model/PO groups were checked by the verification team at random and were found acceptable. The results are reproducible in the ER sheets corresponding to final Monitoring Report/40/.
		The verification team cross-checked the parameter related data in ER sheet against the filled monitoring survey forms of the CME/41/ of the 44 randomly selected samples (11 samples per VPA) for VVB's onsite survey. It was confirmed that all the responses on solar lighting systems' operationality as reported by the end users during onsite interviews were consistent with the CME's sample survey results/18/41/.
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes	The CME/PO select the households for monitoring survey to check the lamp usage status for each lamp type <i>i</i> in the monitoring period. The survey results are recorded in Credit Tracker.
	in place?	The training was provided to the staff responsible for collection of data/34.1/. Thus, the QA/QC procedure is in place for the training of staff, and the documentary evidences were shared by CME against these requirements/34.1/.
ndings	None	
onclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan.	

SDG 13: Determination of whether or not the end user used kerosene for lighting prior to the project activity, kerosene usage in the baseline

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG 13: Climate Change	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	VVB Assessment
Vermeution	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line to the PoA- DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/.

Fin Co

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		G34GG-P0A-VER-FURIVI
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	Every household is asked about the baseline fuel used for lighting purpose at the time of loan application. The information gathered from the end users/purchaser of the product is recorded in the MIS system of POs and Credit Tracker Platform. This was confirmed from the credit tracker output file/46/. For the current monitoring period, it was
		inquired and confirmed during DOE onsite surveys of 11 randomly selected households per VPAs that all those households were using kerosene for lighting prior to the purchasing the SLS.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross- checked with other available data?	Data recorded in the system generated credit tracker output file/46/ is checked at random. Also, the sample households are randomly checked by the verification team for 11 randomly selected households per VPA by cross- checking the data in ERs sheet against baseline survey forms of these households/41/ (which were filled at the time of SLS installation). The form contains information about the baseline fuel in use by the household.
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	Yes, the training was provided to the staff responsible for collection of data/34.1/. QA/QC procedure is in place.
Findings	None	
Conclusion	registered monitoring plan (as be applied) and applied metho	nitored appropriately, in accordance with the per measurement methods and procedures to odology. The monitoring results were recorded ed frequency in the monitoring plan.

SDG1: Number of SLS distributed in Project, BSAProject, Number

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG 1: No poverty	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
vermeation	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	This parameter is measured on annual basis
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		0	
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable	
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The verified value for VPAs are:	this parameter as per
		VPA#	Value (Number)
		VPA 19	40,164
		VPA 21	136,182
		VPA 24	237
		VPA 26	175
		distributed in monitor monitoring survey checked. Since the d source of data colled	ber of VPA for SLS ing database, ex-post records were cross atabase is a primary ction and the QA/QC robust as described e accepted.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross- checked with other available data?	Not Applicable	
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processes appropriate and trust	
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable	
Findings	None		
Conclusion	The parameter has been mon registered monitoring plan/1/ (a to be applied) and applied me recorded consistently as per the	as per measurement m thodology /10/. The r	nethods and procedures monitoring results were

SDG 7: Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating SLS units under Project), ACS_{Project}, Number

Relevant SDG	SDG7: Affordable and Clean Energy	
Indicator		
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	VVB Assessment
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Continuously

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

			4GG-POA-VER-FURIN
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No) How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	Yes, the frequency is DD/1/ and VPA-DD's/2/ The post monitoring r checked to identify assessment as well as o	records/41/18/ were as part of the
			he 44 selected on site visit the who are having
		The usage rate was det monitoring survey an through CFR _{i,v} , the 98.21%(VPA 19), 85.98%(VPA 24) and SLS, the value of the p to be as mentioned bel to be acceptable.	nd then calculated usage rate of 96.37%(VPA 21), 95.60%(VPA 26) for arameter considered
		VPA#	Value (Number)
		VPA 19	39,445
		VPA 21	131,242
		VPA 24	204
		VPA 26	167
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross- checked with other available data?	Not Applicable	
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?		
Findings	None		
Conclusion	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the GS PoA-DD /1/ and registered VPA-DDs/2/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.		

E.6.5. Implementation of sampling plan

Means of verification	The monitoring has been carried out in accordance with the monitoring plan contained in the PoA-DD/1/ and respective VPA-DDs/2/.
	Sampling Design/Target Population/Sampling Frame/Reliability:
	In this sampling design, the VPA's that are covered under the current

monitoring period (GS11503, GS11501, GS11498 & GS11496) are the subject. The sampling frame considered confidence level and precision as 90/10 considering the requirement of Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM PAs and PoAs/23/.

The Credit Tracker Platform that records the contact details of the solar lighting systems end users, serves as the basis from which sampling frame is developed.

In first stage the total sales population is divided per partner if more than one partner organization (PO) involved in the VPAs. Further if the solar lighting systems sold by the PO in more than one state then the sales population splits at state level.

For each state, the sales numbers were further split into solar lighting system model.

Sampling Method:

Considering the homogeneity regarding the usage of solar products for the PO's in the relevant VPA's with solar lighting system sales, simple random sampling is applied to determine the parameter "Total number of lamps checked for which a valid result was obtained".

Sample Size (Required and Actual) for Parameter of Interest:

The sampling is applied to the proportion-based parameter $n_{,i,v,total}$ for the monitoring period requesting issuance. The sample sizes were determined, separately as per type of Solar lighting models and /or for the SLS models implemented by each PO per state.

In this regard, sample size calculation spreadsheets /5/6/7/8/ was checked and found correct as per registered monitoring plan. Minimum 30 samples or total number of deployed SLSs were monitored wherever the sample size arrived as less than 30 for particular group of SLS model/state/PO combination. In some cases, the actual number of installations were less than 30, and therefore, the entire population size was considered. The verification team was able to confirm that the sample size calculation is in line with the Guideline: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities/26/. Thus, the actual surveyed systems were either same or higher than the required number. As can be seen below, the sampling requirements were met for all type of solar lighting systems vintages.

Sample selection:

The samples were randomly selected using a computerized randomizer tool in Microsoft excel, and the verification team has reviewed the calculation. The samples were drawn from the complete sales databases (irrespective of their usage status determined during usage survey conducted annually as a part of QA/QC in line with revised accepted PoA-DD/1/) for each relevant VPA-DDs/2/. The sample can be confirmed to be representative of the total population in the context of the consideration of vintage of implementation of solar CEPs. To confirm whether the sample is representative of the different vintage of solar CEPs, CME had submitted a separate excel file/42/ which was assessed by the verification team for the proportion of total sales in different vintages. The vintages were calculated based on implementation date. The same is found to be justified and appropriate. Hence the verification team was able to confirm that the samples are representative of the total

population.

A sample vintage consideration is as follows:

Vintage split for Sarala d.light S100 in the state of West Bengal:
(sample size requirement-89)

Vintage based on implementation date	Proportion in distribution	Required number of samples based on proportion in distribution	Number of samples monitored for d.light S400
0-1 (27/06/2019 to 26/06/2020)	0%	0	0
1-2 (27/06/2018 to 26/06/2019)	0%	0	0
2-3 (27/06/2017 to 26/06/2018)	0%	0	0
3-4 (27/06/2016 to 26/06/2017)	77%	69	69
4-5 (27/06/2015 to 26/06/2016)	21%	19	19
5-6 (27/06/2014 to 26/06/2015)	2%	1	1

VPAs part of this issuance request have CEP sales in different vintages, and the number of samples (weightage based on number of CEPs installed and being used in the vintage) are assigned to each vintage accordingly. It was verified with credit tracker platform output files (VPA specific) /46/ and found to be consistent with the data available in vintage-wise consideration sheet/42/ average lifetime of various models of solar lights have been checked from their technical specifications. All models distributed in VPA of this batch have an average technical life of 5 years. However, this is an average estimate of the lifetime which might vary from individual product to product, depending on usage and handling. Operationality of the distributed solar light models is majorly dependent on its battery and the LED. Most of the electrical components of these lights, including batteries, charger, solar panels are replaceable, which can help the product last longer. During verification team's on-site visit, through interviews with project implementer representatives it was confirmed that system is in place for after-sales maintenance services to help the households with issues faced with operationality of the device. The end users were also interviewed to cross check, and it was found that they are aware of the available after-sales services. Additionally, what must also be noted is that CME conducts an annual and quarterly monitoring for all end users to check the usage status of the project device, thus capturing non-operational devices, which are then not accounted in calculation for emission reductions. Therefore, consideration of all solar lighting systems vintages included in the VPA has been accepted by the verification team.

Implementation of survey:

For monitoring of the parameter, the survey includes the question

• Is the solar lighting system in use? (Y/N)

Based on interviews with the CME and surveyors during the onsite surveys, in addition to simply asking this question to the end users, the surveyors were also trained to visually inspect the solar lighting system to corroborate the responses received. Therefore, the implementation of

	survey was considered reliable.			
	Monitoring survey (by CME) duration:			
	The monitoring survey (field survey / tests) was carried out by CME representatives between following duration for the current monitoring period:			
	VPA Ref. No.	Technology	Previous Monitoring dates	Survey dates for current monitoring period
	GS11503	SLS	20/01/2021 - 20/02/2021	03/01/2022 - 14/02/2022 -
	GS11501	SLS	20/01/2021 - 20/02/2021	01/01/2022 - 26/02/2022 -
	GS11498	SLS	20/01/2021 - 16/02/2021	05/01/2022 - 15/01/2022
	GS11496	SLS	20/01/2021 - 19/02/2021	06/02/2022
			that the monitoring monitoring monitoring period.	survey results obtained
	Reliability and precision calculation: The verification team has verified the ER calculation spreadsheets/5/6/7/8/ with the monitored data, where the actual achieved precision is calculated against the Guidelines outlined under "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities"/25/ and can confirm that the calculation of achieved reliability was done correctly. Reliability and precision check are carried out for each monitored sample group under the VPA. The parameters reported in ER spreadsheet were checked for the input values as well as formula applied and were found consistent. The reliability (demonstration of precision achieved after the survey results) is depicted in the ER calculation sheets /5/6/7/8/ corresponding to final Monitoring Report /40/, which were also found appropriate. Based on the verified results the verification team found that the required precision is met in all the cases and therefore the survey results were directly used in the calculation of ERs.			
Findings		raised and reso		plan and the parameter
Conclusion	The verification team confirmed that the sampling plan and the parameter values are in accordance with the monitoring plan provided in PoA DD/1/ and the VPA DDs/2/.			

E.6.6. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

Means of verification	No monitoring equipment required to monitor the parameters, as verified through the registered monitoring plan as outline in the VPA-DDs/2/ and PoA-DD/1/.
Findings	No findings raised.
Conclusion	The verification team has determined that no monitoring equipment has been used by the PP. Therefore, there was no requirement of calibration. This was in accordance with the accepted monitoring plan and the applied monitoring methodology.

E.6.7. Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals

E.6.7.1. Calculation of baseline value or estimation of baseline situation of each SDG Impact

	SDG-13: Climate Action	
Means of		
verification		n team verified that
		e set of data for the monitoring period was available for the period and the verification of each monitoring parameter is
		under Section E.6.4 of this report. The complete monitoring
		so presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheets
		of final Monitoring Report /40/.
		nation provided in the monitoring report was cross checked
		sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such
		n is also included under Section E.6.4 of this report.
		lations of baseline emissions as presented in the
		ling ER calculations sheet of final Monitoring Report were
		nd found to be consistent with the formulae and methods
		in the registered monitoring plan of each relevant VPA-
		A-DD/1/ and the applied methodology/10/.
		ptions used in the emission calculations were found
		e and therefore justified
	e) Appropriat	e emission factors, IPCC default factors/32/ and other
		values have been correctly applied. This has also been
		under Section E.6.4 of this report.
		dized baseline was prescribed in the PoA-DD and therefore it
		en applied.
		p pro-rata approach applied in the current monitoring period
		nonitoring period falls into period that is after the end of first
	commitme	nt period of Kyoto Protocol.
	The following	equations were used to determine the baseline emissions as
	-	e monitoring report $/40/$ and applied in the corresponding ER
		neets /8/. The equations used were found consistent with the
		ted PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DDs/2/ and the applied methodology
	AMS-I.A., vers	
	Total ERs ach	ieved in the current monitoring period by all types of SLS
		the relevant VPA is calculated using the following equations:
	$BE_v = \sum_{a=1}^n (N_{i,a})$	$a_{i} * d_{i,a,v} * l_{i} * h * \frac{1}{LE_{ker}} * EF_{ker} * 10^{-6} * 3.6 * CF_{i,v,LFR}$
	Where: BE _{iv} =	Emissions generated in the absence of the project
	$DL_{i,v}$ –	activity in period v by all lamps of type i
	$N_{i,a} =$	The total number of solar lamps of type <i>i</i> deployed in
		period a
	$d_{i,a,v} =$	Average number of days lamps of type <i>i</i> that have been
		deployed in period a were operating in period v
	$l_i =$	Nominal lumen output of solar lamps of the type I
		deployed as part of the project activity
	h =	Average number of hours solar lamps are used per day
	LE _{ker} =	The specific light output of kerosene when burnt in a kerosene lantern
	EF _{ker} =	The specific CO ₂ -emissions of kerosene
	A01	

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

	GS4GG-P0A-VER-FORM
	$CF_{i,v,LFR}$ = This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type <i>i</i> by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period <i>v</i> . The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence level 90%).
	And: $CF_{i,v,LFR} = 1 - \left(LFR_{i,v} + z * \sqrt{\frac{LFR_{i,v} * (1 - LFR_{i,v})}{n_{i,v,total}}} \right)$ Where:
	$CF_{i,v,LFR}$ = This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type <i>i</i> by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period <i>v</i> . The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence level 90%).
	$LFR_{i,v}$ = Share of lamps of lImp type <i>i</i> in checked sample group $g_{i,v}$ not operational in period <i>v</i> .
	z = Standard normal for a confidence level of 90%
	$n_{i,v,total}$ = Total number of lamps checked for which a valid result was obtained.
	Since there are different models of SLS having different lumen output are distributed/sold under the relevant VPAs, hence the emission reductions achieved by each type of solar lighting system is calculated separately. The above equation is used to calculate the ER achieved by particular solar lighting system and total emission reductions are arrived at as summation of the same.
	$BE_v = \sum_{i=1}^n BE_{i,v}$
	Where, $BE_{i,v}$ is the emission reductions achieved in the period v by all lamps of type i
	The calculation provided as a sample for one of the Partner-Model-State combination in MR/40/ has been reviewed and is found consistent with actual calculations applied in ER calculation sheet/5/ for that specific combination. It is noted that the sample calculation provided in MR is only one example of a specific group, which in no case reflect total baseline emissions from the technology i.e. from SLS distribution.
Findings	No Finding were raised.
Conclusion	 The verification team verified that g) A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated under Section E.6.4.2 of this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet /5/6/7/8/ of final Monitoring Report /40/.
	 h) The information provided in the monitoring report was cross checked with other sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such information is also included under Section E.6.4.2 of this report. i) The calculations of baseline emissions as presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet /5/6/7/8/ of final Monitoring Report /40/ were checked and found to be consistent with the formulae and methods described in the registered monitoring plan of VPA-DDs /2/, registered PoA-DD /1/ and the applied methodology/10/.

j) All assumptions used in the emission calculations were found appropriate and therefore justified
k) Appropriate emission factors, IPCC default factors/32/ and other reference values have been correctly applied. This has also been elaborated under Section E.6.4.1 of this report.
l) No standardized baseline was prescribed in the registered PoA-DD/1/.

E.6.7.2. Calculation of project value or estimation of project situation of each SDG Impact

Means of verification	The PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DDs/2/ and applied monitoring methodology/10/ does not prescribe any project emissions to be considered. The onsite visit conducted and project design also did not reveal any potential source to be considered in this regard.
Findings	None
Conclusion	No project emissions are required to be calculated.

E.6.7.3. Calculation of leakage

Means of verification	The PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DDs/2/ and applied monitoring methodology/10/ does not prescribe any leakage emissions to be considered. The onsite visit conducted and project design also did not reveal any potential source to be considered in this regard.
Findings	None
Conclusion	No additional leakage emissions (other than what is already considered in baseline calculations) were required in accordance with the methodology AMS-I.A, version 14 /10/.

E.6.7.4. Calculation of net benefits or direct calculation for each SDG Impact

Means of verification	SDGs Targete d	SDG Impact	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
	13	Climate Action	12,257 tCO ₂ e VERs (VPA 19) 41,303 tCO ₂ e VERs (VPA 21) 67 tCO ₂ e VERs (VPA 24) 58 tCO ₂ e VERs (VPA 26)	0 tCO ₂ e VERs (for all VPAs)	VERs (VPA 21)
	1	No Poverty	0	(VPA 21) 237 (VPA	40,176 (VPA 19) 136,182 (VPA 21) 237 (VPA 24) 175 (VPA 26)
	7	Affordable and clean energy	0	(VPA 21)	39,445 (VPA 19) 131,242 (VPA 21) 204 (VPA 24) 167 (VPA 26)

Findings	The calculation methods applied for all the SDG impacts were checked with PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/. The verification team confirms that the stated figures were checked and found acceptable. No Finding were raised.		
Conclusion	 The verification team confirms that e) The complete data was available and is duly reported; f) As indicated above, the description with regard to cross-check of reported data is included under respective parameter (refer Section E.5.4 and section E.6.4 of this report); g) Appropriate methods and formulae for calculating baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals, project emissions and leakage emissions were followed; h) Appropriate emission factors, IPCC default factors/32/ and other reference values were correctly applied. 		

E.7. Comparison of actual SDG Impacts with estimates in approved PDD

Means of verification	From Section E.5 of the Monitoring Report, it is apparent that estimated values were off while the project monitored its progress.			
	SDGs Targeted	SDG Impact	Values estimated in ex ante calculation of approved PoA-DD for this monitoring period	achieved during this monitoring
	13	Climate Action	VPA 19 - 113,194 VPA 21 - 149,648 VPA 24 - 123,421 VPA 26 - 159,507	VPA19 - 69,441 VPA21 - 103,884 VPA24 - 63,254 VPA26 - 55,288
	1	No Poverty	VPA 19 - 22,600 ICS VPA 21 - 26,000 ICS VPA 24 - 22,600 ICS VPA 26 - 25,625 ICS	VPA 19 - 21,000 VPA 21 - 22,000 VPA 24 - 21,000 VPA 26 - 20,124
			VPA 19 - 197,033 SLS VPA 21 - 287,184 SLS VPA 24 - 189,047 SLS VPA 26 - 260,835 SLS	VPA 21 - 136,182 VPA 24 - 237
	3		VPA 19 - 100 % VPA 21 - 100 % VPA 24 - 100 % VPA 26 - 100 %	VPA 19 - 82% VPA 21 - 84% VPA 24 - 90% VPA 26 - 90%
	5	Gender Equality	VPA 19 - 100 % VPA 21 - 100 % VPA 24 - 100 % VPA 26 - 100 %	VPA 19 - 82% VPA 21 - 84% VPA 24 - 90% VPA 26 - 90%
	7	Affordable and clean energy	VIA 20 25,002	VPA 19 - 17,220 VPA 21 - 18,450 VPA 24 - 18,900 VPA 26 - 18,112
		cican energy	VPA 19 - 197,033 VPA 21 - 287,184 VPA 24 - 189,047 VPA 26 - 260,835	VPA 19 - 39,445 VPA 21 - 131,242 VPA 24 - 204 VPA 26 - 167

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

	8	Decent Work and Economic Growth		VPA 19 - 73 VPA 21 - 85 VPA 24 - 30 VPA 26 - 30
	The actual SDG targets against the anticipated values in PoA-DD/01/ and VPA-DDs/02/ is lower for all the SDGs except SDG 8 as tabulated above. The primary reason being in the PoA-DD and VPA-DDs sales for the respective technology are much lower than expected in the VPA-DDs. Thus, the achieved SDG targets are much lower than anticipated.			
Findings	None			
Conclusion	The actual emission reductions achieved in the current monitoring period for the VPAs is lower than the emission reductions as well as for other SDG targets stated in the VPA-DDs/2/. Therefore, it has been accepted by the verification team.			

E.7.1.Remarks on increase in achieved SDG Impacts from estimated value in approved PDD

Means of verification	The Monitoring Report /40/ and corresponding ER calculations sheet /5/6/7/8/, show that the actual emission reductions achieved for project stove during this monitoring period are less than the estimate provided in VPA-DDs/2/.
Findings	None
Conclusion	No justification was sought from the PD because the achievement of emission reductions were lower than what had been estimated.

E.8. Stakeholder Inputs and Legal Disputes

Means of	Not applicable
verification	
Findings	None
Conclusion	Not Applicable

SECTION F. Internal quality control

The draft verification report that is prepared by the verification team is reviewed by an independent technical review team (one or more members) to confirm if the internal procedures established and implemented by Earthood were duly complied with and such opinion/conclusion is reached in an objective manner that complies with the applicable GS4GG requirements. The technical review team is collectively required to possess the technical expertise of all the technical area/sectoral scope the project activity relates to. All team members of technical review team are independent of the verification team.

During the technical review process, additional findings may be identified, or the closed-out findings may be opened, which needs to be satisfactorily resolved before the request for issuance is submitted to Gold Standard. The independent technical reviewer may either approve the report as such or reject/return the same in such case providing the comments/findings/issues that needs to be resolved by the verification team. The decision taken by the Technical Reviewer is final and is authorized on behalf of Earthood Services Private Limited.

SECTION G. Verification opinion

Earthood Services Private Limited (Earthood), contracted by, has performed the independent verification of the emission reductions for the GS Project GS 11503 (VPA 19), GS 11501 (VPA 21), GS11498 (VPA 24) & GS 11496 (VPA 26) in the host country "India" for the monitoring period 01/01/2021 to 31/12/2021 (both dates inclusive), as reported in the Monitoring Report,

Version 03.0

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

Version 3.0 dated 17/10/2022/40/. The 'MicroEnergy Credits' is responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring plan and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project activity. Earthood commenced the verification against the baseline and monitoring methodology "TPDDTEC – "Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumptions, Version 3.1"/09/ and "AMS I.D – Electricity generation by the user, Version 14.0"/10/, the monitoring plan contained in the VPA-DDs and Monitoring Report Version 3.0 dated 17/10/2022/40/.

VVB's verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. Earthood planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that Earthood considered necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated.

The verification team confirms that:

- The PoA was found completely implemented as per the description given in the registered VPA-DDs.
- The actual operation conforms to the description in the registered PoA DD/01/ and VPA- DDs/02/.

SECTION H. Certification statement

ESPL's verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. ESPL planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that ESPL considered necessary to give reasonable assurance that the reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated.

In our opinion, the GHG emissions reductions reported for the project activity are fairly stated in the Monitoring Report (final) Version 3.0 dated 17/10/2022/40/. ESPL, based on outcome of verification activities, certifies in writing that, during the monitoring period 01/01/2021 to 31/12/2021 (inclusive of both the dates), the registered GS PoA – GS11450 "MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India" achieved the verified amount of 69,441 tCO₂e reductions for VPA 19, 103,848 tCO₂e reductions for VPA 21, 63,254 tCO₂e reductions for VPA 24 and 55,288 tCO₂e reductions for VPA 26 in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that would not have occurred in the absence of the PoA.

The verified amount of emission reductions is stated below as per implemented VPAs and as per commitment period:

Monitoring period	VPA 19	VPA 21	VPA 24	VPA 26
From 01/01/2021 till 31/12/2021	69,441 tCO2e	103,848 tCO2e	63,254 tCO ₂ e	55,288 tCO ₂ e
Total	69,441 tCO2e	103,848 tCO2e	63,254 tCO ₂ e	55,288 tCO2e

Verified and certified emission reductions as per monitoring period:

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts		
General			
ACM	Approved Consolidated Methodology		
AM	Approved Methodology		
BE	Baseline Emission		
CAR	Corrective Action Request		
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism		
CER	Certified Emission Reduction		
CME	Coordinating and Managing Entity		
CL	Clarification Request		
CO2	Carbon dioxide		
СР	Crediting Period		
DR	Desk Review		
EB	Executive Board		
EI	External Individual		
ESPL	Earthood Services Private Limited		
FAR	Forward Action Request		
GHG	Green House Gas		
GSC/GSP	Global Stakeholder Consultation Process		
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change		
IR	Internal Resource		
КР	Kyoto Protocol		
LSC	Local Stakeholder Consultation Process		
MoC	Modalities of Communication		
MoV	Means of Verification		
MP	Monitoring Plan		
ODA	Official Development Assistance		
PA	Project Activity		
РСР	Project Cycle Procedure		
PD	Project Developer		
PDD	Project Design Document		
PE	Project Emission		
PoA	Programme of Activities		
PoA DD	Programme of Activities Design Document		
PS	Project Standard		
RCP	Renewal of Crediting Period		
RFR	Request for Registration		
tCO2e	tonnes of Carbon di Oxide equivalent		
ТРН	Tonnes Per Hour		
TR	Technical Reviewer		
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change		
V	Version		
VPA	Verified Project Activity		
VVB	Validation and Verification Body		
VVS	Validation and Verification Standard		
Project Specific			
ICS	Improved Cookstove		
GS4GG	Gold Standard for Global Goals		

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

EPC	Electric Pressure Cooker
LSC	Local Stakeholder Consultation
MoV	Means of Verification
SDG	Sustainable Development Goals
WPS	Water Purification System technology

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

	Competence Statement				
Name	Shifali Guleria				
Education	M.Sc. (Environmental Studies and Resource Management), TERI University				
Experience	3+ year				
Field	Climate Change				
Approved Roles					
Team Leader	YES				
Validator	YES				
Verifier	YES				
Methodology Expert	YES (AMS-I.A., AMS-II.G ACM0002)	., AMS-II.E., A	AMS-III.A.V., AMS-I.D,		
Local expert	YES				
Financial Expert	NO				
Technical Reviewer	YES				
TA Expert	YES (1.2, 3.1)				
Reviewed by	Deepika Mahala	Date	16/02/2022		
Approved by	Ashok Gautam	Date	18/02/2022		

Competence Statement				
Name	Deepika Mahala			
Country	India			
Education		M. Sc. (Environment Management), GGSIP University B.Sc. Hons. (Chemistry), Sri Venkateshwar College, DU		
Experience	6 Years +			
Field	Climate Change			
Approved Roles	Approved Roles			
Team Leader	YES			
Validator	YES	YES		
Verifier	YES			
Methodology Expert	ACM0002, AMS.I.D., AMS.I.A, AMS.III.AV, AMS.II.G, AMS-II.C			
Local expert	YES (India, Bangladesh)			
Financial Expert	NO			
Technical Reviewer	YES			
TA Expert	YES (TA 1.2 & TA 3.1)			
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (QM)	Date	28/04/2022	
Approved by	Kaviraj Singh (MD)	Date	28/04/2022	

Competence Statement						
Name	Divij Varshney					
Education	M.Tech. Renewable energy systems B.Tech. Electrical Engineering					
Experience	1.5 years					
Field	e.g., Climate Change & Environment / Industry	1				
	Approved Roles					
Team Leader	Yes (VM)	Yes (VM)				
Validator	Yes (VM)					
Verifier	Yes (VM)					
Methodology Expert	NO					
Local expert	NO					
Financial Expert	NO					
Technical Reviewer	NO					
TA Expert (X.X)	A Expert (X.X) NO					
Trainee	YES					
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria, Quality Manager	Date	24/09/2022			
Approved by	Deepika Mahala, Technical Manager	Date	24/09/2022			

Competence Statement				
Name	Sushant Vashisht			
Education	M.Sc. Environmental science and Te	chnolog	ĴУ	
Experience	6 months			
Field	Environment science and technology	y		
Approved Roles				
Team Leader	NO			
Validator	NO			
Verifier	NO			
Methodology	NO			
Expert				
Local expert	NO			
Financial Expert	NO			
Technical	NO			
Reviewer				
TA Expert (X.X)	NO	NO		
Trainee	YES			
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (Quality Manager)	Date	10/05/2022	
Approved by	Deepika Mahala (Technical Manager)	Date	10/05/2022	

Competence Statement				
Name	Satya Ranjan Panda			
EducationM.Tech in Energy and Environmental Engineering (NIT Rourkela)B.Tech in Civil Engineering (NIST Berhampur)				
Experience	-			
Field	-			

Γ

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

Approved Roles

Team Leader	NO				
Validator	NO				
Verifier	NO				
Methodology Expert	NO				
Local expert	NO				
Financial Expert	NO				
Technical Reviewer	NO				
TA Expert (X.X)	NO				
Trainee	YES				
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (Quality Manager)	Date	15/09/2022		
Approved by	Deepika Mahala (Technical Manager)	Date	15/09/2022		

Competence Statement					
Name	Ashish Yadav				
Education	M.Sc Environmental Sciences B.Sc Biotechnology				
Experience	1 Year				
Field	Wastewater treatment				
	Approved Roles				
Team Leader	NO				
Validator	NO				
Verifier	NO				
Methodology Expert	NO				
Local expert	NO				
Financial Expert	NO				
Technical Reviewer	NO				
TA Expert (X.X)	NO				
Trainee	Yes				
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (Quality Manager)	Date	20/09/2022		
Approved by	Deepika Mahala (Technical Manager)	Date	20/09/2022		



Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1.	MEC	PoA-DD	Version 2.1 dated 15/09/2022	СМЕ
2.	MEC	VPA-DD VPA 19	Ver.4.1, 17/10/2022	CME
		VPA 21	Ver.4.1,17/10/2022	
		VPA 24	Ver.3.1, 17/10/2022	
		VPA 26	Ver.4.0, 10/10/2022	
3.	ESPL	Validation Report for inclusion of VPA	Version 2.0, dated 21/10/2022	Others
4.	GS4GG	Monitoring report template Guide	Version 1.1, published on 14/10/2020	GS4GG
5.	MEC	ER Calculation Summary Sheet_MP1.xlxs	Pertaining to latest MR	CME
6.	MEC	ER Calculation sheet_d.lightS350_MP1.xlxs	Pertaining to latest MR	CME
7.	MEC	ER Calculation sheet_d.lightS400_MP1.xlxs	Pertaining to latest MR	CME
8.	MEC	ER Calculation sheet_ICS_d.light S300_S500_MP1_v2.xlxs	Pertaining to latest MR	СМЕ
9.	GS4GG	The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC)	Version 3.1, Dated 25/08/2017	Others
10.	UNFCCC	AMS I.A – Electricity generation by the user	Version 14.0	Others
11.	CDM	CDM webpage of the PoA: https://cdm.unfccc.int/Program meOfActivities/poa_db/B46TH0V 2GLIZK1UPWJ3SMNA8QRX7FY/vi ew	Last accessed on 13/10/2022	Others
12.	The Gold Standard Foundation	GS webpage of the PoA: https://registry.goldstandard.org /projects/details/3501	Last accessed on 13/10/2022	Others
13.	MEC	Carbon Title transfer document	-	CME

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

			63466-F0	A-VER-FORM
14.	MEC	Calibration certificates of weigh balance	Various	СМЕ
15.	MEC	Calibration certificates of Moisture meter	Various	CME
16.	MEC	Spot check user records and the pictures of the stoves	-	CME
17.	MEC	Training records	-	CME
18.	MEC	Monitoring survey reports for parameters monitoring for ICS and SLS	-	СМЕ
19.	MEC	Questionnaire used during the survey for each type of CEP	December 2020	CME
20.	SKDRDP	Technical specifications of ICS – Jumbo stove	-	CME
21.	d.Light	Technical specifications of SLS (Various)	-	CME
22.	MEC	Original copies of sales receipts / invoices/ warranty cards	-	CME
23.	UNFCCC	CDM PS for PoA	Version 3.0	Others
24.	UNFCCC	CDM VVS for PoA	Version 3.0	Others
25.	UNFCCC	Standard: sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities	Version 9.0	Others
26.	UNFCCC	Guidelines: sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities	Version 4.0	Others
27.	GS4GG	Principle and requirements	Version 1.2	Others
28.	GS4GG	PoA Requirements	Version 2.0	Others
29.	GS4GG	CSA Requirements	Version 1.2	Others
30.	GS4GG	GHG emission reduction and sequestration product requirements	Version 2.1	Others
31.	MEC	Employment Records	-	СМЕ
32.	IPCC	IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories 2.1 (http://www.ipcc- nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/p df/2_Volume2/V2_2_Ch2_Statio nary_Combustion.pdf)	-	Others
33.	GS4GG	Form: GS-MR-FORM	Version 1.1, Dated 14/10/2020	Others
34.	TASC	Training photos	-	CME
34.1	TASC	Training records	-	TASC
35.	The Gold Standard Foundation	REQUIREMENTS AND GUIDELINES USAGE RATE MONITORING,	-	CME
36.	IPCC	GWP: IPCC AR https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/u		Others

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

-				1
		oads/2018/02/ar4-wg1-chapter2- 1.pdf		
37.	IPCC	GWP: IPCC AR5, https://www.ipcc.ch/assessment -report/ar5/	-	Others
38.	MEC	Grievance Logbook	-	Others
39.	MEC	MEC and PO's agreement	-	CME
40.	MEC	Monitoring Report (final)	Version 3.0, dated 17/10/2022	CME
41.	MEC	Quarterly and annual monitoring survey forms	Filled	CME
42.	MEC	Vintage Wise approach (GS11482)	-	CME
43.	MEC	Credit tracker platform screenshots/ online – output file	-	CME
44.	MEC	https://cleancooking.org/binary- data/DOCUMENT/file/000/000/6 04-1.pdf	March 2018	CME
45.	MEC	Credit Tracker Platform Screenshots	-	CME
46.	MEC	Tracker output file	-	CME
47.	IIT Varanasi	Stove test report	-	CME
48.	UNFCCC	Tool 30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass	Version 4.0	Others
49.	UNFCCC	Community Services Activity Requirements	Version 1.2	Others
50.	ESPL	On-Site audit records	-	Others

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. Remaining FAR from validation and/or previous verification

FAR ID	ХХ	Section no.		Date : DD/MM/YYYY			
Description of FAR							
There is no finding from validation							
Project par	rticipant response	ł		Date : DD/MM/YYYY			
Documenta	ation provided by	project partici	pant				
DOE asses	sment			Date: DD/MM/YYYY			

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

		is verification					
	01	Section no	E.5.4.2	Date : 10/10/2022			
Descripti							
				on and dry season) with the sam			
			•	was calculated in all the VPA			
				choosing the final value of mea			
			'T (wet or dry se	ason) in all the VPAs.			
	articipant res	8		Date : 11/10/2022			
				und higher than the dry seasor			
	lence due to conservativeness approach and keeping in line with TPDDTEC v3.1 season ariation requirements, the KPT conducted in the wet season was considered. We have						
				ect that maximum value has bee			
		nas been provided.					
		ed by project par	rticipant				
Revised El				Date: 12/10/2022			
DOE asse		d by the DD was fo	und to be appr	Date: 12/10/2022			
				opriate. As per para 4.1.12 of th to conduct the performance test			
				ons on the expected average fue			
				inted for the seasonal variation			
				same end-users. The approach t			
				n is found to be conservative an			
		•	•	wed and confirms that it reflect			
ine ionnu	lae based on ti		consumption in	wet or dry season.			
CL#01 is (
	CLUSLD.						
L ID	02	Section	-	Date : 10/10/2022			
		Section no.	-	Date : 10/10/2022			
escriptio	n of CL	no.	-				
escriptio followi	n of CL ing sheets:	ER Calculation		9_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati			
escriptio followi neet_VPA2	n of CL ing sheets: 21_MP1, E	ER Calculation	- Sheet_VPA19 Sheet_VPA2	9_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati			
escriptio followi heet_VPA2 neet_VPA2	n of CL ng sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2.	ER Calculation R Calculation	Sheet_VPA2	9_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati			
escriptio followi heet_VPA2 heet_VPA2 or SLS, It	n of CL ing sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer	ER Calculation R Calculation ntioned quarterly r	Sheet_VPA2	9_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System workir			
escriptio followi neet_VPA2 neet_VPA2 or SLS, It O = Sola	n of CL ng sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst	ER Calculation R Calculation ntioned quarterly r tem not working),	Sheet_VPA2 nonitoring (YES PD is requeste	9_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 24_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System working ed to how quarterly monitoring			
escriptio followi neet_VPA2 neet_VPA2 or SLS, It O = Sola nrried out	n of CL ng sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart	R Calculation R Calculation ntioned quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is	Sheet_VPA2 nonitoring (YES PD is requeste	9_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 24_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System workin ed to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed.			
escriptio followi heet_VPA2 preet_VPA2 or SLS, It 0 = Sola arried out roject pa	n of CL ng sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp	R Calculation R Calculation ntioned quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is	Sheet_VPA2 nonitoring (YES , PD is requeste done for all the	9_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System workin ed to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022			
escriptio followi heet_VPA2 beet_VPA2 or SLS, It 0 = Sola arried out roject pa s part of to	n of CL ng sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ntioned quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is ponse plan, the PO condu	Sheet_VPA2 monitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the ucts quarterly magnetic	9_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System workin ed to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 conitoring for all the distributed S			
escriptio followineet_VPA2 neet_VPA2 or SLS, It 0 = Solat arried out roject pa s part of t roducts. P	n of CL ng sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring O staff is traine	R Calculation R Calculation ntioned quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is ponse plan, the PO condu- ed during the ince	Sheet_VPA2 nonitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the ucts quarterly monoption of the proj	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati e Solar Lighting System working d to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 Donitoring for all the distributed S ect as well as regular trainings a			
escriptio followineet_VPA2 neet_VPA2 or SLS, It 0 = Sola arried out roject pa s part of the roducts. P rovided to	n of CL ng sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring p 0 staff is trained the PO staff to	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ntioned quarterly r tern not working), terly monitoring is plan, the PO conducted plan, the PO conducted potential control of the second con	Sheet_VPA2 monitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the functs quarterly monophics pation of the proj mation in a press	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati e Solar Lighting System workin ed to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 <i>Date : 11/10/2022</i> <i>Date : a well as regular trainings a</i> <i>accribed format. PO staff has week</i>			
escriptio followineet_VPA2 neet_VPA2 or SLS, It 0 = Sola arried out roject pa s part of to roducts. P rovided to nd bi-weel	n of CL ing sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring f O staff is trained the PO staff to kly meetings w	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ntioned quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is plan, the PO condu- plan, the po cond	Sheet_VPA2 monitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the functs quarterly monophics pation of the proj mation in a press	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati e Solar Lighting System working d to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 Donitoring for all the distributed S ect as well as regular trainings a			
escriptio followineet_VPA2 or SLS, It 0 = Solat arried out roject pa s part of to rovided to nd bi-weet neets have	n of CL ing sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring p O staff is trained the PO staff to kly meetings we been submitted	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ationed quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is ponse plan, the PO condu- ed during the incep o capture this infor- rith end users whice ed to VVB.	Sheet_VPA2 monitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the fucts quarterly mation of the proj tration in a present of the cap	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati e Solar Lighting System workin ed to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 <i>Date : 11/10/2022</i> <i>Date : a well as regular trainings a</i> <i>accribed format. PO staff has week</i>			
escriptio followineet_VPA2 preet_VPA2 or SLS, It O = Solat arried out roject pa s part of the roducts. P rovided to ad bi-week preets have ocument	n of CL ing sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring p 0 staff is trained the PO staff to kly meetings w been submitte ation provide	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ntioned quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is plan, the PO condu- plan, the po cond	Sheet_VPA2 monitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the fucts quarterly mation of the proj tration in a present of the cap	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati e Solar Lighting System workin ed to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 <i>Date : 11/10/2022</i> <i>Date : a well as regular trainings a</i> <i>accribed format. PO staff has week</i>			
escription followinheet_VPA2 neet_VPA2 or SLS, It O = Solan arried out roject pa s part of the roducts. P rovided to nd bi-weet neets have ocument MS Forms	n of CL ng sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring for the PO staff to kly meetings w been submitte ation provide	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ationed quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is ponse plan, the PO condu- ed during the incep o capture this infor- rith end users whice ed to VVB.	Sheet_VPA2 monitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the fucts quarterly mation of the proj tration in a present of the cap	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati e Solar Lighting System workin ed to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022			
escriptio followi heet_VPA2 or SLS, It 0 = Sola arried out roject pa s part of t roducts. P rovided to nd bi-wee heets have ocument MS Forms OE asses	n of CL ing sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring p O staff is trained the PO staff to kly meetings w been submitted ation provide sment	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ntioned quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is plan, the PO condu- ed during the incep o capture this infor- vith end users which ed to VVB. d by project part	Sheet_VPA2 monitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the acts quarterly mo- ption of the proj mation in a prese th is used to cap	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System working ed to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 ponitoring for all the distributed S ect as well as regular trainings a scribed format. PO staff has week ture this information. Sample Quarter Date: 12/10/2022			
escription followinheet_VPA2 or SLS, It 0 = Solan arried out roject pa s part of to rovided to and bi-weet heets have ocument MS Forms OE asses he shared	n of CL ing sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring p O staff is trained the PO staff to kly meetings w been submitte ation provide sment documents ha	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ationed quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is plan, the PO condu- ed during the incep o capture this infor- rith end users which ed to VVB. d by project part s been reviewed. 1	Sheet_VPA2 nonitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the acts quarterly mation of the proj mation in a present in is used to cap	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System working ed to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022 Date : 12/10/2022 irmed that CME conducts quarte			
heet_VPA2 or SLS, It O = Solar arried out roject pa s part of the roducts. P rovided to heets have ocument MS Forms OE asses he shared nonitoring	n of CL ing sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring p O staff is trained the PO staff to kly meetings w been submitte ation provide sment documents ha of the distribut	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ationed quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is ponse plan, the PO condu- ed during the incep o capture this infor- rith end users which ed to VVB. d by project part s been reviewed. I ced SLS products t	Sheet_VPA2 nonitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the ucts quarterly me ption of the proj mation in a press th is used to cap Cicipant It has been conf hrough the quar	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System working SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022 irmed that CME conducts quarted terly monitoring survey forms. T			
escriptio followi heet_VPA2 or SLS, It 0 = Sola arried out roject pa s part of to rovided to nd bi-weet heets have ocument MS Forms OE asses he shared ionitoring	n of CL ing sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring p O staff is trained the PO staff to kly meetings w been submitte ation provide sment documents ha of the distribut	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ationed quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is ponse plan, the PO condu- ed during the incep o capture this infor- rith end users which ed to VVB. d by project part s been reviewed. I ced SLS products t	Sheet_VPA2 nonitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the ucts quarterly me ption of the proj mation in a press th is used to cap Cicipant It has been conf hrough the quar	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System working ed to how quarterly monitoring SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022 Date : 12/10/2022 irmed that CME conducts quarte			
escription followinheet_VPA2 prest_VPA2 or SLS, It O = Solar arried out roject pa s part of the roducts. P rovided to nd bi-weet heets have ocument MS Forms OE asses he shared conitoring aining mo	n of CL ng sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring p 0 staff is trained the PO staff to kly meetings w been submitted ation provide sment documents ha of the distribut dules and atter	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ationed quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is ponse plan, the PO condu- ed during the incep o capture this infor- rith end users which ed to VVB. d by project part s been reviewed. I ced SLS products t	Sheet_VPA2 nonitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the ucts quarterly me ption of the proj mation in a press th is used to cap Cicipant It has been conf hrough the quar	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System working SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022 irmed that CME conducts quarted terly monitoring survey forms. T			
escriptio followineet_VPA2 or SLS, It 0 = Solat arried out roject pa s part of the rovided to ad bi-weet beets have ocument MS Forms OE asses ne shared onitoring	n of CL ng sheets: 21_MP1, E 26_MP1_v2. has been mer r Lighting Syst and does quart rticipant resp he monitoring p 0 staff is trained the PO staff to kly meetings w been submitted ation provide sment documents ha of the distribut dules and atter	no. ER Calculation R Calculation ationed quarterly r tem not working), terly monitoring is ponse plan, the PO condu- ed during the incep o capture this infor- rith end users which ed to VVB. d by project part s been reviewed. I ced SLS products t	Sheet_VPA2 nonitoring (YES PD is requested done for all the ucts quarterly me ption of the proj mation in a press th is used to cap Cicipant It has been conf hrough the quar	P_MP1_v2.xlxs, ER Calculati 4_MP1_v2, ER calculati = Solar Lighting System working SLS distributed. Date : 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 11/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022 <i>Date :</i> 12/10/2022			

Table 3.	CAR from this verification			
CAR ID	01	Section no.	E.5.7.4	Date: 10/10/2022
	-			

Description	of CAR
-------------	--------

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

In Table 1: Sustainable Development Contributions Achieved, SDG impact of SDG 3 and SDG 5 is found to be inconsistent with SDG Impact in VPA-DD. PP is requested to take corrective action.

Project participant response	Date : 11/10/2022
SDG3 and 5 in table 1 has been made consistent with VPA-DD.	Revised MR has been
submitted	
Documentation provided by project participant	
Revised MR	

DOE assessment

Date: 12/10/2022

The revised MR has been reviewed. PD has updated the SDG Impact of SDG 3 and SDG 5 to be consistent with VPA-DDs, and hence, found to be appropriate.

CAR#01 is closed.

CAR ID	02	Section no.	E.5.1, E.6.1	Date : 10/10/2022			
Description	n of CAR						
In section B	, following inconsist	tencies are obs	served:				
the S Karn Punja (WB)	 In section B, following inconsistencies are observed: 1. For VPA 19, Measures taken, PD has stated "For improved cookstoves and solar lights, the State of Bihar (BH), Chhattisgarh (CG), Goa (GOA), Gujarat (GJ), Jharkhand (JK), Karnataka (KA), Kerala (KL), Madhya Pradesh (MP), Maharashtra (MH), Odisha (OD), Punjab (PJ), Rajasthan (RJ), Tamil Nadu (TN), Uttar Pradesh (UP) and West Bengal (WB) are included". However, as per VPA-DD and ER sheet VPA 19, ICS is distributed only in state of Karnataka. PP is requested to clarify. 						
2. For V the Guja Maha (TR)	/PA 21, Measures ta State of Assam(A rat(GJ), Jharkhand arashtra(MH), Odis , Uttar Pradesh(UP) ER sheet VPA 21, I	aken, PD has S), Bihar(BH) d (JK), Karr ha(OD), Punja and West Be	stated "For improved cool , Chandigarh(CD), Chha nataka(KA), Kerala(KL), ab(PB), Rajasthan(RJ), T ngal(WB) are included". H red only in state of Karna	attisgarh(CG), Goa(GA), Madhya Pradesh(MP), amil Nadu(TN), Tripura łowever, as per VPA-DD			
3. For V imple VPA incor 4. For V imple CPA	/PA 24, point c (7) emented from 30/1 are implemented sistent with the ER /PA 26, point c (7) emented from 30/1 are implemented	1/2019 to 19 from 01/01/ sheet VPA 24 , PP has state 1/2019 to 24 from 07/01/	ed "The improved cooksto /06/2020. The solar ligh /2020 to 20/02/2020", . PP is requested to clarify ed "The improved cooksto /06/2020. The solar ligh /2020 to 23/03/2020", . PP is requested to clarify	ting systems under this which is found to be oves under this VPA are ting systems under this which is found to be			
	rticipant response		. FF IS TEQUESTED to Clarify	Date : 11/10/2022			
1. The cleric the state of 2. The cleric	cal error in the MR h Karnataka. Revised	nas been corre I MR has been nas been corre	ected. Improved cookstove	es are only distributed in			
				ently, the dates for ICS			
3. The error in the date of implementation has been corrected. Accidently, the dates for ICS and SLS were interchange. Revied MR has been provided.							
	4. The error in the date of implementation has been corrected. Accidently, the dates for ICS						
	and SLS were interchange. Revied MR has been provided.						
Documentation provided by project participant							
Revised MR				Date: 12/10/2022			
	Sment			Date: 12/10/2022			

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

- 1. The revised MR has been reviewed. PD has corrected the information in section B of the MR. The information has been verified and found to be appropriate. CLOSED
- 2. The revised MR has been reviewed. PD has corrected the information in section B of the MR. The information has been verified and found to be appropriate. CLOSED
- 3. The revised MR has been reviewed. PD has corrected the dates of implementation in section B of MR. The revised dates has been verified from the sales database and found to be appropriate. CLOSED
- 4. The revised MR has been reviewed. PD has corrected the dates of implementation in section B of MR. The revised dates has been verified from the sales database and found to be appropriate. CLOSED

CAR#02 is CLOSED.

CAR ID	03	Section	E.6.4.2	Date: 10/10/2022			
		no.					
Description							
			in section D.2 od MR:				
			ESAF RAL Duron Mitva M				
			SAF_RAL Duron Mitva MST alue of Sunking Pico Pl				
incor	nsistent with ER she	et, Tab: ESAF	_SUNKING PICO PLUS_KL	, Cell E19.			
			alue of Sunking Pico Plu _SUNKING PICO PLUS_MH				
			uested to clarify of wheth				
	age or the total sale			_			
PD is reques	sted to take correct	ive action.					
	rticipant response			Date : 11/10/2022			
			f ESAF RAL Duron Mitva I	MST 952A(KL) has been			
			ed MR is submitted.				
			alue of Sunking Pico Plu	us(KL) has been made			
	istent with ER shee						
	istent with ER shee		alue of Sunking Pico Plu	s(MH) has been made			
			total. The typographical e	rror has been corrected			
	sed MR is submitted			Tor has been corrected.			
	ation provided by		cipant				
Revised MR							
DOE asses	sment			Date: 12/10/2022			
			he value of ESAF RAL Dur				
			istent with the ER sheet.	The calculation has also			
	reviewed and foun						
	2. The revised MR has been reviewed. The value of Sunking Pico Plus(KL) for parameter						
$CF_{i,v,LFR}$ has been made consistent with the ER sheet. The calculation has also been							
	reviewed and found to be appropriate. CLOSED						
3. The revised MR has been reviewed. The value of Sunking Pico Plus(MH) for parameter 'CF _{i,v,LFR} ' has been made consistent with the ER sheet. The calculation has also been							
reviewed and found to be appropriate. CLOSED							
	4. The revised MR has been reviewed. For parameter $N_{i,a'}$ the typographical error has						
been corrected. CLOSED							
CAR#03 is (CAR#03 is CLOSED.						

CAR ID	04	Section	E.6.5	Date : 10/10/2022			
		no.					
Description of CAR							

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

Following inconsistencies are observed in section D.4 of MR:

- Page 110, vintage split of CL2LT2HLS2, total monitored samples is mentioned as 0 for vintage 1-2 (01/01/2020 to 31/12/2020), which is found to be inconsistent with ER sheet VPA 26.
 VPA 19, SLS, column sample size, value for asirvad gosolar HLS (RJ) is found to be
- inconsistent with ER sheet VPA 19, tab: Asirvad_Mon_Glosolar MiniHLS_RJ, Cell D3.
 3. VPA 21, SLS, column sample size, value for Bandhan Sunking HLS 120 (BH), Simpa SP Inverter 200 (BH) and Asirvad sunking Boom (KA) is found to be inconsistent with ER sheet VPA 21, tab: Bandhan_Mon_SunkingHLS120_BH Cell E4, Simpa_Mon_SPInverter200_BH Cell C4 & asirvad_Mon_Sunkingboom_KA Cell C4.
 PP is requested to take corrective action.

Project participant response Date : 11/10/2022 1. Page 110, the example has been corrected to reflected the correct vintage split and how samples have been taken proportionately. Revised MR has been submitted. 2. VPA 19, SLS, column sample size, value for asirvad gosolar HLS (RJ) has been made consistent with the ER sheet. Revised MR has been submitted.

 VPA 21, SLS, column sample size, value for Bandhan Sunking HLS 120 (BH), Simpa SP Inverter 200 (BH) and Asirvad sunking Boom (KA) has been made consistent with the ER sheet. Revised MR has been submitted.

Documentation provided by project participant

Revised MR
DOE assessment

Date: 12/10/2022

- 1. The revised MR has been reviewed. The vintage is found to be consistent with the ER sheet. The vintage calculation has been reviewed and found to be appropriately applied. CLOSED
- 2. The revised MR has been reviewed. The value of sample size of asirvad gosolar HLS (RJ) has been made consistent with the ER sheet. The vintage calculation has been reviewed and found to be appropriately applied. CLOSED
- 3. The revised MR has been reviewed. The value of Bandhan Sunking HLS 120 (BH), Simpa SP Inverter 200 (BH) and Asirvad sunking Boom (KA) has been made consistent with the ER sheet. The vintage calculation has been reviewed and found to be appropriately applied. CLOSED

CAR#04 is CLOSED.

CAR ID	05	Section	E.5.7.1	Date: 10/10/2022		
	05		L.J./.1	Date: 10/10/2022		
		no.				
Description	on of CAR					
In Section	E.4, Column	'Baseline estimate' ar	nd 'Net Benefit', the value	of SDG 13 for VPA 19 is		
found to b	e inconsister	nt with ER sheet VPA	19, tab: ER summary, cel	I C7. PD is requested to		
take corre	ctive action.			-		
Project pa	articipant re	esponse		Date : 11/10/2022		
In Section	E.4, Columr	'Baseline estimate' a	and 'Net Benefit', the value	e of SDG 13 for VPA 19		
has been r	made consiste	ent with the ER Sheet.	Revised MR has been sub	mitted.		
Documen	tation provi	ded by project part	icipant			
Revised M	R					
DOE asse	DOE assessment Date: 12/10/2022					
The revised MR has been reviewed. Net Benefit and Baseline Estimate for the value of SDG 13 (VPA 19) has been corrected and found to be appropriate. The ER calculation has also been reviewed and found to be appropriately applied.						
CAR#05 is CLOSED.						

 CAR ID
 06
 Section no.
 Date : 10/10/2022

 Description of CAR
 Date : 10/10/2022

It has been observed that the values of SDG parameters and Emission reductions for VPA 21 has been left blank in whole MR. CME is requested to provide all the values for VPA 21.

GS4GG-PoA-VER-FORM

Data	11	/1	\cap	120	177	

Project participant response	Date : 11/10/2022
The values of SDG parameters and emission reductions for VPA21 has	been added in the MR.
Revised MR has been submitted.	
Documentation provided by project participant	
Revised MR	
DOE assessment	Date: 12/10/2022
The revised MR has been reviewed. The values of SDG parameters and VPA 21 has been correctly reflected in the MR. The calculations of S reviewed and found to be appropriately applied.	
CAR#06 is CLOSED.	

Table 4.	FAR	from	this	verification	
----------	-----	------	------	--------------	--

FAR ID	XX	Section No.		Date : DD/MM/YYYY		
Description of FAR						
There is no FAR from this verification						
Project participant response Date : DD/MM/YYYY						
_						

Documentation provided by project participant

DOE assessment

Date: DD/MM/YYYY