

**Verification report form for GS4GG
Programme of Activity
(Gold Standard for the Global Goals)**

BASIC INFORMATION

Title of the GS4GG Programme of Activity (PoA)	PoA GS ID: 11450 MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India	
Reference number of the Programmes of Activity (PoA)	GS 11450	
Version number of the verification and certification report	1.1	
Completion date of the verification and certification report	03/10/2023	
GS ID (s) of VPAs under PoA	VPA Ref. no.	Title
	GS 11505	GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – VPA 6- GS11505
	GS 11478	GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – VPA 08- GS11478
Version number of the monitoring report to which this report applies	2	
Completion date of the monitoring report to which this report applies	20-09-2023	
Monitoring period no. and duration	01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022 (inclusive of both days) Monitoring period falls under crediting period (CP2): 20/03/2020 to 19/03/2025 for VPA-6 01/05/2020 to 30-04-2025 for VPA-8	
Project Representative	Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited	
Host Party	India	
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines	AMS–III.AR “Substituting fossil fuel based lighting with LED/CFL lighting systems” Version 07. Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 03.1.	

Activity requirements applied		☒ Community Services Activities ☐ Renewable Energy Activities ☐ Land Use and Forestry Activities/Risks & Capacities ☐ N/A		
Product Requirements applied		☒ GHG Emissions Reduction & Sequestration ☐ Renewable Energy Label ☐ N/A		
Estimated amount of annual average GHG emission reductions			VPA 06	VPA 08
		SLS	7,932	3,252
		ICS	64,024	68,488
		Total	71,956	71,740
Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact	Total amount of certified SDG impact (as per approved methodology) achieved in this monitoring period		Units/Product:
		Estimated	Achieved	
SDG 13: Climate Action	Number of VER's (SLS)	VPA 6- 7,932 VPA 8- 3,252	VPA 6- 4,907 VPA 8- 2,552	tCO2e VERs
	Number of VER's (ICS)	VPA 6- 64,024 VPA 8- 68,488	VPA 6- 32,784 VPA 8- 33,322	tCO2e VERs
	Number of VER's (SLS+ICS)	VPA 6- 71,756 VPA 8- 71,740	VPA 6- 37,691 VPA 8- 35,874	tCO2e VERs
SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy	Number of beneficiaries (ICS)	VPA 6- 17,967 VPA 8- 21,003	VPA 6- 10,310 VPA 8- 12,052	Number of ICS
	Number of beneficiaries (SLS)	VPA 6- 81,045 VPA 8- 11,671	VPA 6- 64,524 VPA 8- 9,306	Number of SLS
SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth	Total number of jobs created	VPA 6- 20 VPA 8- 20	VPA 6- 93 VPA 8- 30	Number of Jobs
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the VVB		Earthood Services Private Limited E-0066		

Name, position and signature of the approver of the verification report



Managing Director

Dr. Kaviraj Singh

SECTION A. Executive summary

The GS programme of activity "MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India" (PoA GS 11450) aims to replacement of fossil fuel consumption and the resultant GHG emission with a clear and sustainable technology which will lead to reduced GHG emissions. CME archives this through dissemination of improved cookstove (ICS), Solar lighting systems (SLS) and Water Purification System (WPS) in households/facilities of rural areas in various states of India. The PoA is using carbon finance to support local partners engaged in different activities like production, distribution, and maintenance of various product technologies like ICS, SLS and WPS. The VPAs main target is on reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from the burning of non-renewable woody biomass and/or charcoal for cooking and boiling of water for drinking purpose. Improved Cookstoves (ICS) improve heat transfer efficiency as compared to the baseline conventional there stone fired stoves, and thereby reducing GHG emissions, the water purification systems also reduce the dependency of boiling water using non-renewable woody biomass, thereby reducing the GHG emissions from the burning of non-renewable woody biomass and/or charcoal for treating the water, and solar lighting systems results in fulfilment of lighting needs through a renewable source (solar energy), thus replacing the baseline scenario with the project activity will lead to reduction in GHG emissions and fulfilling the requirements of the applied methodologies AMS-III.AR "Substituting fossil fuel-based lighting with LED/CFL lighting systems" version 07/08/ and TPDDTEC Version 3.1/06/ respectively.

The VPAs (VPA 6 & VPA 8) which is a part of this verification report includes dissemination of SLS and ICS and does not include implementation of WPS.

The VPA's are being submitted to GS4GG for Verification are as follows:

Parameter	Validated information					
GS ID of the VPAs to be included	VPA 6- GS11505, VPA 08- GS11478					
Title of the VPAs	- GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – VPA 6- GS11505. - GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – VPA 08- GS11478					
Methodology applied	- AMS-III.AR "Substituting fossil fuel-based lighting with LED/CFL lighting systems" version 07 - Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 03.1.					
Crediting period	VPA Reference Number	Crediting Start Date	Crediting End Date (CP-1)	Crediting Start Date (CP-2)	GS4GG Crediting End Date	GS4GG Eligible Crediting End Date ¹
	GS11505	20/03/2015	19/03/2020	20/03/2020	19/03/2025	19/03/2030
	GS11478	01/05/2015	30/04/2020	01/05/2020	30/04/2025	30/04/2030

The VPAs aim at dissemination of improved cookstove and solar lighting system in various states of India /02/ and is being implemented by Shree Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP), Muthoot, Evangelical Social Action Forum (ESAF) and Sarala and coordinated by MicroEnergy Credits Corporation Private Limited (MEC). The VPA's aims at GHG emission reductions through displacement of fossil fuel use with improved cookstove and solar lighting systems (ICS and SLS) to meet the thermal and electric demands of facility/household. The households in rural areas of India traditionally use fossil fuels which

¹ As these are CDM Transitioned projects, GS4GG allows a total crediting period of 15-years from the CDM crediting start date.

includes charcoal, kerosene, LPG, diesel, wood, and coal intensive grid for fulfilling their energy demands. The baseline scenario under the VPA's is the replacement of traditional three stone fired cookstove with the improved cookstove thereby reducing the amount of fuelwood used for cooking purposes in the baseline. Also, the distribution of solar lighting systems replaces the kerosene-based lamps in households, which would have resulted in GHG emissions due to burning of kerosene.

The PoA has been registered under GS4GG (GSID 11450). The CME of the PoA is Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited and with the help of local partners & the VPAs Implementer The improved cookstove are implemented by Shri Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP)². Solar lighting system are implemented by Shree Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP), Muthoot, Evangelical Social Action Forum (ESAF) and Sarala

The Monitoring period covered under this verification is 01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022 (inclusive of both the dates) for all the (VPA 06 & VPA 08). All the VPAs i.e., GS 11505 (VPA 06) and GS 11478 (VPA 08)/02/ envisage an archived annual GHG emission reduction and other SDG impacts over the crediting period as given in the table below.

Sustainable Development Goals Targeted			SDG Impact	Amount Achieved	Units/ Products
13	Climate (mandatory)	Action	Number of VERs (ICS)	VPA 6- 32,784 VPA 8- 33,322	tCO2e VERs
13	Climate (mandatory)	Action	Number of VERs (SLS)	VPA 6- 4,907 VPA 8- 2,552	tCO2e VERs
7	Affordable Clean Energy	and	Number of beneficiaries (SLS)	VPA 6 – 64,524 VPA 8 – 9,306	Number
7	Affordable Clean Energy	and	Number of beneficiaries (ICS)	VPA 6 – 10,310 VPA 8 -12,052	Number
8	Decent Work and Economic Growth		Quantitative Employment and income generation	VPA 6 – 93 VPA 8 - 30	Number

Scope of Verification

The verification is an independent and objective review for determination of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions by the VVB. The verification includes the implementation and operation of the PoA as set out in the registered PoA-DD/01/ & VPA-DDs/02/ for VPA 06 and VPA 08 in the monitoring period.

The verification tests the data and assertions set out in the monitoring report prepared for this monitoring period, and it is based on the review of the following:

² skdrdpindia.org

- (i) The approved methodology AMS-III.AR "Substituting fossil fuel based lighting with LED/CFL lighting systems" version 07/08/.
- (ii) The approved methodology TPDDTEC – "Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumptions, Version 3.1 /06/
- (iii) The registered PoA-DD/01/ & registered VPA-DDs/02/ and monitoring plan/02/
- (iv) UNFCCC criteria referred to in the Kyoto Protocol criteria and the CDM modalities and procedures as agreed in the Bonn Agreement and the Marrakech Accords
- (v) GS4GG requirements
- (vi) The CDM Validation and Verification Standard (VVS) version 3.0/22/ and The CDM Project Standard (PS) version 3.0/21/
- (vii) Relevant decisions, guidance, and clarifications of the CMP and CDM Executive Board and any other information and references relevant to the project activity's reported emission reductions
- (viii) GS review of validation of PoA and VPAs

The verification has considered both the quantitative and qualitative aspects on stated/reported emission reductions. The monitoring report (all versions) and corresponding supporting documentation was assessed in accordance with the rules defined by UNFCCC and GS4GG, as appropriate to the PoA. The verification is not meant to provide any consulting or recommendations to the CME/others. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the monitoring activities.

Verification Process

The verification process is conducted as per internal GS4GG Requirements, which includes the following steps;

- a) Contract with CME and appointment of verification team and technical review team (refer Section B.1 and B.2 of this report)
- b) Desk review (refer Section D.1 of this report) of Monitoring Report and corresponding ER sheet by verification team and remote audit (including sampling approach (refer Section D.4 of this report) to be applied)
- c) Remote-site audit (refer Section D.2 of this report) by verification team consistent of Team Leader and all Technical Experts, as a minimum
- d) Follow up activities e.g., interviews (refer Section D.3 of this report)
- e) Reporting and closure of findings (CARs/CLs/FARs) and preparation of draft verification report (refer Section D.5 of this report)
- f) Independent technical review (refer Section B.2 of this report) of the draft verification report and final/revised documentation (e.g., Monitoring Report, corresponding ER sheet and evidences)
- g) Reporting and closure of TR comments/findings (refer Section D.5 of this report) (CARs/CLs/FARs) and final approval for the decision made (refer Section G and H of this report).
- h) Issuance of final verification report to contracted CME (or authorized representatives) and submission of request for issuance, as appropriate.

Verification Conclusion

The review of the monitoring report, supporting documentation and subsequent follow up actions have provided ESPL with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. Earthood is of the opinion that the PoA "MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India" (GS ID: 11450) meets all the GS requirements and has correctly applied the GS approved methodologies AMS-III.AR "Substituting fossil fuel based lighting with LED/CFL lighting systems" version 07/08/ and TPDDTEC Version 3.1/06/ respectively.

The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly based on the approved methodologies AMS-III.AR "Substituting fossil fuel based lighting with LED/CFL lighting systems" version 07/08/, TPDDTEC Version 3.1/06/ and and the monitoring plan contained in the registered PoA-DD/01/ and VPA-DDs /02/.

Earthood Services Private Limited can certify that the emission reductions achieved in the monitoring period 01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022 for the (VPA 06 and VPA 08) by GS PoA "MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India" (GSID: 11450) amount to be 37,691 tCO₂e under VPA 06 & 35,874 tCO₂e under VPA 08. Therefore, this is being submitted for request for issuance, as per GS4GG and UNFCCC procedures.

SECTION B. Verification team, technical reviewer and approver
B.1. Verification team member

No	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection*	Interview(s)	Verification findings
1.	Team Leader & GS Approved auditor	IR	Varshney	Divij	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
2.	Technical Expert (TA 1.2)	IR	Varshney	Divij	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.	Technical Expert (TA 3.1)	IR	Varshney	Divij	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.	Local Expert	IR	Varshney	Divij	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.	Trainee Verifier	IR	Tyagi	Abhinav	Central Office	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.	Trainee Verifier	IR	Wazir	Abhimanyu	Central Office	N	Y	Y	N
7.	Trainee Verifier	IR	Gautam	Rahul Dev	Central Office	N	Y	Y	N

Remote – site interviews have been conducted for the current validation and the same has been discussed in detail in section D.2 of the report.

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the verification report

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer and TA expert (TA 1.2 & 3.1) to TR	IR	Mahala	Deepika	Central Office
2.	Approver	IR	Singh	Kaviraj	Central Office

SECTION C. Application of materiality in conducting the verification

C.1. Consideration of materiality in planning the verification

No.	Risk that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements	Assessment of the risk		Response to the risk in the verification plan and/or sampling plan
		Risk level	Justification	
1.	Erroneous transfer of information from documented records (sales receipt, carbon transfer form etc.) to credit tracker platform	Low	POs contracted by CME enters the details in credit tracker platform at the time of installation. POs also conduct an internal check to verify the accuracy of data entry.	On a sampling basis, the records are checked with the information from the credit tracker platform and substantiated by questions asked during the remote surveys of end-users. The familiarity of PO representatives with the tracker platform is also checked.
2.	Erroneous consideration of technical specifications of CEPs (especially for solar CEPs)	Low	The technical specifications are provided by the manufacturer.	Technical specifications of each CEP model are checked against the document issued by the manufacturer.
3.	Observational error by monitoring survey staff of CME/VPA implementer while recording the responses of users in relation to survey parameters	Low	Other than monitoring surveys, the CEP usage status-check surveys are also conducted regularly for distributed CEP. Therefore, risk of error is low. However, if there are discrepancies, they are to be dealt with as per the acceptance sampling approach.	If the aggregated materiality threshold stays within the prescribed materiality threshold, no additional effort is required. However, if the aggregated materiality threshold is above the prescribed threshold, additional samples are to be inspected. If additional sampling is not able to

				reduce the materiality threshold to a reasonable level of assurance, the monitoring result by the CME for that parameter is to be discarded.
4.	Calculation and referencing errors in ER sheet	Low	The ER calculations are cross-checked by using two different methods of calculation and comparing the results, therefore occurrence of error is less likely. However, referencing errors within the ER sheet may occur.	All calculations and referencing will be checked by verification team with respect to applicable requirements under various documents viz., methodology, PoA DD, VPA DD etc.

C.2. Consideration of materiality in conducting the verification

In accordance with CDM VVS for PoAs, Version 03.0/22/ the prescribed thresholds for materiality for CDM PoAs are as under;

The applicable materiality threshold is 5.0% as PoA comprises Small-scale VPAs

Particulars / Monitoring Report	MR Version (Initial)	MR Version (Revised/Final)
Emission Reductions Achieved (tCO₂e) in this monitoring period	VPA 06 – 38,515 VPA 08 – 35,045	37,691 35,874
Applicable Threshold (%) as per CDM VVS for PoAs Version 03.0	5.0%	5.0%

During the assessment all findings were closed and from the sample selected for verification, no systemic or systematic material errors were identified which would have an impact on total emission reductions from the entire population.

SECTION D. Means of verification

D.1. Desk/document review

The verification of the information of the PoA was performed through the document review including review of monitoring report /38/ version 1.1 dated 15/09/2023. Additionally, cross checks were performed for information provided in the monitoring report using other source of information, the verification team's sectoral or local expertise and, if necessary, independent background investigations.

The desk review involves:

- A review of the data and information presented to verify their completeness.
- A review of the monitoring plan, the monitoring methodologies including applicable tool(s) and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline, paying attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures.

- A review of calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reductions.
- An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions.

The list of documents reviewed during the verification is provided under appendix 3 of this report.

D.2. On-site inspection

Duration of on-site inspection: 14/03/2023 and 15/09/2023				
No	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
1.	Physical site visit: Households visited (implementation of PoA)	Karnataka (KA), Assam (AS), Bihar (BH), Kerala (KL), Gujarat (GJ), Madhya Pradesh (MP), Odisha (OD), Tamil Nadu (TN), Uttar Pradesh (UP), Haryana (HR), Jharkhand (JK), Rajasthan (RJ) and West Bengal (WB)	14/03/2023 and 15/09/2023	Divij Varshney, Rahul Dev Gautam, Abhimanyu Singh Wazir
2.	Review of information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters			
3.	Cross check between information provided in the monitoring report and data from other sources such as plant logbooks, inventories, purchase records or similar data sources;			
4.	A check of the monitoring equipment including calibration performance and observations of monitoring practices against the applicable requirements			
5.	Identification of quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters			

D.3. Interviews

D.3.1. Interviews with CME and VPA Implementers

No.	Interviewee		Date	Subject	Team member
	NAME	Affiliation			
	Shreejith A R	MEC India	14/03/2023 and 15/09/2023	VPA DD description, Monitoring parameters,	Divij Varshney, Rahul Dev Gautam,

1					Project boundary, Ex-ante and Ex-post parameters	Abhimanyu Singh Wazir
2	Parikshit Mehta	MEC India				
ICS End- User for VPA 06						
1	BR MANJULA	ICS user	End	14/03/2023	VVB Project Survey	Divij Varshney
2	DEVIRAMM A	ICS user	End		VVB Project Survey	
3	SHANTHA	ICS user	End		VVB Project Survey	
ICS End- User for VPA 08						
1	DEVIKA	ICS user	End	15/03/2023	VVB Project Survey	Divij Varshney
2	DHAKSHAYINI	ICS user	End		VVB Project Survey	
3	SAROJAMM A K	ICS user	End		VVB Project Survey	
4	DAANAMMA	ICS user	End		VVB Project Survey	
5	JAYAMMA	ICS user	End		VVB Project Survey	
6	RAJESHWARI	ICS user	End		VVB Project Survey	
7	RENUKADE VI.C.M	ICS user	End		VVB Project Survey	
8	SAROJAMM A.S	ICS user	End		VVB Project Survey	
SLS End- User for VPA 06						
1	Rathika	SLS User	End	15/09/2023	VVB Project Survey	Divij Varshney, Rahul Dev Gautam, Abhimanyu Singh Wazir
2	Selvarani	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
3	Valli	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
4	Chandira	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
5	Devi	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
6	Rajalakshmi	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
7	Charesmari	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
8	Santha	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
9	Megana	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
10	Latha	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
11	Mary	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
12	Uma	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
13	Parimala	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
SLS End- User for VPA 08						

1	LAKSHMI	SLS User	End	15/03/2023	VVB Project Survey	Divij Varshney, Rahul Dev Gautam, Abhimanyu Singh Wazir
2	SHILPA	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
3	DODDAMMA	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
4	MAHADEVA MMA B	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
5	MALLKIARJ UNA	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
6	SHIVAMMA	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
7	SHIVAKUMA RI	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
8	PRAMEELA	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
9	PUSHPALAT HA	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
10	SUSHILAM MA	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
11	SUDHA	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	
12	RATHNAMM A	SLS User	End		VVB Project Survey	

Type of questions asked by VVB to VPA Implementers:

Following questions are asked by the end-users for the verification of samples:

No.	Questions asked by Team member for SLS monitoring survey	Response
1.	Name of the end-user	Positive
2.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode)	Positive
3.	Branch, District, State	Positive
4.	What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product.	Positive
5.	What is the Installation Date?	Positive
6.	What is the Unique ID of CEP?	Positive
7.	Total Quantity of each product type you have?	Positive
8.	Is your product in use/ operational?	Positive
9.	How many hours do you use the solar lighting system per day	Positive
10.	Is device using electricity/energy to operate?	Positive
11.	What was the baseline device in use?	Positive
12.	Lumen output and wattage	Positive
13.	How many lamps did you receive?	Positive
14.	How many lamps are operational?	Positive
15.	Does the HH include distributed Cookstove and Purifier?	Positive
16.	Is your sampled HH also surveyed by PP?	Positive
No.	Questions asked by Team member for ICS monitoring survey	Response
1.	Name of the end-user	Positive
2.	Location/ Address (Village name, Pincode)	Positive
3.	Branch, District, State	Positive
4.	What is the Product Model? Can you show us the product.	Positive
5.	What is the Installation Date?	Positive
6.	What is the Unique ID of CEP?	Positive
7.	Total Quantity of each product type you have?	Positive
8.	Is your product in use/ operational?	Positive
9.	Is device using electricity/energy to operate?	Positive
10.	Is the baseline stove still in use?	Positive
11.	Quantity of wood use in baseline stove?	Positive
12.	Is there any smoke reduction after using the project stove?	Positive
13.	Are you spending lesser time in collecting wood since using the project device?	Positive

14	Amount of time saved (hrs)	Positive
15	Does the HH include distributed Cookstove and Purifier?	Positive
16	Is your sampled HH also surveyed by PP?	Positive

All the end-users reported that the product is working satisfactorily, and they feel that there has been an improvement in the indoor air quality in case of ICS. All the end users also reported that they are aware of the grievance mechanism. No adverse or negative responses were received with regards the usage or convenience of use of stove.

D.4. Sampling approach

VVB's sampling plan:

In order to meet the requirements of Standard for Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities /23/, the verification team applied acceptance sampling in the verification (in accordance with para 28). The verification team selected random samples of CME's sampled records, checked the acceptability (or otherwise) of the data for each such record with CME's sample records, and then based on the number of records where there is an agreement, determined if the CME's sample records meet the requirements.

The verification team determined the sample size for acceptance sampling by evaluating the following, using its own professional judgment and guidance in the Standard 'Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities' /24/:

- The proportion of discrepancies between the CME's data and verification team's (field or onsite inspection results) data that can be considered acceptable. This is referred to as the AQL (Acceptable Quality Level): 0.5% was considered in this verification.
- The proportion of discrepancies between the CME's data and verification team's (field or onsite inspection results) data that would be considered unacceptable. This is the UQL (Unacceptable Quality Level): 20% was considered in this verification.
- The producer risk: 10% was considered.
- The consumer risk: 10% was considered.

Considering the above input values, a sample size of 11 was required as per Table (Sample size and acceptance number based on AQL, UQL, and producer and consumer risks) in the referred Standard /23/. Accordingly, the acceptance number (c) thus determined for the sample size is 0. A sample size of 11 for each technology of each VPA meets the criteria. The samples to be surveyed by assessment team were randomly selected from the list of monitored samples using the random sample generator on Microsoft excel. The audit plan and list of samples thus obtained to be surveyed by assessment team was communicated to CME via email.

Accordingly, the verification team together has verified 36 samples collectively (11 Samples for ICS for both the VPAs and 25 samples for SLS were distributed under both the VPAs) during the remote survey it is observed that the sampling survey results of the CME for all the CEPs checked were consistent with VVB's survey results. The sampling method used is in line with Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities /23/ and Guideline: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities v4.0/24/ and According to para 4.1.1 d in Site visit and Remote audit requirement and procedures v2.0/25/ for remote audit the Sampling shall be 10% more than the Minimum required samples. In all, the verification team conducted onsite surveys for 36 households.

D.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

Area of verification findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
General	-	-	-
Compliance of the monitoring report with the GS4GG monitoring report form	-	-	-
Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications	-	-	-
VPAAs considered for verification and covered under this report	-	-	-
Programme of activities	-	-	-
Compliance of the programme implementation with the registered PoA-DD	-	-	-
Implementation and operation of the management system	-	-	-
VPA Implementation	-	-	-
Compliance of the VPA implementation with the included VPA design document	-	-	-
Post-design certification changes	-	-	-
Compliance of the monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan	-	-	-
Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period	-	-	-
Data and parameters monitored	-	-	-
Comparison of monitored parameters with last monitoring period	-	-	-
Implementation of the sampling plan	-	-	-
Assessment of data and calculations of net emission reductions or removals	-	-	-
Calculations of baseline value of each SDG Impact	-	-	-
Calculations of project value of each SDG Impact	-	-	-
Calculations of leakage GHG emissions	-	-	-
Calculations of net benefits for each SDG Impact	-	-	-
Comparison of actual GHG ER value achieved during this monitoring period with estimated value	-	-	-
Safeguarding principles	-	-	-
Stakeholder Inputs and Legal Disputes	-	-	-
Continuous input and grievance mechanism	-	-	-
Internal quality control	-	-	-
Others (editorial/ consistency)	-	-	-
Total	00	00	00

SECTION E. Verification findings

E.1. Compliance of the monitoring report with the GS4GG monitoring report form

Means of verification	The monitoring report form used is GS4GG Monitoring report template version 1.1 /04/, which is a valid version available at the time of verification. All the sections of the aforesaid form were filled as per the Monitoring report template guide version 1.1 /04/ and all the relevant details were provided in the form.
Findings	No findings were raised.
Conclusion	The monitoring report version 1.0 /38/ has been found to be completed using the valid version of the monitoring report form. The information provided in the monitoring report has been assessed in accordance with the GS4GG principles & requirements version 1.2/27/ and monitoring

E.2. Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verification

This is the second verification of VPAs (VPAs 06,08) under GS. The verification of the VPA is submitted for performance review. Any FAR's raised will be reflected in the next verification.

E.3. VPAs considered for verification and covered under this report

Title and GS reference number of the VPA included in the PoA as of the end of this monitoring period	Is the VPA considered for this verification? (yes/no)	Version of the VPA-DD/PoA-DD	Confirmation that a request for issuance including the VPA has been published for the previous monitoring period (Y/N)
GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits - Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA - VPA 06- GS11505.	Yes	Version 4.0/ Version 5.0	Y
GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits - Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA - VPA 08- GS11478	Yes	Version 4.0/ Version 5.0	Y

E.4. Programme of Activities

E.4.1. Compliance of the programme implementation with the registered PoA-DD

Means of verification	The PoA involves the promotion, distribution and sale of improved cook stoves (ICS), Solar lighting systems and water purifiers in India. CME has implemented the VPA's through coordination with the partner organizations (POs) and further with local/channel sellers/distributors. The overall responsibility of implementation and operation is with CME (MEC), which was evident from the interviews conducted with CME. This is consistent with PoA DD /01/. The current verification considers 02 VPAs (VPA 06 - GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits - Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines - India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA - VPA 08- GS11478) that was put together by CME. The implementation of the VPA's, as referenced above, is within the geographical boundary of the PoA-DD/01/, which constitutes the physical boundary as well. The type of CEP (Clean Energy Product) models deployed under the VPAs is verified by the following: VPA 06 – GS11606:		
	Type of CEP	Model	PO/ Implementer
	Improved Cookstove	Grameen Greenway Smart Stove (GSSV3)	Shri Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP)

Solar Lighting System	There are various models of Solar lighting systems distributed in VPA 06, which were all reviewed and found acceptable under the applied methodology	Shree Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP), Muthoot, Evangelical Social Action Forum (ESAF) and Sarala
-----------------------	--	---

VPA 08 – GS11478:

Type of CEP	Model	PO/ Implementer
Improved Cookstove	Grameen Greenway Smart Stove (GSSV3)	Shri Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP)
Solar Lighting System	There are various models of Solar lighting systems distributed in VPA 08, which were all reviewed and found acceptable under the applied methodology	Shree Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP), Muthoot, Evangelical Social Action Forum (ESAF) and Sarala

The Improved Cook stove model implemented under the PoA includes Grameen Greenway Smart Stove (GSSV3) and Grameen Greenway Jumbo Stove (GJS), among other models. These ICS are high efficiency cook stoves designed as an eco-friendly and modern replacement for traditional mud & stone stoves and delivers convenient cooking without any requirement of fuel processing or change in cooking habits thus solving the health, environment and fuel collection effort required for operating traditional stoves.

Solar lighting systems implemented under the PoA are renewable energy-based LED/CFL lighting systems. Through the introduction of LED/CFL-based lighting systems the project activity is replacing portable fossil fuel-based lamps.

Water purification system disseminated under the PoA include various models. The water purifiers remove harmful viruses, bacteria, parasites, pesticides and physical impurities, giving water which is as safe as boiled water. The water purification systems disseminated in this PoA do not require electricity or continuous tap water and hence, there is no plumbing required. However, it is to be noted that no water purification systems are disseminated under verified VPAs.

Technical specification of each type of CEP models are verified with the details provided by respective CEP suppliers /20/, /21/ and found to be consistently reported in the monitoring report.

As per the PoA DD/1/ maximum 2 types of CEPs shall be deployed under any VPA in any combination except ICS and Water Purifier being together. The numbers of CEPs deployed under the VPA has been confirmed by the monitoring database i.e., Credit Tracker Platform /45/.

The verification team has confirmed that the number of CEPs deployed under the VPA, and the actual thermal energy savings/year (for type II)

and installed capacity (for type I) were found as follows:

VPA title and GS ID	Technology	Savings/Capacity/ Emission Reduction
GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – VPA 06- GS11505	ICS Solar Lighting system	37,691 tCO ₂
GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – VPA 08- GS11478	ICS Solar Lighting system	35,874 tCO ₂

The verification team was able to confirm that the quantity, specification and target group of the CEPs is consistent with the PoA DD /1/ and VPA DDs/2/. Further, based on the review of Credit Tracker Platform /43/, physical observations from Remote-site visit conducted during current monitoring period:

- The VPA(s) are implemented within the boundary of the PoA as described in the PoA-DD/1/.
- The CME is same as that mentioned in the PoA-DD/1/.
- The implementation and operation of the project activity has been conducted in accordance with the description contained in the PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/.
- All physical features of the VPA proposed in the included VPA-DDs are in place.
- The project participants/VPA implementer has operated the VPAs as per the included VPA-DDs.

The verification team has conducted surveys via Remote-site visits with 36 households. It was observed that each CEP was assigned a unique household identification number. The unique identification number on each CEP, personal information of CEP owners and commissioning date of CEP was cross checked with the MIS system of POs and further checked with Credit Tracker Platform available with the CME. The operation of the CEPs was confirmed through remote surveys of owners/representatives (of CEPs). The households were asked various questions to confirm identity of the end user, operational status of the CEPs, presence and usage of baseline technologies, among others.

The emission reductions being claimed during this monitoring period are lesser than the estimated emission reductions in the VPA-DDs, as given in the table below for comparable estimated ERs in the VPA-DDs for the corresponding period:

As in CPA-DD	Estimated ERs (tCO ₂)	Actual ERs (tCO ₂)
GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – VPA 06- GS11505	71,956 tCO ₂ e	37,691 tCO ₂ e
GS11450 -	71,740 tCO ₂ e	35,874 tCO ₂ e

	MicroEnergy Credits PoA – VPA 08- GS11478		
Findings	No findings were raised.		
Conclusion	The verification team can confirm that all physical features (technology, project equipment, and monitoring and metering equipment) of the VPAs were in place and that the CME operated the project activity in accordance with the registered VPA-DDs/2/ and VPA-Inclusion Report/3/ during the current monitoring period and based on the information verified through the on-site audit and interviews. The following values SDGs were attained in this monitoring period by the VPAs:		
	Sustainable Development Goals Targeted 7 Affordable and Clean Energy 8 Decent work and economic	SDG Impact Number of households having operational solar lighting system and improved cookstove	Amount Achieved VPA 6- 10,310 VPA 8- 12,052
			Units/Products Number of SLS Number of ICS
		Quantitative Employment	VPA 6- 93 VPA 8- 30

	growth	and income generation		
	13 Climate Action	Net CO ₂	VPA 6- 37,691 tCO ₂ e VPA 8- 35,874 tCO ₂ e	tCO ₂ e VERs

E.4.2. Implementation and operation of the management system

Means verification	Based on the interview of CME representatives, representatives of different POs (VPA implementers) and monitoring team, it is confirmed that the CME has organized an appropriate management and operational system for monitoring and reporting. The CME co-ordinates with respective POs to establish a marketing and lending program for CEPs. POs staff, local distributors, technicians, and other service providers involved in marketing of CEPs to concerned households. The monitoring plan and procedures to identify each CEP sold have been followed by POs. MEC (Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited) is CME for the PoA and responsible for inclusion of VPAs in the PoA. The Carbon Operation Manager of MEC is responsible for completion of the inclusion process. The Carbon Operation Manager directly reports to the CEO of CME and gets the carbon expert assistance during the VPA inclusion process, if required. The information about the type of CEP installed under each VPA is stored in Credit Tracker Platform/43/ that is maintained by MEC (CME). The Credit Tracker Platform/43/ records the unique identification number, location, installation date, and usage status of each clean energy product (CEP) in each VPA, helps to identify, locate, and verify any or all of the CEP installations in particular VPA. CME has provided the tracker output file/44/ that is used to ensure that unique identification of CEPs can be tracked. This file has been verified to also ensure that no household receives more than 1 solar lighting system. The Carbon Operation Manager at the CME is responsible for QA/QC of the data, analysis, and reporting into the monitoring report. For survey data, a monitoring team has been organized by the CME consisting of trained monitoring staff, who conducted the surveys/ field tests. The staff was interviewed, and training records/34/,/34.1/ were checked to ensure that they were trained for conducting the surveys/ field tests. The monitoring manager at the CME is responsible for QA/QC of the data, analysis, and reporting into the monitoring report. In line with the registered monitoring plan, CME conducts an annual survey to ascertain the status of equipment and classify them as installed active, installed damaged and installed inactive. This process is to initiate a repair/post-sales service. All the products which were found to be damaged or inactive are discounted from emission reduction calculation as verified from emission reduction spreadsheet/5/. There are no CEPs with installed inactive status in the database for the VPA
--------------------	--

	included in batch requesting issuance. VPA Implementer/PO field staff annually visit households included in the database to cross-check the information on the database with the factual evidence in the field. Any inconsistencies found (e.g., change in the address of a user) are updated on the database, and in the case, CEPs are found to be no longer in use, they will be clearly marked as such and excluded from emission reduction calculations. Original copies of sales receipts/20/, completed survey forms/39/ and carbon title transfer forms/11/ are retained by the respective POs/VPA implementers. The organizational structure and roles and responsibilities for monitoring were in line with the information provided in the VPA-DDs/02/, which was confirmed through interviewing PD representatives and the situation on the ground as observed during the onsite visit conducted during current monitoring period, and the structure was considered appropriate. The CEP users sign a title transfer/11/ with the PO while purchasing the product. The title transfer affirms the legal rights of the carbon credits generated by the CEP to the POs. The verification team cross-checked that that carbon title forms/11/ were duly signed by the end-users. Further, a signed contractual agreement between the PO and the CME/37/ guides the transfer of the emission reduction rights to the CME. It has been checked and verified from sample carbon title transfer forms/11/ and agreement between POs and CME/37/ that for the VPA's covered in current verification, the carbon credits generated from the CPA belong to the POs and are later transferred to the CME (MEC). The verification team confirms that the process pertaining to the transfer of emission reduction rights to CME is valid and appropriate for all VPAs under this batch which are requesting issuance.
Findings	No Finding were raised.
Conclusion	The verification team assessed the management systems in place to implement the monitoring of the PoA. This included the roles and responsibilities, data collection, transfer and aggregation procedures, data storage and archiving for the monitoring system. The roles and responsibilities data collection transfer and aggregation procedures, data storage and archiving for the monitoring system have been provided in the MR /38/. The verification team confirms that the monitoring management system of the VPA and by extension PoA is in place with the responsibilities properly identified and established as per the PoA-DD/01/.

E.4.3. Post-design certification changes

E.4.3.1. Temporary deviations from the approved Monitoring & Reporting Plan, methodology or standardized baseline

Not Applicable

E.4.3.2. Corrections

Not Applicable

E.4.3.3. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

Not Applicable

E.4.3.4. Permanent changes from the Design Certified monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline

Not Applicable

E.4.3.5. Changes to the programme design

Not Applicable

E.4.3.6. Addition of CPA inclusion template

Not Applicable

E.4.3.7. Change of coordination/managing entity

Not Applicable

E.4.3.8. Change specific to afforestation and reforestation activities

Not Applicable

E.5. Voluntary project activity

E.5.1. Compliance of the VPA implementation with the included VPA design document

document

Means of verification	The reporting for this issuance has been done technology-wise, thus section E.5 shall be dealing with distribution of ICS and its compliance with PoA-DD/01/ and applicable standard.		
	VPAs described in this section target the promotion, distribution and sale of ICS (Improved Cook Stoves) i.e., Greenway Smart Stove (GSSV3). According to a third-party lab assessment/45/, this cookstove has a thermal efficiency of 25.19% respectively/45/.		
	Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited is the Coordinating and Managing Entity (CME) for the implementation of VPA's. The CME coordinates and manages each Partner Organization (PO)/ VPA Implementer and assists them in implementing each element of the monitoring plan, which was confirmed to be the case by interviewing the CME and PO staff.		
	Improved cookstove:		
	VPA Ref. #	GS 11505 (VPA 06)	GS 11478 (VPA 08)
	Location / State	Karnataka (KA) State for improved	Karnataka (KA) state for improved

		cookstove. For solar lighting systems, several states Karnataka (KA), Assam (AS), Bihar (BH), Kerala (KL), Gujarat (GJ), Madhya Pradesh (MP), Odisha (OD), Tamil Nadu (TN), Uttar Pradesh (UP), Haryana (HR), Jharkhand (JK), Rajasthan (RJ) and West Bengal (WB) and many region within those states are included	cookstove and solar home lighting systems.
	CEP Type	ICS	ICS
	CEP Model	Grameen Greenway Smart Stove (GSSV3)	Grameen Greenway Smart Stove (GSSV3)
	VPA Implementer / PO	Shri Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP)	Shri Kshethra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP)
	Total Quantity Sold / Disseminated	19,963	23,337
	Maximum Estimated Qty CEPs in CPA (for comparable year of distribution)	19,963	23,337
	Estimated ERs (comparable period) (tCO ₂ e)	71,956	71740
	Actual ERs from the CEP Type (tCO ₂ e)	32,784	35,874
VPA 06 – GS11505: ICS were distributed in Karnataka in India, which is consistent with the description given in the included VPA DDs/2/. By the end of the current monitoring period requesting issuance, total 19,963 ICS were disseminated under this VPAs, which is within the estimated quantity of 19,963 ICSs of the VPA DDs/2/ for comparable year of distribution. It's a large scale VPA and therefore, no thermal savings threshold is applicable. The distribution model is that stoves are distributed by PO, managed by CME. The stoves are sold to end users and the sales data is collected by means of sales receipts/20/ at the time of sale to the end-user. VPA 08 – GS11478: ICS were distributed in Karnataka in India, which is consistent with the description given in the included VPA DDs/2/. By the end of current monitoring period requesting issuance, total 23,337 ICS were disseminated under this VPA, which is within the estimated quantity of 23,337 ICSs of the VPA DDs/2/ for comparable year of distribution. The distribution model is that stoves are distributed by PO, managed by			

	CME. The stoves are sold to end users and the sales data is collected by means of sales receipts/20/ at the time of sale to the end-user.
Findings	No findings were raised.
Conclusion	• The verification team is of the opinion that physical features of the VPA have been implemented in accordance with the VPA-DDs/02/. • It is also confirmed, through the review of the supporting documentation, that physical features of the component VPA have been implemented in accordance with the VPA-DDs /02/. • The VPA's was also found to be completely operational in line with the VPA-DDs /02/. • The information provided in the relevant sections of the monitoring report are appropriately describe the implementation and operational status of the PoA.

E.5.2. Post-design Certification Changes

E.5.2.1. Temporary deviations from the approved Monitoring & Reporting Plan, methodology or standardized baseline

Not Applicable

E.5.2.2. Corrections

Not Applicable

E.5.2.3. Changes to the start – date of the crediting period.

Not Applicable

E.5.2.4. Change to project design of approved project

Not Applicable

E.5.3. Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with applied methodologies and standardized baselines

Means of verification	The monitoring plan contained in the VPA-DDs/02/ was reviewed in relation to the monitoring requirements of the applied methodology, TPDDTEC, version 3.1 /06/, as well as the PoA DD /01/, bearing in mind the technology involved. In light of the review conducted, it was found that the monitoring plan in the VPA-DDs/02/ contains all the required parameters to be monitored in the context of the VPA design and description and allows determination of emission reductions according to the PoA DD/01/ and applied methodology/08/ that is included in the VPA-DDs/02/.
Findings	No findings raised.
Conclusion	The monitoring plan is in line with the approved methodology, Gold Standard Simplified Methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 3.1 /08/, that is included in the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/02/. The monitoring plan is in accordance with the applied methodology /06/08/ that is included in the VPA-DDs/02/.

E.5.4. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan

E.5.4.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

SDG13: Quantity of fuel consumed in baseline scenario b during year y, $P_{b,y}$, in kg/HH-day

Means verification	of	Quantity $P_{b,y}$ – kg per household per day									
		The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs. This was cross checked with the baseline kitchen performance test (KPT)³. The calculation steps and the attendant references in the excel sheet/05/ were checked. The sample mean of the daily consumption of dry fuelwood is a statistically determined value at 90/10 confidence interval/precision, derived based on the 4 consecutive days of fuelwood consumption when the KPT was conducted. The standard deviation of the sample is obtained from a revised sample size. This effectively removes overestimation of fuelwood estimation in baseline by eliminating the outliers in the household in the observational period of 4 consecutive days. The Precision check has been conducted by the CME on the outlier eliminated samples at 90/10, which is found to be below the threshold of 10%, hence was acceptable. This value is used in the baseline emission determination for both VPA's.									
		<table><tr><th>VPA Number</th><th>State</th><th>Value</th></tr><tr><td>VPA 06</td><td>Karnataka</td><td>7.77</td></tr><tr><td>VPA 08</td><td>Karnataka</td><td>7.11</td></tr></table>	VPA Number	State	Value	VPA 06	Karnataka	7.77	VPA 08	Karnataka	7.11
	VPA Number	State	Value								
VPA 06	Karnataka	7.77									
VPA 08	Karnataka	7.11									
Findings		No findings were raised.									
Conclusion		The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /38/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /05/ are consistent with the approach given in registered VPA-DDs wherein it is recommended to establish baseline fuel usage for VPAs at the time of verification/02/. Hence the applied value is correct and justified.									

SDG13: CO₂ emission factor arising from use of fuel type I in baseline scenario, EF_{b,I,CO_2} , tCO₂e/ t_{fuel}

Means verification	EF_{b,I,CO_2}-- The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 2: Stationary Combustion, Table 2.5-- Default emission factors for stationary combustion in the residential and agriculture/forestry/fishing/fishing farms categories/30/. This value is used towards determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>VPA Number</th><th>Value</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>VPA 06</td><td>112 tCO₂/TJ</td></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 08</td><td>112 tCO₂/TJ</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	VPA Number	Value	VPA 06	112 tCO ₂ /TJ	VPA 08	112 tCO ₂ /TJ
VPA Number	Value						
VPA 06	112 tCO ₂ /TJ						
VPA 08	112 tCO ₂ /TJ						

³ <https://cleancooking.org/binary-data/DOCUMENT/file/000/000/604-1.pdf>

Findings	No findings were raised.
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /38/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /05/ are consistent with the registered VPA-DDs/02/. The applied value is correct and justified.

SDG13: Non-CO₂ emission factor arising from use of fuel type *i* in baseline scenario, $EF_{b,i,non-CO_2}$, tCO_2/t_{fuel}

Means verification of	$EF_{b,i,non-CO_2}$-- The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 2: Stationary Combustion, Table 2.9-- Residential Source Emission Factors. The value is calculated using the Emission factor of firewood for CH₄ and N₂O and their corresponding GWP./30/ This value is used for the determination of baseline emissions. This value is used towards determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>VPA Number</th><th>Value</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>VPA 06</td><td>37.25 tCO₂/TJ</td></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 08</td><td>37.25 tCO₂/TJ</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	VPA Number	Value	VPA 06	37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ	VPA 08	37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ
VPA Number	Value						
VPA 06	37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ						
VPA 08	37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ						
Findings	No findings were raised.						
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /38/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /05/ are consistent with the registered VPA-DD/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.						

SDG13: CO₂ emission factor arising from use of fuel type *i* in project scenario, EF_{p,i,CO_2} , tCO_2/t_{fuel}

Means verification of	EF_{p,i,CO_2}-- The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 2: Stationary Combustion, Table 2.5-- Default emission factors for stationary combustion in the residential and agriculture/forestry/fishing/fishing farms categories/30/. This value is used towards determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>VPA Number</th><th>Value</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>VPA 06</td><td>112 tCO₂/TJ</td></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 08</td><td>112 tCO₂/TJ</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	VPA Number	Value	VPA 06	112 tCO ₂ /TJ	VPA 08	112 tCO ₂ /TJ
VPA Number	Value						
VPA 06	112 tCO ₂ /TJ						
VPA 08	112 tCO ₂ /TJ						
Findings	No findings were raised.						
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /38/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /05/ are consistent with the registered VPA-DDs/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.						

SDG13: Non- CO₂ emission factor arising from use of fuel type *i* in project scenario, $EF_{p,i,non-CO_2}$, tCO_2/t_{fuel}

Means verification of	$EF_{p,i,non-CO_2}$-- The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 2: Stationary Combustion, Table 2.9-- Residential Source Emission Factors. The value's calculated using the Emission factor of firewood for CH₄ and N₂O and their corresponding GWP/30/. This value is used towards determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs
------------------------------	---

		VPA Number	Value	
		VPA 06	37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ	
		VPA 08	37.25 tCO ₂ /TJ	
Findings	No findings were raised.			
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /38/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /05/ are consistent with the registered VPA-DDs/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.			

SDG13: Net calorific value of the fuel type *i* used in the baseline, NCV_{b,i}, TJ/Tonne

Means verification of	NCV_{b,i}-- The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 1: Introduction, Table 1.2-- Default net calorific values Default IPCC values for wood/wood waste are applied/30/. This value is used for the determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs <table> <tr> <th>VPA Number</th><th>Value</th></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 06</td><td>0.0156 TJ/tonnes</td></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 08</td><td>0.0156 TJ/tonnes</td></tr> </table>	VPA Number	Value	VPA 06	0.0156 TJ/tonnes	VPA 08	0.0156 TJ/tonnes
VPA Number	Value						
VPA 06	0.0156 TJ/tonnes						
VPA 08	0.0156 TJ/tonnes						
Findings	No findings were raised.						
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /38/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /05/ are consistent with the registered VPA-DDs/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.						

SDG13: Net calorific value of the fuel type *i* used in the project scenario, NCV_{p,i}, TJ/Tonne

Means verification of	NCV_{p,i}-- The value is fixed and is derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Chapter 1: Introduction, Table 1.2-- Default net calorific values./30/ This value is used for the determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs <table> <tr> <th>VPA Number</th><th>Value</th></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 06</td><td>0.0156 TJ/tonnes</td></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 08</td><td>0.0156 TJ/tonnes</td></tr> </table>	VPA Number	Value	VPA 06	0.0156 TJ/tonnes	VPA 08	0.0156 TJ/tonnes
VPA Number	Value						
VPA 06	0.0156 TJ/tonnes						
VPA 08	0.0156 TJ/tonnes						
Findings	No findings were raised.						
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /38/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /05/ are consistent with the registered VPA-DDs/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.						

SDG13: Fraction of biomass used in year *y* for baseline scenario *b* that can be established as non-renewable biomass, f_{NRB}_{b,i,y}, fraction

Means verification of	f_{NRB,b,i,y} – The value of f_{NRB} is calculated using Tool 30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass of CDM/46/. As per the tool, PD has referred to the FSI report of various states of India to calculate the individual f_{NRB}. The detailed calculation of the approach has been assessed by the VVB through a f_{NRB} calculation excel sheet. The formulas and approach used by the PD are found to be appropriate and in line with the applied methodology/6/ and Tool 30/46/. This value is used for the determination of baseline emissions. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs
------------------------------	---

	VPA Number	State	Value
	VPA 06	Karnataka	0.86
	VPA 08	Karnataka	0.86
Findings	No findings were raised.		
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /38/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /05/ are consistent with the registered VPA-DDs/2/. The applied value is correct and justified.		

E.5.4.2. Data and parameters monitored (Carbon & SDG)

SDG13: Quantity of fuel consumed in project scenario p during year y, Pp,y in kg/HH-day

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG13: Climate Action	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	The parameter is measured and recorded at least once every two years (biennial)
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	This value is derived statistically based on surveys in project scenario, adopting minimum 4 consecutive days of wood consumption by the sampled household. The weight of the fuelwood is measured by weighing scales. Type – Digital Moisture Meter Accuracy Class - +/- 1% Serial number – 201795, 218462, TM35104, 261471 Calibration frequency – Annual Date of calibration – 24/02/2023 Validity – Until 23/02/2024 Type - Weighing Scale Accuracy Class - +/- 0.5 grams Serial number – WS00150, WS00151, WS10020, WS00152 Calibration frequency – Annual Date of calibration – 18/02/2023 Validity – 17/02/2024
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Annual Please refer to section E.5.6 of this report for further details.
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	This is statistically derived value whose computation is explained as follows: The 4 consecutive day consumption of the firewood by the sampled household is calculated using

		90/10 rule. The purpose of the calculation is to find the mean value of the firewood consumption which is as close to the population mean as possible. The calculation behind this was verified from the ER Calculation sheet of VPA 06 & VPA 08 /05/. As per 95/10 rule, the mean consumption from the sampled household is acceptable if the precision value attained is less than 10%. In other words, mean value obtained drawn from simple random sample, in project scenario is likely to be 95% of time closer to the unknown population mean. In the calculation provided by the CME, the precision attained is less than 10% of the outer bounds if 95/10 is applied, to accept the sample mean. The calculation steps, and the applicability with the methodology/06/ was ascertained and found that the value calculated was conservative, as the PD had rejected all upper bound outliers while determining the mean value of wood consumption. The outliers were defined as follows: Upper Outlier Threshold (UOT): Upper Quartile of means of firewood consumption + 1.5* interquartile range of firewood consumption Lower Outlier Threshold (LOT): Lower Quartile of means of firewood consumption-- 1.5* interquartile range of firewood consumption For the monitoring period and as per the random sampling of households, the quantity of firewood which are equal to or above UOT were ignored for arriving at the mean value of the samples. The computations are conservative and does not overestimate the fuelwood consumption which in turns underestimates the emission reduction. To account for seasonal variations in wood consumption, 2 KPTs were conducted in dry and wet season. However, CME has calculated the ERs based on the higher wood consumption. During the current monitoring period, wood consumption in wet season comes out to be higher for all the VPAs and has been used by CME, which is found to be conservative. Calculations of both project KPT have been reviewed and found to be appropriate. The values obtained for this parameter: <table data-bbox="826 1989 1465 2067"> <tr> <th>VPA#</th><th>Model/State</th><th>Value (kg/HH/day)</th></tr> </table>	VPA#	Model/State	Value (kg/HH/day)
VPA#	Model/State	Value (kg/HH/day)			

		VPA 06	Smart/KA	3.4
		VPA 08	Smart/KA	3.4
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not applicable		
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processes were deemed to be appropriate and trustworthy. At the outset of each research, the equipment used in KPT is calibrated. Section E.5.6 of this report discusses calibration information. Personnel in charge of carrying out KPT studies are properly trained to supervise data collection and identify any inaccuracies in reported statistics.		
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable		
Findings	No findings were raised			
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/06/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/1/.			

SDG13: Usage rate in project scenario p during year y determined on a sampling basis, Up,y, Fraction(or %)

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG13: Climate Action	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annually
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Not applicable as this parameter is ascertained through surveys
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	This value is ascertained through annual surveys about the usage of the stoves in the project scenario. The value obtained during this monitoring period are:

		MP: 01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022 <table border="1" data-bbox="810 257 1348 407"> <thead> <tr> <th>VPA</th><th>Model/State</th><th>Values</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>VPA06</td><td>Smart/KA</td><td>53%</td></tr> <tr> <td>VPA08</td><td>Smart/KA</td><td>53%</td></tr> </tbody> </table> This value was accepted after checking the user habit survey results /41/ provided by the CME. To achieve a Good Practice utilization rate of up to 90% (estimated value), field team training, end-user training and follow-ups, and an awareness campaign are all necessary. Before distribution, sensitization seminars are organized in each village/area to explain how the stove works. In addition, the field team conducts continuous monitoring operations in the field to verify data quality is up to standard, which serves to encourage stove users to use the stoves and gives them the opportunity to raise questions about the stoves. This was further cross checked with the desk review of documents and through interviews during the onsite visit.	VPA	Model/State	Values	VPA06	Smart/KA	53%	VPA08	Smart/KA	53%
	VPA	Model/State	Values								
	VPA06	Smart/KA	53%								
VPA08	Smart/KA	53%									
If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	The survey results, assumptions and sales records were checked by the verification team and were found acceptable. The results are reproducible in the corresponding ER sheet of final Monitoring Report. The responses from randomly selected samples from VPAs for ICS under this batch issuance for VVB survey were cross-checked with CME monitoring survey forms which were provided by the CME, and all end users responses were consistent with monitoring results. The usage values were also compared with values obtained from the last monitoring conducted for previous MP. It was evident from the values provided that the parameter value (i.e., usage rate) has decreased for each sub-group since the previous monitoring, which is reasonable and can be attributed to older age of stoves making those more prone to damages and discontinuation of usage.										
Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	Yes. The QA/QC procedure are in place, internal checks have been done by the VPA implementer and established through on-site interviews.										

	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable
Findings	No findings were raised.	
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/06/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/2/.	

SDG13: Policy for encouraging discontinuation of baseline stove

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG13: Climate Action	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Updated every two years
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The data is verified by checking the internal records of the MEC Credit tracker-based database excel spreadsheets/44/. End user trainings/34.1/ were checked which demonstrates that users have been informed about the use of project stoves and phase out of baseline stove.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Information about the baseline system used is recorded at the time of loan processing, ICS buyers provide this information which is recorded in the baseline survey forms. The verification team has verified the sample baseline survey forms and found to be satisfactory. As another cross-check, the verification team, while conducting the remote survey of 11 randomly selected households from each VPA, also questioned the end-users about the baseline system. All 11 sampled household responses from each VPA were

		consistent with information provided in credit tracker platform.
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processes were deemed to be appropriate and trustworthy.
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable
Findings	No findings were raised	
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology /06/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/2/.	

SDG13: Technologies in the monitoring Database for project scenario p through year y, Np,y, Number

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG13: Climate Action				
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation			
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	This parameter is measured continuously			
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/			
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable			
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable			
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The data is verified by checking the records of MEC Credit tracker-based database excel spreadsheets/44/ and sales records/20/.			
	The value of the parameter as per VPAs are:				
VP A#	Model /State	Insta lled activ e	Insta lled dam aged	Days	
VPA	Smart/	19.17	788	6998	

		06	KA	5		875
		VPA 08	Smart/KA	22,983	354	8388795
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Yes. The information provided in the VPA Database were verified randomly with the sales receipt/loan document and through on-site VVB survey of the household representatives.				
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The CME supervises the activities of the PO, providing training, guidelines and templates to facilitate accurate record keeping in their MIS system/Credit Tracker Platform. During the site visit the sale process, record keeping was reviewed and were found reliable.				
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable				
Findings	No findings were raised.					
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology /06/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan.					

SDG13: Leakage in project scenario p during year y, LEp,y, Tonnes/year

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG13: Climate Action		
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation	
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	At least once every two years (biennial)	
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/	
	Monitoring equipment	Not Applicable	
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable	
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The verified value in this monitoring period was assessed to be:	
	VPA#	Model/state	Value (tCO₂e/year)

		VPA 06	Smart/KA	0
		VPA 08	Smart/KA	0
There are 4 ways in which the leakages can occur in this project activity: i. The displaced stove is reused outside the project boundary in place of lower emitting technology. ii. The non-renewable biomass/fossil fuel saved due to the project activity are used by non-beneficiaries who previously used lower emitting sources. iii. The project significantly impacts the fNRB fraction within an area where other CDM/VER project activities account for fNRB fraction in their baseline scenario. iv. The project population compensates loss of space heating effect of inefficient tech by adopting some other form of heating or by retaining some use of inefficient technology. However, all the four conditions can be discounted as follows: i. The baseline stove were 3 stone/traditional rudimentary stove. Owing to the crudeness to its design and ease of installation, anybody could install it outside the project boundary and hence there is no risk for the baseline stoves to move outside the project boundary. ii. Due to the abundance of the firewood in the project location the risk of non-renewable biomass used by non-project users does not arise and does not pose a threat to leakage emissions. iii. Again, the sheer scale of biomass availability in the project activity area vis a vis the project activity, the VPA does not pose a threat of biomass or the fNRB value. Besides this parameter is going to be checked at the beginning of every VPA crediting period. iv. Due to the temperate and climate in Karnataka, India the need for space heating is minimal. Also, no evidence suggests that this is the case. Besides the PMS covers all non-cooking use of				

		the household. The calculation steps involved in the sampling method was cross checked and assessed and found to be correct.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not applicable
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processes were deemed to be appropriate and trustworthy.
	In case project participants have temporarily not monitored the parameter, has either i) a deviation been approved by the CDM EB or ii) has the parameter been estimated as stipulated by Appendix 1 to the CDM Project Standard?	Not Applicable
Findings	No findings were raised.	
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/2/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology /06/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan.	

SDG 7: Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating ICS units under Project), ACS_{Project}, Number

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG7: Affordable and Clean Energy	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	VVB Assessment
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Continuously
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line to the PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/.

	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The post monitoring records/16/ were checked to identify as part of the assessment as well as during the interviews conducted with the 11 selected beneficiaries during site visit/48/ the intended beneficiaries who have access to affordable, reliable and modern energy services. Since the usage survey determines the usage rate for ICS, the value of the parameter based on the usage survey was accepted and are as follows as per the VPAs: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>VPA#</th><th>ICS</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>VPA 06</td><td>10,310</td></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 08</td><td>12,052</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	VPA#	ICS	VPA 06	10,310	VPA 08	12,052
	VPA#	ICS						
	VPA 06	10,310						
VPA 08	12,052							
If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not Applicable							
Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processes were deemed to be appropriate and trustworthy.							
Findings	None							
Conclusion	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the GS PoA-DD/1/, and registered VPA-DDs/2/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.							

SDG 8: Quantitative Employment and income generation, QE IG_{Project} Number

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	VVB Assessment
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annually
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line to the PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/.
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The employment contract /29/ were cross checked for all contracted employees/29/. Based on the documentary evidence provided by CME, this value was verified

		and accepted. The verified values are thus:						
		<table border="1"> <tr> <th>VPA#</th><th>Value</th></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 6</td><td>93</td></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 8</td><td>30</td></tr> </table>	VPA#	Value	VPA 6	93	VPA 8	30
	VPA#	Value						
	VPA 6	93						
VPA 8	30							
If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not Applicable							
Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processes were deemed to be appropriate and trustworthy.							
Findings	No findings were raised.							
Conclusion	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the GS PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.							

E.5.5. Implementation of sampling plan

Means of verification	The sampling plan was implemented by the CME in accordance with the Gold Standard methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption, Version 3.1/06/, and the CDM EB 110, Annex 1, Standard for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programme of Activities/23/. Two different sample sets were picked from population serviced under the VPA 06 and 08 viz., Usage Surveys of Cookstoves and Project KPTs. Thus, the project database with the demographic cohorts identified during the sampling survey serves along with the user age (whether non-beneficiary, beneficiary, and user for last 1 year and more) as the sample frames for the project population.
	For Improved Cookstoves, Distribution is done in only one state of India that is Karnataka, therefore sampling is done accordingly whereas for Solar lighting system the VPAs covers various state of India and Various model is distributed in the population, hence the sampling for each state is done separately.
	A smaller number of stoves were randomly selected from the database than necessary to account for non-responses, if any, in order to reach the 95/10 reliability standard for cross-VPA simple random sampling. According to the CDM Standard for "Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities Version 9.0" and AMS III.AR version 7.0, the confidence/precision requirements for solar lighting systems are satisfied.
	<u>Parameters to be covered through monitoring surveys:</u> The CME has conducted following kinds of surveys: Usage Surveys: • $U_{p,y}$ -- Usage rate in project scenario p during year y determined on a sampling basis

Project Monitoring Survey/Project Field Tests:

- $P_{p,y}$ - Quantity of fuel consumed in project scenario p during year y , in tonnes, and as derived from the statistical analysis conducted on the data collected during the project performance field tests

Sustainability Surveys:

1. $AACS_{HH}$ -- Number of households with operation clean energy products
2. QE_{IG} - Quantitative Employment and income generation

Monitoring survey (by CME) duration:

The monitoring survey (field survey / tests) was carried out by CME representatives between the following duration for the current monitoring period.

For Monitoring Period: 01/01/2022- 31/12/2022:

VPA 06:

Parameter	Monitoring Frequency	CEPs added during this MP (01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022)	Previous monitoring dates	New Monitoring for this MP
Usage Survey	Annual	No	04/01/2022 to 20/02/2022	Yes
Project KPT	Annual	No	04/01/2022 to 20/02/2022	Yes
Solar Lighting System	Annual	No	04/07/2020 to 31/07/2020	Yes

VPA 08:

Parameter	Monitoring Frequency	CEPs added during this MP (01/01/2021 to 31/12/2021)	Previous monitoring dates	New Monitoring for this MP
Usage Survey	Annual	No	04/01/2022 to 07/02/2022	Yes
Project KPT	Annual	No	04/01/2022 to 07/02/2022	Yes

Solar Lighting System	Annual	No	5/01/2021 to 31/01/2021	Yes
-----------------------	--------	----	-------------------------	-----

As evident from tables above, two usage surveys were conducted for VPAs with monitoring period 06/01/2023 to 10/02/2023. This ensures that frequency of annual monitoring for the parameter is met. The approach was found suitable for the duration of the monitoring period, which is longer than 1 year.

Thus, it is confirmed that monitoring survey is applicable for the entire monitoring period.

Sample size calculation for different tests

Usage Survey: All monitored parameters were evaluated using simple random sampling with the requisite precision/confidence. Usage survey /39/ was done to determine usage and changes in circumstances experienced following the ICS project's deployment. The sample size was determined using the TPDDTEC Version 3.1 guideline/06/, which indicates that for a group size more than 1000, a minimum sample size of 100 is required for such a survey. Using MS Excel random selection algorithm, CME drew samples at random from the Monitoring Database. The representation of different age groups of distribution was also considered with 30 samples from each vintage picked in accordance with methodological sampling requirements. To ensure accurate representation of the entire population, usage surveys were conducted on randomly chosen cookstoves dispersed across the project distribution boundary.

Kitchen Performance Tests (Project KPT): The KPT sample size determination was based on the guidelines provided in the TPDDTEC Version 3.1 methodology/06/ for evaluating the fuel consumption in the project scenario. The sample size in cases of independent samples was calculated, yielding a sample size of 90 for all the VPAs. This resulted in a precision of 90/10 being met.

In case, the confidence/precision is not met for any parameter for improved cookstove, the upper or lower bound is conservatively applied to arrive at final values for the parameter, which is found in line and acceptable considering the provisions provided in TPDDTEC v3.1./06/.

It is noted that the average lifetime of cookstove model distributed in the VPAs, according to its technical specifications, is 5 years. However, the lifetime may vary from individual product to product depending on usage handling and other physical factors. Parameter Usage Rate ensures that non-operationality rate of project devices found in representative sample is accounted for in ER calculations. It is observed from the monitoring results for this parameter that the fraction of operational ICS in the VPAs have reduced since the previous monitoring periods, which can be attributed to older age of stoves making those more prone to damages and discontinuation of usage.

Additionally, as already discussed in previous sections, CME conducts an annual monitoring for all end users as an additional QA/QC procedure to check the usage status of the project cookstove periodically, thus capturing non-functional or damaged devices, which are not included in calculation for

	emission reductions. It is noted that the overall number of the "installed_damaged" products has increased when compared to the previous annual monitoring survey results, which is verified from the credit tracker output files and is found reasonable. All parameters of interest are included in the ER spreadsheet for the VPA's. These were checked for the input values as well as formula applied and were found consistent. The reliability (demonstration of precision achieved after the survey results) is depicted in the ER calculation sheets corresponding to final Monitoring Report, which were also found correct.
FindingsE	No findings were raised
Conclusion	The verification team confirmed that the sampling plan and the parameter values are in accordance with the monitoring plan provided in PoA DD/1/ and the VPA DDs/2/.

E.5.6. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

Means of verification	The registered monitoring plan (in the VPA DDs/2/ and PoA DD/1/) does not state the calibration requirements for any of the parameters. However, as good practice, the verification team enquired information with regard to monitoring equipment viz., weighing scale and moisture meter that were used to carry out field KPT tests. The devices used in this project activity is mentioned here: Type – Digital Moisture Meter Accuracy Class - +/- 1% Serial number – 201795, 218462, TM35104, 261471 Calibration frequency – Annual Date of calibration – 24/02/2023 Validity – Until 23/02/2024 Type - Weighing Scale Accuracy Class - +/- 0.5 grams Serial number – WS00150, WS00151, WS10020, WS00152 Calibration frequency – Annual Date of calibration – 18/02/2023 Validity – Until 17/02/2024 It is noteworthy that the registered monitoring plan does not specify any calibration frequency, however, CME has maintained an annual frequency. All the monitoring surveys took place on the days when all the equipment was under calibration.
Findings	No findings were raised
Conclusion	The verification team confirms that CME applied good practices (as per manufacturer recommendation) while using the monitoring equipment and these were under the state of calibration. There is no specific requirement prescribed in this regard in the registered monitoring plan of monitoring methodology. The monitoring devices were found to be calibrated during the field test/12/13/.

E.5.7.Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals

E.5.7.1. Calculation of baseline value or estimation of baseline situation of each SDG Impact

Means of verification

1- SDG-13: Climate Action

The equations used were found consistent with the PoA DD/1/, VPA DDs/2/ and the applied methodology TPDDTEC, version 3.1/06/.

Using TPDDTEC-- Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 3.1/06/, "When the baseline fuel and the project fuel are the same and the baseline emission factor and project emission are considered the same, the overall GHG reductions achieved by the project activity in year y are calculated as follows:"

$$BE_{b,y} = B_{b,y} * ((f_{NRB,y} * EF_{b,fuel, CO2}) + EF_{b,fuel, nonCO2}) * NCV_{b, fuel}$$

Where,

$BE_{b,y}$	Emissions for baseline scenario b during the year y in tCO ₂ e
$B_{b,y}$	Quantity of fuel consumed in baseline scenario b during year y, in tons, as per by-default factors (cases with project performance field test only)
$f_{NRB,y}$	Fraction of biomass used during year y for the considered scenario that can be established as non-renewable biomass (drop this term from the equation when using a fossil fuel baseline scenario)
$NCV_{b,fuel}$	Net calorific value of the fuel that is substituted or reduced (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.015 TJ/ton)
$EF_{b,fuel, CO2}$	CO ₂ emission factor of the fuel that is substituted or reduced. 112 tCO ₂ /TJ for Wood/Wood Waste, or the IPCC default value of other relevant fuel
$EF_{b,fuel, nonCO2}$	Non-CO ₂ emission factor of the fuel that is substituted or reduced

Also,

$$B_{b,y} = N_{p,y} * P_{b,y}$$

Where,

$N_{p,y}$	Project technology-days in the project database for project scenario p through year y
$P_{b,y}$	Specific fuel consumption for an individual technology in baseline scenario b during year y converted to tons/day

VPA Number	States	Total BE _y
VPA6	Karnataka	113,311
VPA8	Karnataka	124,249

	In line with section 6 of TPDDTEC (v.3.1)/06/ as the project involves installation of new systems with high efficiency and hence leakage emission is considered zero. b) <u>SDG-7: Affordable clean energy</u> ACS_{baseline} Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating ICS units under baseline) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>VPA Number</th> <th>ACS_{Project}</th> <th>ACS_{Baseline}</th> <th>Net Benefit</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>VPA6</td> <td>10,310</td> <td>0</td> <td>10,310</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VPA8</td> <td>12,052</td> <td>0</td> <td>12,052</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> c) <u>SDG-8: Decent Work</u> The SDG impact is calculated as below: QE IG_{Baseline} Quantitative Employment and income generation (Number of person (male or female) hired under baseline) Detailed assessment of all the parameters used to calculate emission reductions is provided under section E.5.4.2. The calculations presented in the Monitoring Report /38/ and the corresponding ER sheet /05/ were found appropriate and complying with provisions prescribed in the registered monitoring plan/2/ of the respective VPA-DDs/2/, PoA-DD/1/ and applied methodology/06/.	VPA Number	ACS _{Project}	ACS _{Baseline}	Net Benefit	VPA6	10,310	0	10,310	VPA8	12,052	0	12,052
	VPA Number	ACS _{Project}	ACS _{Baseline}	Net Benefit									
	VPA6	10,310	0	10,310									
	VPA8	12,052	0	12,052									
Findings	None												
Conclusion	The verification team verified that: a) A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated under Section E.5.4.2 of this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet/05/ of final Monitoring Report/38/. b) The information provided in the monitoring report was cross checked with other sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such information is also included under Section E.5.4.2 of this report. c) The calculations of baseline emissions as presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet/5/ of final Monitoring Report/38/ were checked and found to be consistent with the formulae and methods described in the registered monitoring plan of VPA-DDs/2/, registered PoA-DD/1/ and the applied methodology/08/. d) All assumptions used in the emission calculations were found appropriate and therefore justified. e) Appropriate emission factors, IPCC default factors/30/ and other reference values have been correctly applied. This has also been elaborated under Section E.5.4.1 of this report. f) No standardized baseline was prescribed in the registered PoA-DD/1/.												

E.5.7.2. Calculation of project value or estimation of project situation of each SDG Impact

Means of verification	a) <u>SDG-13: Climate Action</u>
------------------------------	---

The equation for calculating emission reductions is as follows,

Project estimate/emission calculation are conducted as follows:

$$PE_{p,y} = B_{p,y} * ((f_{NRB,y} * EF_{p,fuel, CO2}) + EF_{p,fuel, nonCO2}) * NCV_{p, fuel}$$

$$B_{p,y} = N_{p,y} * ((P_{p,y} * U_{p,y}) + (P_{b,y} * (1 - U_{p,y})))$$

Where:

$PE_{p,y}$ Emissions for project scenario p during year y in tCO₂e

$B_{p,y}$ Quantity of fuel consumed in project scenario p during year y, in tons, and as derived from the statistical analysis conducted on the data collected during the project performance field tests (cases when no baseline performance field test are performed, e.g. by-default baseline factors)

$U_{p,y}$ Cumulative usage rate for technologies in project scenario p in year y, based on cumulative adoption rate and drop off rate revealed by usage surveys (fraction)

$f_{NRB,y}$ Fraction of biomass used during year y that can be established as non-renewable biomass (drop this term from the equation when using a fossil fuel baseline scenario)

$NCV_{p,fuel}$ Net calorific value of the project fuel (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.015 TJ/ton). This is equal to the baseline fuel NCV in projects which use the same fuel.

$EF_{p,fuel,CO2}$ CO₂ emission factor of the project fuel. This is equal to the baseline fuel EF in projects which use the same fuel, 112 tCO₂/TJ for Wood/Wood Waste, or the IPCC default value of other relevant fuel

$EF_{p,fuel,nonCO2}$ Non-CO₂ emission factor of the project fuel. This is equal to the baseline fuel EF in projects which use the same fuel.

$$PE_{p,y} = B_{p,y} * ((f_{NRB,y} * EF_{p,fuel, CO2}) + EF_{p,fuel, nonCO2}) * NCV_{p, fuel}$$

$$B_{p,y} = N_{p,y} * ((P_{p,y} * U_{p,y}) + (P_{b,y} * (1 - U_{p,y})))$$

The verified values of SDG 13 Project estimates for

VPA Number	States	Pey
VPA 6	Karnataka	80,526
VPA 8	Karnataka	90,926
Total		171,452

Findings

None

Conclusion

The verification team verified that:

- A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated under Section E.5.4.2 of this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet/05/ of final Monitoring Report /38/.
- The information provided in the monitoring report was cross checked with other sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such information is also included under Section E.5.4.2 of this report.

E.5.7.3. Calculation of leakage

Means of verification	Leakage if applicable, will be assessed on the following points: - The displaced baseline technologies are reused outside the project boundary in place of lower emitting technology or in a manner suggesting more usage than would have occurred in the absence of the project. - The fNRB or fossil fuels saved under the project activity are used by non-project users who previously used lower emitting energy sources. - The project significantly impacts the NRB fraction within an area where other CDM or VER project activities account for fNRB fraction in their baseline scenario. - The project population compensates for loss of the space heating effect of inefficient technology by adopting some other form of heating or by retaining some use of inefficient technology. The 4 conditions under which the leakage should be accounted for are not observed in this project activity. The detailed discussion on the same is provided in section E.5.4.2 above under the parameter: SDG13: LE_{p,y}
Findings	No Findings were raised.
Conclusion	A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated under Section E.5.4.2 of this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet/05/ of final Monitoring Report /38/. The information provided in the monitoring report was cross checked with other sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such information is also included under Section E.5.4.2 of this report.

E.5.7.4. Calculation of net benefits or direct calculation for each SDG Impact

Means of verification	SDGs Targeted	SDG Impact	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
	13	Amount of VERs (ICS)	VPA 6- 113,311 VPA8- 124,249	VPA 6- 80,526 VPA 8 - 90,926	VPA 6- 32,784 VPA 8 -33,322
	7	Number of beneficiaries (ICS)	VPA 6- 0 VPA 8 - 0	VPA 6- 10,310 VPA 8- 12,052	VPA 6-10,310 VPA 8-12,052
	8	Quantitative Employment and income generation	VPA 6 - 0 VPA 8 - 0	VPA 6- 93 VPA 8- 30	VPA 6- 93 VPA 8- 30

		Evangelical Social Action Forum (ESAF) and Sarala	Evangelical Social Action Forum (ESAF) and Sarala					
	Total Quantity Sold / Disseminated	81,045 Solar Lighting Systems (i.e. 86,220 Solar lamps)	11,671 Solar Lighting Systems (i.e., 35,349 Solar lamps)					
	Estimated ERs (comparable period) (tCO2e)	7,932	4,907					
	Actual ERs from the CEP Type (tCO2e)	4,907	2,552					
	The solar lighting systems are sold to end users and the sales data is collected by means of sales receipts /20/ at the time of sale to the end user. The technical specifications of SLS model were verified through the specifications provided by technology suppliers /19/ and found to be consistent with the monitoring report. The PO has a mechanism of allocating a unique ID to each CEP and the end user so that there is no inter and/or intra-VPAs double counting. Total SLS distributed under both the VPAs i.e., VPA 6 & VPA 8 are 92,716. During onsite surveys, the end users were asked if we could see the product installed to confirm the model in use. It has been checked by the verification team that the verified VPAs are way below the threshold /02/ for their respective methodologies: <table><tr><th>VPA</th><th>Capacity (MW)/ ERs (tCO2e)</th></tr><tr><td>GS 11505 (VPA 06)</td><td>4,907tCO2e</td></tr><tr><td>GS 11478 (VPA 08)</td><td>2,552 tCO2e</td></tr></table> All technical specifications/19/ were reviewed and SLS models were found to be meeting the applied methodology requirements and PoA eligibility criteria of PoA and therefore, found acceptable by the verification team, as provisioned in section A.3 of VPA-DDs/2/.			VPA	Capacity (MW)/ ERs (tCO2e)	GS 11505 (VPA 06)	4,907tCO2e	GS 11478 (VPA 08)
VPA	Capacity (MW)/ ERs (tCO2e)							
GS 11505 (VPA 06)	4,907tCO2e							
GS 11478 (VPA 08)	2,552 tCO2e							
Findings	No Findings were raised.							
Conclusion	• The verification team is of the opinion that physical features of the VPAs have been implemented in accordance with the VPA-DDs/2/. • It is also confirmed, through the review of the supporting documentation, that physical features of the component VPAs have been implemented in accordance with the VPA-DDs/2/. • The VPAs was also found to be completely operational in line with the VPA-DDs/2/. • The information provided in the relevant sections of the monitoring report are appropriately describe the implementation and operational status of the PoA.							

E.6.2. Post-Design Certification changes

E.6.2.1. Temporary deviations from the approved Monitoring & Reporting Plan, methodology or standardized baseline

Not Applicable

E.6.2.2. Corrections

Not Applicable

E.6.2.3. Changes to the start-date of the crediting period

Not Applicable

E.6.2.4. Permanent changes from the Design Certified monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline

Not Applicable

E.6.2.5. Changes to project design of approved project

There are no changes made during this monitoring period.

E.6.3. Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with applied methodologies and standardized baselines

Means of verification	The monitoring plan contained in the VPA-DDs/2/ was reviewed in relation to the monitoring requirements of the applied methodology AMS-III.AR version 7/08/, as well as the PoA DD/1/, bearing in mind the technology involved. In light of the review conducted, it was found that the monitoring plan in the VPA-DDs/2/ contains all the required parameters to be monitored in the context of the VPAs design and description and allows determination of emission reductions according to the PoA DD/1/ and applied methodology/08/.
Findings	No findings raised.
Conclusion	The monitoring plan is in line with the approved methodology, Gold Standard Simplified Methodology AMS-III.AR Version 07/08/, that is included in the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/. The monitoring plan is in accordance with the applied methodology /08/ that is included in the VPA-DDs/2/.

E.6.4. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan.

E.6.4.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

For the VPA 06 & VPA 08 (AMS-III.AR)

SDG 13: The Lamp Emission factor, DV

Means of verification	Applicable only in VPA 06 & VPA 08. DV -- The value of the parameter was sourced from default value prescribed in AMS-III.AR. (v.7)/08/. The value of this parameter considered is mentioned below as per VPA-DDs. <table border="1" data-bbox="614 734 1284 840"> <thead> <tr> <th>VPA Number</th><th>Value</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>VPA 06</td><td>0.092 tCO₂e/Lamp</td></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 08</td><td>0.092 tCO₂e/Lamp</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	VPA Number	Value	VPA 06	0.092 tCO ₂ e/Lamp	VPA 08	0.092 tCO ₂ e/Lamp
VPA Number	Value						
VPA 06	0.092 tCO ₂ e/Lamp						
VPA 08	0.092 tCO ₂ e/Lamp						
Findings	No findings were raised.						
Conclusion	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /38/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /05/ are consistent with the approach given in VPA-DDs/2/. Hence the applied value is correct and justified.						

E.6.4.2. Data and parameters monitored (Carbon & SDG)

VPA 06 and 08 - AMS-III.AR

SDG 13: Number of project lamps distributed to end users of type i with charging method j ($N_{i,j}$), Number of lights

Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes
	Monitoring equipment	Not applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The values reported in the final MR /38/ and ER sheet were verified through the output files of MEC Credit tracker platform provided by the CME. During the current monitoring period, ERs from the total of devices distributed have been calculated. Each device, and lamps therein, are considered operational for the first three years of its crediting period after which monitoring is required, which is found to be in line with VPA-DD and applied

	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Not applicable (Default value used)
	Monitoring equipment	Not applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The values reported in the final MR were verified from the methodology AMS-III.AR. As per the applied methodology AMS-III.AR para 21, Grid Factor in year y is equal to 1.0 when charging option defined in paragraph 3(a) is used. Para 3(a) of methodology is applicable to the VPAs i.e., the distributed project lamps are charged by a renewable energy system (photovoltaic system). It is also demonstrated at the time of VPA-inclusion and is cross checked during current verification from project database and on-site audit that the replaced lamps were kerosene lamps in line with para 8(a) of applied methodology and therefore it is assumed that all baseline emissions are from the consumption of fossil fuel (in this case, kerosene) for lighting. Therefore, for the current monitoring period default value 1.0 is considered for this parameter.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not applicable
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	It can be confirmed that management is ensuring the correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and the necessary QA/QC processes are in place.
Findings	No findings were raised	
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan and applied methodology.	

SDG 13: Dynamic baseline factor in year y (DBy), Fraction

Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Not applicable (Default value used)
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring	Not applicable (Default value used)

	methodology? (Yes / No)	
	Monitoring equipment	Not applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The values reported in the final MR were verified through the methodology AMS-III.AR. According to applied methodology AMS-III.AR, under para 21 and parameter table 5, dynamic baseline factor can be calculated as "default of 1.0 in the absence of relevant information" This methodological choice is confirmed at the time of inclusion of VPA as the applicable approach to determine parameter DBy. Therefore, for the current monitoring period default value 1.0 is considered for this parameter.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not applicable
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	It can be confirmed that management is ensuring the correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and the necessary QA/QC processes are in place.
Findings	No findings were raised	
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan and applied methodology.	

SDG 13: The percentage of project lamps distributed to end users that are operating and in service (OF_{y,i,j}), Fraction

Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Default value for first three years. Determined based on survey conducted in year 3 for years 4-7.
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, measuring and reporting frequency is met
	Monitoring equipment	Not applicable
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not applicable
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	According to applied methodology, if option-2 (para 18) is applied, all project lamps are assumed to operate for first three years from installation,

		This is also cross-verified from applied methodology according to which, percentage of project lamps distributed to end users that are operating and in service are assumed to be equal to 100 per cent for years 1, 2 and 3. Therefore, since CME has chosen option-2 from AMS-III.AR para 18 in VPA-DD, the percentage of project lamps distributed to end users that are operating and in service is acceptable as 100% for lamps installed less than 3 years ago. However, in case of the VPAs under this verification, the monitoring has been conducted based on sampling for all years of distribution, i.e. ex-post monitoring has been conducted irrespective of the year of installation. Since the approach is more proactive than the minimum requirements of the applied methodology and will not lead to any overestimation of the emission reductions, the approach is found acceptable. The calculation for determining the sample size were checked by the verification team and found to be appropriate and consistent with monitoring plan, as well as with Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities v.9.0.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not applicable
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	It can be confirmed that management is ensuring the correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and the necessary QA/QC processes are in place.
Findings	No findings were raised	
Conclusion	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan and applied methodology.	

SDG 7: Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating SLS units under Project), ACS_{Project}, Number

Relevant SDG Indicator	SDG7: Affordable and Clean Energy	
Means of verification	Criteria/Requirements	VVB Assessment
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Continuously
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line to the PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DD's/2/.

	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The post monitoring records/38/15/ were checked to identify as part of the assessment as well as during the interviews conducted with the 36 selected beneficiaries during on site visit the intended beneficiaries who are having access to affordable, reliable and modern energy services. The value of the parameter considered to be as mentioned below, which was found to be acceptable. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>VPA#</th><th>ACS</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>VPA 06</td><td>64,524</td></tr> <tr> <td>VPA 08</td><td>9,306</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	VPA#	ACS	VPA 06	64,524	VPA 08	9,306
	VPA#	ACS						
	VPA 06	64,524						
VPA 08	9,306							
If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not Applicable							
Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The QA/QC processes were deemed to be appropriate and trustworthy.							
Findings	No Finding were raised.							
Conclusion	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the GS PoA-DD /1/ and registered VPA-DDs/2/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.							

E.6.5. Implementation of sampling plan

Means of verification	The monitoring has been carried out in accordance with the monitoring plan contained in the PoA-DD/1/ and respective VPA-DDs/2/. Sampling Design/Target Population/Sampling Frame/Reliability: In this sampling design, the VPA's that are covered under the current monitoring period (GS11505 (VPA 06) & GS11478 (VPA 08) are the subject. The sampling frame considered confidence level and precision as 90/10 considering the requirement of Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM PAs and PoAs/21/. The Credit Tracker Platform that records the contact details of the solar lighting systems end users, serves as the basis from which sampling frame is developed. Sampling Method (AMS-III.AR) The sampling is applied to the proportion-based parameter OFy,i,j for the monitoring period requesting issuance. The samples for monitoring are randomly picked from each sample set. The sampling frame considered confidence level and precision as 90/10 considering the requirement of Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM PoAs for the monitored
------------------------------	--

parameter requiring sampling. The Credit Tracker Platform that records the contact details of all end users serves as the basis from which sampling frame is developed. Differently aged CEPs are divided into separate sample frames and samples are picked from each of these of these sets separately by applying the sampling plan on each of these batches.

In conclusion, VVB reviewed all the evidence submitted by PP related to GHG emission reduction calculations and confirmed that all the parameters are correctly applied. Default values used in the calculation were identified correctly. The emission reduction calculation has been done in line with the applied methodology.

It is also to be noted that for VPA 06, 08, CME had been applying AMS I.A v14 during its first crediting period under CDM which required annual monitoring to be conducted. However, during transition to GS4GG, the crediting period was renewed, and methodology for VPA06 and 08 was changed to AMS III AR v7. CME conducted the monitoring at the beginning of the monitoring period to align with the requirements of the new applied methodology.

Sample selection:

The samples were randomly selected using a computerized randomizer tool in Microsoft excel, and the verification team has reviewed the calculation. The samples were drawn from the complete sales databases (irrespective of their usage status determined during usage survey) for each relevant VPA-DD/2/. The sample can be confirmed to be representative of the total population in the context of the consideration of vintage of implementation of solar CEPs.

Implementation of survey:

Based on interviews with the CME and surveyors during the onsite surveys, in addition to simply asking this question to the end users, the surveyors were also trained to visually inspect the solar lighting system to corroborate the responses received. Therefore, the implementation of the survey was considered reliable.

Monitoring survey (by CME) duration:

The monitoring survey (field survey / tests) was carried out by CME representatives between following duration for the current monitoring period:

VPA Ref. No.	Technology	Survey dates for current monitoring period
GS 11505	SLS	06/01/2022 to 10/02/2022
GS 11478	SLS	06/01/2022 to 10/02/2022

Therefore, it was concluded that the monitoring survey results obtained are applicable for the entire monitoring period.

Reliability and precision calculation:

The verification team has verified the ER calculation spreadsheets/5/ with the monitored data, where the actual achieved precision is calculated against the Guidelines outlined under "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities"/23/ and can

	confirm that the calculation of achieved reliability was done correctly. Reliability and precision checks are carried out for each monitored sample group under the VPA. The parameters reported in ER spreadsheet were checked for the input values as well as formula applied and were found consistent. The reliability (demonstration of precision achieved after the survey results) is depicted in the ER calculation sheets /5/ corresponding to final Monitoring Report /38/, which were also found appropriate. Based on the verified results the verification team found that the required precision is met in all the cases and therefore the survey results were directly used in the calculation of ERs.
Findings	No Finding were raised.
Conclusion	The verification team confirmed that the sampling plan and the parameter values are in accordance with the monitoring plan provided in PoA DD/1/ and the VPA DDs/2/.

E.6.6. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

Means of verification	No monitoring equipment required to monitor the parameters, as verified through the registered monitoring plan as outline in the VPA-DDs/2/ and PoA-DD/1/.
Findings	No Finding were raised.
Conclusion	The verification team has determined that no monitoring equipment has been used by the PP. Therefore, there was no requirement of calibration. This was in accordance with the accepted monitoring plan and the applied monitoring methodology.

E.6.7. Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals

E.6.7.1. Calculation of baseline value or estimation of baseline situation of each SDG Impact

Means of verification	<u>SDG-13: Climate Action</u> The verification team verified that - A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available for the monitoring period and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated under Section E.6.4 of this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheets /5/ of final Monitoring Report /38/. - The information provided in the monitoring report was cross checked with other sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such information is also included under Section E.6.4 of this report. - The calculations of baseline emissions as presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet of final Monitoring Report were checked and found to be consistent with the formulae and methods described in the registered monitoring plan of each relevant VPA-DDs/2/, PoA-DD/1/ and the applied methodology/08/. - All assumptions used in the emission calculations were found appropriate and therefore justified. - Appropriate emission factors, IPCC default factors/30/ and other reference values have been correctly applied. This has also been elaborated under Section
------------------------------	--

E.6.4 of this report.

- f) No standardized baseline was prescribed in the PoA-DD and therefore it has not been applied.
- g) There is no pro-rata approach applied in the current monitoring period as entire monitoring period falls into period that is after the end of first commitment period of Kyoto Protocol.

The following equations were used to determine the baseline emissions as provided in the monitoring report /38/ and applied in the corresponding ER calculations sheets /5/. The equations used were found consistent with the revised accepted PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DDs/2/ and the applied methodology.

AMS-III.AR., Version 07/09/:

The emissions reductions for solar lighting projects under AMS-III.AR are determined from equation (5) of the methodology, mentioned below:

$$ER_y = \sum_{i,j} N_{i,j} \times (BE_{y,i} - PE_{y,i,j}) \times (OF_{y,i,j})$$

Parameter	Unit	Value
ER _y	tCO ₂ e	Emission reductions in year y (
N _{i,j}	Number of project lamps	Number of project lamps distributed to end users of type <i>i</i> with charging method <i>j</i>
BE _{y,i}	tCO ₂ e	Baseline emissions per project lamp in year y
PE _{y,i}	tCO ₂ e	Project emissions per project lamp in year y
OF _{y,i,j}	%	Percentage of project lamps distributed to end users that are operating and in service in year y, for each lamp type <i>i</i> and charging method <i>j</i> . Assumed to be equal to 100 per cent for years 1, 2 and 3, and equal to the value determined in paragraph 36, for years 4, 5, 6 and 7

The baseline emissions per project lamp in year y are calculated using equation (3) of the methodology, mentioned below:

$$BE_y = DV \times GF_y \times DB_y$$

Parameter	Unit	Value
BE _y	tCO ₂ e	Baseline emissions per project lamp in year y
DV	tCO ₂ e per project lamp	Lamp Emission Factor (default is 0.092 tCO ₂ e per project lamp)
GF _y	-	Grid Factor in year y, - Equal to 1.0 when charging option defined in paragraph 3(a) is used; - Equal to 1.0 if the project activity is for off-grid households/communities (defined as no grid access or less than 12 hours grid availability per day on an annual average

		basis); • Otherwise it is equal to 1.0 minus (the fraction of time grid is available to the target households and communities/users in the region of project activity)
DB _y	-	Dynamic Baseline Factor (change in baseline fuel, fuel use rate, and/or utilization during crediting period) in year y. Calculated as either: • Option 1: default of 1.0 in the absence of relevant information; • Option 2: value of 1.0+FFg where FFg is the documented national growth rate of kerosene fuel use in lighting from the preceding years (use the most recent available data for a three or five years average fraction)

Here, the Lamp Emission Factor is determined through the following equation (2) of the methodology, mentioned below:

$$DV = FUR \times O \times U \times EF \div 1000 \times LF \times n \times NTG$$

Parameter	Unit	Value
DV	tCO ₂ e per project lamp	Lamp Emission Factor (default is 0.092 tCO ₂ e per project lamp)
FUR	liters/hour	Fuel use rate (0.03 liters/hour)
O	hours/day	Utilization rate (3.5 hours/day)
U	days/year	Annual utilization (365 days/year)
EF	kgCO ₂ /liter	Fuel emissions factor (2.4 kgCO ₂ /liter)
LF	-	Leakage factor (1.0)
n	-	Number of fuel-based lamps replaced per project lamp (1.0)
NTG	-	Net-to-gross adjustment factor (1.0)

Total baseline estimates for solar lamps for VPA6: 4,907

Total baseline estimates for solar lamps for VPA8: 2,552

2. SDG-7: Affordable clean energy

ACS_{baseline} Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating SLS units under baseline) = 0

3. SDG-8: Decent Work

The SDG impact is calculated as below:

QE IG_{Baseline} = Quantitative Employment and income generation (Number of

	person (male or female) hired under baseline) = 0
Findings	No Finding were raised.
Conclusion	The verification team verified that: g) A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated under Section E.6.4.2 of this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet /5/ of final Monitoring Report /38/. h) The information provided in the monitoring report was cross checked with other sources, wherever appropriate and available, and such information is also included under Section E.6.4.2 of this report. i) The calculations of baseline emissions as presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet /5/ of final Monitoring Report /38/ were checked and found to be consistent with the formulae and methods described in the registered monitoring plan of VPA-DDs /2/, registered PoA-DD /1/ and the applied methodology/08/. j) All assumptions used in the emission calculations were found appropriate and therefore justified. k) Appropriate emission factors, IPCC default factors/30/ and other reference values have been correctly applied. This has also been elaborated under Section E.6.4.1 of this report. l) No standardized baseline was prescribed in the registered PoA-DD/1/.

E.6.7.2. Calculation of project value or estimation of project situation of each SDG Impact

Means of verification	1. SDG 13: Climate Action												
	The project estimate for solar lighting system is 0 as it is a renewable energy-based technology.												
	2. SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy												
	ACS _{Project} = Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of households with operating SLS units under Project)												
	The verified values for SDG 7 for the VPAs are:												
	<table><tr><th>VPA Number</th><th>ACS_{Project}</th><th>ACS_{Baseline}</th><th>Net Benefit</th></tr><tr><td>VPA6</td><td>64,524</td><td>0</td><td>64,524</td></tr><tr><td>VPA8</td><td>9,306</td><td>0</td><td>9,306</td></tr></table>	VPA Number	ACS _{Project}	ACS _{Baseline}	Net Benefit	VPA6	64,524	0	64,524	VPA8	9,306	0	9,306
VPA Number	ACS _{Project}	ACS _{Baseline}	Net Benefit										
VPA6	64,524	0	64,524										
VPA8	9,306	0	9,306										
	3. SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth												
	QE IG _{Project} = Quantitative Employment and income generation (Number of person (male and female) hired under Project)												
	<table><tr><th>VPA Number</th><th>QE IG_{Project}</th><th>QE IG_{Baseline}</th><th>Net Benefit</th></tr><tr><td>VPA6</td><td>93</td><td>0</td><td>93</td></tr><tr><td>VPA8</td><td>30</td><td>0</td><td>30</td></tr></table>	VPA Number	QE IG _{Project}	QE IG _{Baseline}	Net Benefit	VPA6	93	0	93	VPA8	30	0	30
VPA Number	QE IG _{Project}	QE IG _{Baseline}	Net Benefit										
VPA6	93	0	93										
VPA8	30	0	30										
Findings	None												
Conclusion	No project emissions are required to be calculated.												

E.6.7.3. Calculation of leakage

Means of verification	The PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DDs/2/ and applied monitoring methodology/07/ does not prescribe any leakage emissions to be considered. The onsite visit conducted, and project design also did not reveal any potential
------------------------------	--

	source to be considered in this regard.
Findings	No Finding were raised.
Conclusion	No additional leakage emissions (other than what is already considered in baseline calculations) were required in accordance with the methodology and AMS-III.AR., version 07/08/.

E.6.7.4. Calculation of net benefits or direct calculation for each SDG Impact

Means of verification	SDGs Targeted	SDG Impact	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
	13	Amount of VERs (SLS)	VPA 6- 4,907 VPA 8- 2,552	0	VPA 6- 4,907 VPA 8- 2,552
	7	Number of beneficiaries (SLS)	VPA 6 – 0 VPA 8 – 0	VPA 6-64,524 VPA 8-9,306	VPA 6-64,524 VPA 8-9,306
	8	Quantitative Employment and income generation	VPA 6 – 0 VPA 8 – 0	VPA 6- 93 VPA 8- 30	VPA 6- 93 VPA 8- 30
The calculation methods applied for all the SDG impacts were checked with PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DDs/2/. The verification team confirms that the stated figures were checked and found acceptable.					
Findings	No Finding were raised.				
Conclusion	The verification team confirms that: - The complete data was available and is duly reported; - As indicated above, the description with regard to cross-check of reported data is included under respective parameter (refer Section E.5.4 and section E.6.4 of this report); - Appropriate methods and formulae for calculating baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals, project emissions and leakage emissions were followed; - Appropriate emission factors, IPCC default factors/30/ and other reference values were correctly applied.				

E.7. Comparison of actual SDG Impacts with estimates in approved PDD

Means of verification	From Section E.5 of the Monitoring Report, it is apparent that estimated values were off while the project monitored its progress.				
	SDG	Values estimated in ex ante calculation of approved PDD for this monitoring period	Actual values ⁴ achieved during this monitoring period		
	13	VPA 6- 7,932 tCO ₂ e (SLS) VPA 8- 3,252 tCO ₂ e	VPA 6- 4,907 tCO ₂ e VPA 8- 2,552 tCO ₂ e		

⁴ Whenever emission reductions are capped, both the original and capped values used for calculations must be transparently reported. Use brackets to denote original values.

	13 (ICS)	VPA 6- 64,024 tCO ₂ e VPA 8- 68,488 tCO ₂ e	VPA 6- 32,784 tCO ₂ e VPA 8- 33,322 tCO ₂ e
	7 (ICS)	VPA 6- 17,967 VPA 8- 21,003	VPA 6- 10,310 VPA 8- 12,052
	7 (SLS)	VPA 6- 81,045 VPA 8- 11,671	VPA 6- 64,524 VPA 8- 9,306
	8	VPA 6- 20 VPA 8- 20	VPA 6- 93 VPA 8- 30
	The actual SDG targets against the anticipated values in PoA-DD/01/ and VPA-DDs/02/ is lower for all the SDGs except SDG 8 as tabulated above. The primary reason being in the PoA-DD and VPA-DDs sales for the respective technology are much lower than expected in the VPA-DDs. Thus, the achieved SDG targets are much lower than anticipated.		
Findings	No Finding were raised.		
Conclusion	The actual emission reductions achieved in the current monitoring period for the VPAs are lower than the emission reductions as well as for other SDG targets stated in the VPA-DDs/2/. Therefore, it has been accepted by the verification team.		

E.7.1. Remarks on increase in achieved SDG Impacts from estimated value in approved PDD

Means of verification	The Monitoring Report /38/ and corresponding ER calculations sheet /05/06/, show that the actual emission reductions achieved for project stove during this monitoring period are less than the estimate provided in VPA-DDs/2/.
Findings	No Finding were raised.
Conclusion	No justification was sought from the PD because the achievement of emission reductions was lower than what had been estimated.

E.8. Safeguarding reporting

Principles	Mitigation Measures added to the Monitoring Plan	Assessment/Observation
Principle 6.1. Labour Rights		
The Project Developer shall ensure that all employment is in compliance with national labour occupational health and safety laws and with the principles and standards embodied in the ILO fundamental conventions	The CME had made sure that all employment complies with regional labour laws and regulations. The VPA does not entail any forced labour. All employees are confirmed to be minimum 18 years of age. The information is found confirmed and recorded in the monitoring report.	As verified by the VVB through the employment records/30/ and contracts no employee was found to be 18 years of age which is in line with national labour laws
Principle 9.4 Release of pollutants.		

Could the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment?	The project distributed clean energy products which required a appropriate handling at their end of life to avoid release of pollutants at end of life. The PP has been accounted for this and ensured the mitigation measures are in place at the time of monitoring, including procurement of waste scrap, which has been documented in the monitoring report.	VVB has verified and evident through the interviews of Muthoot and ESAF staff, if any waste scrap disposal happened in the current monitoring, the information confirmed by the photographic evidence of sample receipts/8/ shared by the CME.
---	--	--

E.9. Stakeholder Inputs and Legal Disputes

Means of verification	Since there were no negative comments reported in the Grievance mechanism for the current period, as confirmed from the logbooks and interviews of the end users, this section is not applicable. No Legal disputes have been indicated by the CME and PO during the interviews. CME has added declaration in the monitoring report indicating that no legal contest has arisen during this monitoring period. The stakeholder mitigations that were agreed to be monitored include aftersales mechanism to ensure customer complaints are registered and addressed continuously. Interviews of end-users were conducted by the VVB representatives, and all end-users confirmed that they were aware of the complaints mechanism and had contact information of the PO representatives in case they have any complaints regarding the CEPs. The measures to address such complaints may include repair or replacement of CEPs, depending on the degree of damage. The Continuous input / Grievance Expression process book is available at the office of Local Partner organization for those who don't have the access to electronic media for expressing their concerns and the end users can also register their complaint / grievance through the email customercare@muthoot.com and info@cedarretail.in, help@goldstandard.org. During the current monitoring period, total replacement was 0 for stoves VPA 6 and 100 for stoves in VPA 8. Under VPA 6, 2700 SLS were repaired and 130 SLS were repaired under VPA 08. These have been confirmed by the ER Sheets/5/ of the respective VPAs. A step wise approach has been adopted by the CME for aftersales mechanism to resolve customer complaints. The steps involved are: Step 1: Complain Registration Step 2: Logging complaint Step 3: Collection of products for repair Step 4: Resolution of the complaint Step 5: Feedback (optional) VVB confirms that all the technical failure and maintenance protocol has been appropriately listed by the CME in the MR.
Findings	No Finding were raised.
Conclusion	There was no Grievance registered in the current monitoring period which was confirmed by the grievance expression logbook available at the office of local partner organization/35/.

SECTION F. Internal quality control

The draft verification report that is prepared by the verification team is reviewed by an independent technical review team (one or more members) to confirm if the internal procedures established and implemented by Earthood were duly complied with and such opinion/conclusion is reached in an objective manner that complies with the applicable GS4GG requirements. The technical review team is collectively required to possess the technical expertise of all the technical area/sectoral scope the project activity relates to. All team members of technical review team are independent of the verification team.

During the technical review process, additional findings may be identified, or the closed-out findings may be opened, which needs to be satisfactorily resolved before the request for issuance is submitted to Gold Standard. The independent technical reviewer may either approve the report as such or reject/return the same in such case providing the comments/findings/issues that needs to be resolved by the verification team. The decision taken by the Technical Reviewer is final and is authorized on behalf of Earthood Services Private Limited.

SECTION G. Verification opinion

Earthood Services Private Limited (Earthood), contracted by, has performed the independent verification of the emission reductions for the GS Project GS11505 (VPA 06) & GS11478 (VPA 08) in the host country "India" for the monitoring period 01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022 (both dates inclusive), as reported in the Monitoring Report, Version 1 dated 15/09/2023 /38/. The 'Micro Energy Credits' is responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring plan and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project activity. Earthood commenced the verification against the baseline and monitoring methodology "TPDDTEC – "Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumptions, Version 3.1"/06/ and AMS-III.AR "Substituting fossil fuel-based lighting with LED/CFL lighting systems" version 07/08/, the monitoring plan contained in the VPA-DDs and Monitoring Report Version 1.0 dated 15/09/2023/38/.

VVB's verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. Earthood planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that Earthood considered necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated.

The verification team confirms that:

- The PoA was found completely implemented as per the description given in the registered VPA-DDs.
- The actual operation conforms to the description in the registered PoA – DD/01/ and VPA- DDs/02/.

SECTION H. Certification statement

ESPL's verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. ESPL planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that ESPL considered necessary to give reasonable assurance that the reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated.

In our opinion, the GHG emissions reductions reported for the project activity are fairly stated in the Monitoring Report (final) Version 1.0 dated 15/09/2023/38/. ESPL, based on outcome of verification activities, certifies in writing that, during the monitoring period 01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022 (inclusive of both the dates) for the VPA 06 & VPA 08 (inclusive both dates) the registered GS PoA – GS11450 "Micro Energy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India" achieved the verified amount of 37,691 tCO₂e under VPA 06 & 35,874 tCO₂e

under VPA 08 in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that would not have occurred in the absence of the PoA.

The verified amount of emission reductions is stated below as per implemented VPAs and as per commitment period:

Verified and certified emission reductions as per monitoring period:

		Amount Achieved		
Start Dates	End Dates	VERs		
01/01/2022	31/12/2022	VPAs	VPA 6	VPA 8
		ICS	32,784	33,322
		SLS	4,907	2,552
		Total	37,691	35,874

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
General	
ACM	Approved Consolidated Methodology
AM	Approved Methodology
BE	Baseline Emission
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	Certified Emission Reduction
CME	Coordinating and Managing Entity
CL	Clarification Request
CO2	Carbon dioxide
CP	Crediting Period
DR	Desk Review
EB	Executive Board
EI	External Individual
ESPL	Earthood Services Private Limited
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Green House Gas
GSC/GSP	Global Stakeholder Consultation Process
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IR	Internal Resource
KP	Kyoto Protocol
LSC	Local Stakeholder Consultation Process
MoC	Modalities of Communication
MoV	Means of Verification
MP	Monitoring Plan
ODA	Official Development Assistance
PA	Project Activity
PCP	Project Cycle Procedure
PD	Project Developer
PDD	Project Design Document
PE	Project Emission
PoA	Programme of Activities
PoA DD	Programme of Activities Design Document

PS	Project Standard
RCP	Renewal of Crediting Period
RFR	Request for Registration
tCO ₂ e	tonnes of Carbon di Oxide equivalent
TPH	Tonnes Per Hour
TR	Technical Reviewer
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
V	Version
VPA	Verified Project Activity
VVB	Validation and Verification Body
VVS	Validation and Verification Standard
Project Specific	
ICS	Improved Cookstove
GS4GG	Gold Standard for Global Goals
EPC	Electric Pressure Cooker
LSC	Local Stakeholder Consultation
MoV	Means of Verification
SDG	Sustainable Development Goals
WPS	Water Purification System technology

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

Competence Statement			
Name	Abhinav Tyagi		
Education	MSc in Civil Engineering		
Experience	-		
Field	Civil Engineering		
Approved Roles			
Team Leader	NO		
Validator	NO		
Verifier	NO		
Methodology Expert	NO		
Local expert	NO		
Financial Expert	NO		
Technical Reviewer	NO		
TA Expert (X.X)	NO		
Trainee	YES		
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (Quality Manager)	Date	26/06/2023
Approved by	Deepika Mahala (Technical Manager)	Date	26/06/2023

Competence Statement			
Name	Deepika Mahala		
Country	India		
Education	M. Sc. (Environment Management), GGSIP University B.Sc. Hons. (Chemistry), Sri Venkateshwar College, DU		
Experience	6 Years +		
Field	Climate Change		
Approved Roles			
Team Leader	YES		
Validator	YES		
Verifier	YES		
Methodology Expert	ACM0002, AMS.I.D., AMS.I.A, AMS.III.AV, AMS.II.G, AMS-II.C		
Local expert	YES (India, Bangladesh)		
Financial Expert	NO		
Technical Reviewer	YES		
TA Expert	YES (TA 1.2 & TA 3.1)		
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (QM)	Date	28/04/2022
Approved by	Kaviraj Singh (MD)	Date	28/04/2022

Competence Statement			
Name	Divij Varshney		
Education	M.Tech. Renewable energy systems B.Tech. Electrical Engineering		
Experience	1.5 years		
Field	Climate Change & Environment / Industry		
Approved Roles			
Team Leader	Yes (VM)		
Validator	Yes (VM)		
Verifier	Yes (VM)		
Local expert	Yes (India)		
Financial Expert	NO		
Technical Reviewer	NO		
TA Expert (X.X)	Yes (VM TA 1.2, 3.1)		
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria, Quality Manager	Date	16/04/2023
Approved by	Deepika Mahala, Technical Manager	Date	16/04/2023

Competence Statement			
Name	Abhimanyu Singh Wazir		
Education	MSc Environmental and Energy Engineering		
Experience	-		
Field	Climate Change & Energy Engineering		
Approved Roles			
Team Leader	NO		
Validator	NO		
Verifier	NO		
Methodology Expert	NO		
Local expert	NO		
Financial Expert	NO		
Technical Reviewer	NO		
TA Expert (X.X)	NO		
Trainee	YES		
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (Quality Manager)	Date	27/06/2023
Approved by	Deepika Mahala (Technical Manager)	Date	27/06/2023

Competence Statement	
Name	Rahul Dev Gautam
Education	B.Tech in Civil Engineering

	M.Tech in Environmental Engineering		
Experience	-		
Field	Civil Engineering		
Approved Roles			
Team Leader	NO		
Validator	NO		
Verifier	NO		
Methodology Expert	NO		
Local expert	NO		
Financial Expert	NO		
Technical Reviewer	NO		
TA Expert (X.X)	NO		
Trainee	Yes		
Reviewed by	Shifali Guleria (Quality Manager)	Date	12/07/2023
Approved by	Deepika Mahala (Technical Manager)	Date	12/07/2023

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1.	MEC	PoA-DD	Version 2.1 dated 15/09/2022	CME
2.	MEC	VPA-DD VPA 06 VPA 08	Ver.4.0, Ver.3.0,	CME
3.	ESPL	Validation Report for inclusion of VPA	Version 1.0, dated 15/09/2022	Others
4.	GS4GG	Monitoring report template Guide	Version 1.1, published on 14/10/2020	GS4GG
5.	MEC	ER Calculation Summary Sheet_VPA 6 and VPA 8	Pertaining to latest MR	CME
6.	GS4GG	The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC)	Version 3.1, Dated 25/08/2017	Others
7.	MEC	Waste scrap disposal sample receipts	-	CME
8.	UNFCCC	AMS-III.AR Substituting fossil fuel-based lighting with LED/CFL lighting systems	Version 07	Others
9.	CDM	CDM webpage of the PoA: https://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/poa_db/B46TH0V2GLIZK1UPWJ3SMNA8QRX7FY/view	Last accessed on 13/10/2022	Others
10.	The Gold Standard Foundation	GS webpage of the PoA: https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects/details/3501	Last accessed on 13/10/2022	Others
11.	MEC	Carbon Title transfer document	-	CME
12.	MEC	Calibration certificates of weigh balance	Various	CME
13.	MEC	Calibration certificates of Moisture meter	Various	CME
14.	MEC	Spot check user records and the pictures of the stoves	-	CME
15.	MEC	Training records	-	CME
16.	MEC	Monitoring survey reports for	-	CME

		parameters monitoring for ICS and SLS		
17.	MEC	Questionnaire used during the survey for each type of CEP	December 2020	CME
18.	SKDRDP	Technical specifications of ICS – Jumbo stove	-	CME
19.	d.Light	Technical specifications of SLS (Various)	-	CME
20.	MEC	Original copies of sales receipts / invoices/ warranty cards	-	CME
21.	UNFCCC	CDM PS for PoA	Version 3.0	Others
22.	UNFCCC	CDM VVS for PoA	Version 3.0	Others
23.	UNFCCC	Standard: sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities	Version 9.0	Others
24.	UNFCCC	Guidelines: sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities	Version 4.0	Others
25.	GS4GG	Site visit and Remote audit requirement and procedures	Version 2.0	Others
26.	GS4GG	PoA Requirements	Version 2.0	Others
27.	GS4GG	CSA Requirements	Version 1.2	Others
28.	GS4GG	GHG emission reduction and sequestration product requirements	Version 2.1	Others
29.	MEC	Employment Records	-	CME
30.	IPCC	IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories 2.1 (http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/2_Volume2/V2_2_Ch2_Stationary_Combustion.pdf)	-	Others
31.	GS4GG	Form: GS-MR-FORM	Version 1.1, Dated 14/10/2020	Others
32.	TASC	Training photos	-	CME
34.1	TASC	Training records	-	TASC
33.	The Gold Standard Foundation	REQUIREMENTS AND GUIDELINES USAGE RATE MONITORING,	-	CME
34.	IPCC	GWP: IPCC AR4 https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ar4-wg1-chapter2-1.pdf	-	Others
35.	IPCC	GWP: IPCC AR5, https://www.ipcc.ch/assessment-report/ar5/	-	Others
36.	MEC	Grievance Logbook	-	Others
37.	MEC	MEC and PO's agreement	-	CME

38.	MEC	Monitoring Report (final)	Version 1.1, dated 15/09/2023	CME
39.	MEC	Quarterly and annual monitoring survey forms	Filled	CME
40.	MEC	Vintage Wise approach (GS11482)	-	CME
41.	MEC	Credit tracker platform screenshots/ online – output file	-	CME
42.	MEC	KPT test https://cleancooking.org/binary-data/DOCUMENT/file/000/000/604-1.pdf	Version 4.0 March 2018	CME
43.	MEC	Credit Tracker Platform Screenshots	-	CME
44.	MEC	Tracker output file	-	CME
45.	IIT Varanasi	Stove test report	-	CME
46.	UNFCCC	Tool 30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass	Version 4.0	Othe rs
47.	UNFCCC	Community Services Activity Requirements	Version 1.2	Othe rs
48.	ESPL	Remote-Site audit records	-	Othe rs

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. Remaining FAR from validation and/or previous verification

FAR ID	xx	Section no.	xx	Date : DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR				
There is no finding from verification.				
Project participant response				Date : DD/MM/YYYY
NA				
Documentation provided by project participant				
NA				
VVB assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
NA				

Table 2. CL from this verification

CL ID	xx	Section no.	xx	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description of CL				
There is no finding from verification.				
Project participant response				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
NA				
Documentation provided by project participant				
NA				
VVB assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

NA

Table 3. CAR from this verification

CAR ID	xx	Section no.	xx	Date:	DD/MM/YYYY
Description of CAR					
There is no finding from verification.					
Project participant response				Date:	DD/MM/YYYY
N/A					
Documentation provided by project participant					
N/A					
VVB assessment				Date:	DD/MM/YYYY
N/A					

Table 4. FAR from this verification

FAR ID	XX	Section No.		Date:	DD/MM/YYYY
Description of FAR					
NA					
Project participant response				Date:	DD/MM/YYYY
NA					
Documentation provided by project participant					
NA					
VVB assessment				Date:	DD/MM/YYYY
NA					

History of the document						
Version	Date	Nature of Revision	Prepared by		Reviewed by	
			Name	Date	Name	Date
2.0	16/10/2015	In line to UN reports	Abhishek Mahawar	16/10/2015	Ashok Gautam	16/10/2015
1.0	10/11/2014	Editorial	Abhishek Mahawar	10/11/2014	Ashok Gautam	11/11/2014
0	01/07/2013	Initial adoption	Abhishek Mahawar	28/06/2013	Kaviraj Singh	01/07/2013