

TEMPLATE

TRANSITION REQUEST FORM - CPA

PUBLICATION DATE 1.04.2021 VERSION 1.0 RELATED SUPPORT - <u>TEMPLATE GUIDE Key Project Information & VPA Design Document v.1.1</u>

CONTACT DETAILS:

THE GOLD STANDARD FOUNDATION

Chemin de Balexert 7-9 1219 Châtelaine International Environment House 2 Geneva, Switzerland Tel: +41 22 788 70 80 Email: <u>help@goldstandard.org</u>

Summary:

The CPA transitioning from **CDM or other Standards to Gold Standard for Global Goals (GS4GG)** shall submit the transition request form and VPA - DD (this document). The **Transition Request Form** is also to be completed for CPA that are already registered with GS4GG for CER labelling and seek to move to GSVER stream to issue Gold Standard VERs.

This document contains the following Sections:

Section - Transition Request Form TRF.1 ELIGIBILITY CHECK FOR TRANSITION TRF.2 TRANSITION PROJECT INFORMATION TRF.3 TRANSITION CHECKLIST

Key Project Information

Section – Voluntary Project Activity Design Document (VPA -DD)

<u>Section A</u> – Description of project

<u>Section B</u> - Application of approved Gold Standard Methodology (ies) and/or demonstration of SDG Contributions

<u>Section C</u> – Duration and crediting period

<u>Section D</u> – Summary of Safeguarding Principles and Gender Sensitive Assessment <u>Section E</u> – Summary of Local stakeholder consultation

<u>Appendix 1</u> – Safeguarding Principles Assessment (mandatory)

<u>Appendix 2</u> - Contact information of VPA Implementer (mandatory)

<u>Appendix 3 -</u> - Summary of Approved Design Changes (project specific)

Section	Required for	How to complete the section
Transition Request	Form	
TRF.1 Eligibility check for transition	All CPAs	Answer the assessment questions and provide supporting information as needed
TRF.2 Transition project information	All CPAs	Provide CPA information pertaining to the standard, the project is transitioning from (e.g. CDM)
TFR.3 Transition checklist	All CPAs	Answer the assessment questions and provide supporting information in the section in the VPA - DD section as needed
VPA – DD		
Key project information	All CPAs	Include VPA details pertaining to GS4GG
Section A to E	All CPAs	 Provide information as needed. Any section/subsections that requires information/justification or additional information as per transition checklist, AND that involves update/revision to the information provided for registration with other standards. In such cases, the project shall copy and paste the information from registered VPA - DD (other standard) and mark the additional information

in track changes.

The following table summarises how different sections of this document shall be filled to facilitate request for transition from other standard to GS4GG.

SECTION – TRANSITION REQUEST FORM

TRF.1 ELIGIBILITY CHECK FOR TRANSITION

To be completed for all CPAs seeking transition to GS4GG from other standards.

Requirement	CPA assessment (to be completed by CME)
The CPA must have a crediting period start date with CDM/other standard on or after 01 January 2016	Is the CPA(s) crediting period start date after 01 January 2016? ⊠ Yes □ No (go to questions below)
The CPA that has a crediting period start date with CDM/other standard before 01 January 2016 shall demonstrate the risk of discontinuation without carbon revenue.	<i>Explain the risks/barriers that may cause discontinuation of CPA without carbon revenue.</i>
The CPA that has a crediting period start date with CDM/other standard before 01 . January 2016 shall demonstrate how the project has been operational in the absence of carbon revenue, if carbon credits have not been issued to the project in recent years.	Explain how the CPA has managed the operation in the absence of carbon revenue in recent years. NA
List of supporting documents	<i>Please list all supporting documentation.</i> <i>If any of the supporting documents are confidential, please</i> <i>indicate here to ensure they are omitted from being published</i>

TRF.2 TRANSITION PROJECT INFORMATION

CME shall provide CPA information (in grey rows), pertaining to the standard, the CPA is transitioning from (e.g. CDM) in the table below.

Name of the original standard	☑ CDM□ Other (Add the standard name here)
CPA status with original standard	<i>The current status of CPA with CDM/other standard at the time of submission of this form.</i>
	 Active (registration status is valid) Withdrawn (deregistered) Provisional (awaiting guidance from the CMP at CMP 16, CDM CPAs only)
CDM/ other standard	The reference number/ID allocated to the CPA by CDM/other standard.
reference ID	CPA 9181-P1-0020-CP1
CPA reference weblink	The weblink of the project page of CDM/other standard.
	<u>CDM: MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 20 (unfccc.int)</u>
PoA reference ID and Title	Reference ID and Title For example 0457: Cooking stoves distribution programme in Uganda 9181: MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India
Title of CPA	The title of the CPA used for registration with CDM/other standard.
	MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 20 Clear Sky Partners
New title of CPA (if applicable)	<i>The title of the CPA if it has been changed for registering with Gold Standard. (Follow GS4GG requirements Section 5, <u>PoA requirements</u>)</i> NA
Methodology used	<i>Methodology title and the version number applied for registration with CDM /other standard.</i>
	AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user" (Version 14) AMS-III.A.V. "Low greenhouse gas emitting water purification systems" (Version 2)
Amount of reductions	Average annual emission reductions (tCO2eq/year). 235,610tCO2e
Inclusion data	The CPA inclusion date with CDM/other standard.
Inclusion date	21/06/2019
Type of crediting period	☑ renewable crediting period□ fixed crediting period
Crediting period	<i>The CPA registered crediting period start date and end date with CDM/other standard.</i>

	Start date: 21/06/2019			
	End date: 20/06/2026			
Total monitoring periods	The total period that has already been issued by CDM/other standard.			
issued	Start date: 21/06/2019 End date: 31/12/2020			
		d that has already been issued or submitted		
Latest monitoring period	for issuance to CDM/other			
	Start date: 27/06/2020			
	End date: 31/12/2020			
	Issuance Status	⊠ Issued		
		□ Awaiting issuance		
	Date of Issuance, if	27/08/2021		
	issued.			
Declaration	Click on the tick box to con			
	U . U	ing Entity hereby acknowledges that		
	project developer;			
	□ Option 1 - has include	d information in this document that has		
	not been validated/verified as part of CDM PDD OR ⊠ Option 2 - has copied all validated information as it appears			
		used tracked changes to highlight any		
	information that not been validated/or has changed - Note if			
	option 2 is selected the project developer shall fill all sections in			
	the PDD template of this document.			
	The Coordinating/Managing Entity hereby acknowledges that			
	The Coordinating/Managing Entity hereby acknowledges that project developer;			
	p. cject act c.cp c. ,			
	\boxtimes is aware that for a given vintage, a registered Gold Standard			
	CPA can request the issuance of the emission reductions under			
	· · ·	cation scheme. (applicable to all		
	projects).			
	⊠ is aware that all CPAs	that transition to GS4GG shall		
		nancial Need at the time of renewal of		
		owing applicable GS4GG requirements.		
	(applicable to all CPAs).	owing applicable correct requirements		
	,			
	oxtimes confirms that the proj	ect developer/representative will make		
	a declaration, in writing, in the monitoring report submitted to			
	Gold Standard that (applicable to CDM CPAs)			
		issue both a CER/other compliance		
	vintage.	Agreement and a GSVER for the same		
	Vintage			

	 CME agrees to comply with all future UNFCCC COP/CMP decisions¹ including adjustment of GWP values
Coordinating/Managing	Name and designation of CME/authorised signatory
Entity / authorised signatory	Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited

TRF.3 TRANSITION CHECKLIST

Coordinating/Managing Entity shall answer all assessment questions listed below and provide additional information/justification in the VPA-DD section, where required. Please note that the checklist is based on the <u>GHG Emissions Reductions and</u> <u>Sequestration Product Requirements</u>.

The checklist also provides relevant requirements applicable to PoA/CPA transitioning to GS4GG for easy referencing. The CME shall refer to relevant GS4GG documents, as applicable, for further details. It is recommended that CME refers to Guidelines in the table below for more information on the requirements and flexibilities provided. This document (in word) shall be submitted to SustainCERT along with other required documents **for preliminary review** as listed below –

- <u>Cover Letter</u>
- Terms and Conditions
- Official Development Assistance declaration
- Stakeholder Consultation Report
- PoA Design Document (PoA-PDD) final version (CDM/other standard)
- CPA-DD registered with CDM/other standard
- Validation report submitted to CDM/other standard
- Last Monitoring and Verification report submitted to CDM/other standard

1 TRANSITION PATHWAY

1.1	Option 1: Is CPA seeking registration with GS4GG to issue GSCERs while maintaining the CDM registration? (Ref: <u>GHG Product Requirements</u>)	□ Yes ⊠ No
1.2	Option 2: Is CPA seeking registration with GS4GG to issue GSVERs only and/or conversion of issued CERs to GSVERs ? (Ref: Annex B, GHG	⊠ Yes □ No

¹ CDM clarification available on this topic as on date can be referred to <u>here</u>.

	Product Requirements) Note – for conversion of issued CERs to GSVERs, the project must be registered with GS4GG.			
1.3	Option 3: Is CPA seeking registration with GS4GG to issue GSVERs only and/or conversion of emission reduction to GSVERs issued by standard other than CDM ? (Ref: Annex B, <u>GHG Product Requirements</u>)	□ Yes ⊠ No		
Requ	irement:			
	As submitting request for transition on or after 1/1/2021 must demonstrate compliance ements stated in Annex B, <u>GHG Product Requirements.</u>	with		
The Cl - -	PA following option 1 above; may seek registration under GS4GG based on provisional CDM EB decision may seek issuance of GSVERs in exchange of provisional CERs based on CDM EB deci must transfer issued CERs to the Gold Standard Swiss CDM Registry Account. If there implications for issued volume or project eligibility due to CMP decision regarding GW additionality or any other decision, the CME must address these issues, as applicable consultation with SustainCERT/GS.	e are any 'P,		
The Cl - -				
The Cl - - -	- may issue GSVERs			
PoA/C proces	Guidelines: PoA/CPAs already undergoing design certification for CER labelling can continue with their existing process. <u>SustainCERT</u> shall be notified of the intention to switch to GSVER stream, at the earliest possible opportunity.			
notifyi	PAs already certified for CER labelling can switch to GSVER stream by completing this for ng <u>SustainCERT</u> . Such project may leave the VPA-DD section blank as this information red in GS4GG PDD version submitted earlier.			
2	TRANSITION APPROVAL PROCEDURE			
1.1	Option 1 - Is the project undergoing a preliminary review by sustainCERT , validation <u>by VVB</u> and design review by <u>SustainCERT</u> ?	□ Yes ⊠ No		
1.2	Option 2 - Is the project undergoing a <u>combined preliminary review</u> , <u>validation, and design review</u> by <u>SustainCERT</u> ? (restrictions apply, see 5.3 below)	□ Yes ⊠ No		
1.3	Option 3- Is the project undergoing preliminary review by SustainCERT , combined validation & verification by VVB , followed by combined design and performance review by SustainCERT?	⊠ Yes □ No		

Requirement:

The PoA certification under GS4GG involves following key steps. Refer to Section 12. Project cycle <u>Programme of Activity Requirements</u> for details.

Preliminary review - Preliminary Review of the PoA is conducted once at the time of first submission to Gold Standard. It involves desk review of the Key Project Information and PoA-DD by SustainCERT. The PoA can only be listed once a preliminary review of PoA and each CPA submitted with PoA has been completed.

Design certification (validation + design review) - Design certification involves validation by VVB and design review by SustainCERT. With successful design certification the PoA will obtain 'Certified design' status that is equivalent to registration under CDM and other standard. The real case CPA-DD is required with PoA-DD for design review as per <u>Programme of Activity Requirements</u>.

Performance certification (verification + performance review) - Performance certification involves verification by VVB and performance review by SustainCERT. The positive conclusion of the Performance Review period shall result in Gold Standard 'Certified Project status' and CPAs can issue GSVERs. The CME may opt for combined Design Certification, conducting both the first Verification and Performance Review under GS4GG at the same time.

CPAs/VPAs Inclusion – Once a real case CPA/VPA fully design certified, the CME may include CPAs/VPAs applying same technology measures following a simplified inclusion process. It involves, VVB's compliance check followed by SustainCERT design review (two weeks) or if selected for spot - check three week design review.

To minimise disruption and keep the transition review time and costs minimum, the PoA is provided with flexibilities as summarised in the table below;

Certification outcomeCertification stageOption 1Option 2*Option 3Normal certification pathwayCombined Preliminary review + Validation + Design reviewCombined validation + verification followed by combined design + performance reviewPoA+ REAL Case CPAEListingPreliminary reviewSustainCERTCertified Design = RegistrationValidationVVBSustainCERTVVBSustainCERTCertified projectVerificationVVBVVBVVBVVB					
Certification outcomeCertification stage certification pathwayNormal certification pathwayPreliminary review Politication + Design reviewVerification followed by combined design + performance reviewPoA+ REAL CaseCPAListingPreliminary reviewSustainCERTSustainCERTCertified Design = RegistrationValidationVVBSustainCERTVVBDesign reviewSustainCERTVVBSustainCERTCertified projectVerificationVVBVVBVVB		Certification stage	Option 1	Option 2*	Option 3
ListingPreliminary reviewSustainCERTSustainCERTCertified Design = RegistrationValidationVVBSustainCERTVVBDesign reviewSustainCERTSustainCERTSustainCERTCertified projectVerificationVVBVVBVVB			certification	Preliminary review + Validation +	verification followed by combined design +
Certified Design = RegistrationValidationVVBSustainCERTVVBDesign reviewSustainCERTSustainCERTSustainCERTCertified projectVerificationVVBVVBVVB	PoA+ REAL Case	СРА			
= Registration Design review SustainCERT SustainCERT Certified project Verification VVB VVB	Listing	Preliminary review	SustainCERT	_	SustainCERT
Certified project Verification VVB VVB VVB	Certified Design	Validation	VVB	SustainCERT	VVB
	= Registration	Design review	SustainCERT		SustainCERT
	Certified project	Verification	VVB	VVB	VVB
= Issuance Performance review SustainCERT SustainCERT SustainCERT	= Issuance	Performance review	SustainCERT	SustainCERT	SustainCERT
CPA/VPA inclusion	CPA/VPA inclusion				
Compliance check VVB SustainCERT VVB		Compliance check	VVB		VVB
CPA/VPA Design review SustainCERT SustainCERT SustainCERT	CPA/VPA	Design review	SustainCERT	JUSIGINCLET	SustainCERT
inclusion Verification VVB VVB VVB	inclusion	Verification	VVB	VVB	VVB
Performance review SustainCERT SustainCERT SustainCERT		Performance review	SustainCERT	SustainCERT	SustainCERT

For option 1, a validation/inclusion site visit by VVB is not required for CPAs proposed for inclusion as long as the VVB conducted a site visit as part of validation/verification in last three years (from time of first submission for preliminary review) and new/updated information can be audited based on desk review and/or using remote audit approaches. For Option 2, SustainCERT conducts PoA/CPAs design elements desk based audit and approve PoA/CPAs transition, without VVB's opinion. <u>Note that this option will involve additional review</u> <u>fee levied by SustainCERT. The project developer shall confirm the applicable fee and</u> <u>timelines with SustainCERT (help@sustain-cert.com) before submitting the request for</u> <u>transition.</u>

If transition PoA is applying a new/latest version of the methodology which requires full audit but VVB, option 2 cannot be applied.

CMEs may also directly include VPAs/CPAs in the registered PoA, without VVB compliance check

- a. If at least one VPA/CPA of the registered PoA has completed successful performance certification, and
- *b.* The VPA/CPA that has completed performance certification and the VPAs/CPAs that are included by CME without VVB compliance check shall,

- involve same technology/measure and apply same methodology in case of single technology POA

- involve same technologies/measures and apply same methodology(ies) combination in case of multi technology PoA

Refer to VPA/CPA INCLUSION REQUIREMENTS (RU 2020 P&R - PAR V1.2) for further details on applicability conditions and requirements.

This option is not captured in the table above.

This option doesn't involve additional fee levied by SustainCERT as mentioned in option 2 above.

3 CPA ELIGIBILITY

1.1 | Is the CPA eligible project type under Gold Standard for the Global Goals? □ No

Requirement: The transitioning project shall be one of the eligible project types for issuance of Gold Standard VERs (Ref: <u>GHG Product Requirements</u>).

Guidelines: Typical eligible activity types are Renewable Energy Supply, End-Use Energy Efficiency Improvement, Waste Handling & Disposal, Land Use and Forests.

- Afforestation/Reforestation project registered with CDM/other standard may transition to GS4GG for issuance of GSVERs only but are not eligible for labelling of issued emission reduction units.
- RE projects shall refer to <u>Renewable Energy Activity Requirements</u> for eligibility check.
- RE projects for example · Hydropower · biomass resources · landfill gas and biogas from agroprocessing, wastewater and other residues · Waste Heat/Gas recovery · Fossil co-generation · Waste incineration and gasification · Waste handling and disposal are required to demonstrate compliance with the specific eligibility requirements. Refer to Annex – A of <u>Renewable Energy</u> <u>Activity Requirements</u> for further details.
- Community Services Activities projects for example Hydropower · biomass resources · landfill gas and biogas from agro-processing, wastewater and other residues · Waste Heat/Gas recovery · Fossil co-generation · Waste incineration and gasification · Waste handling and disposal · Relighting · End-use fossil switching are required to demonstrate compliance with the specific eligibility requirements. Refer to Annex A of <u>Community Services Activity</u> <u>Requirements</u> for further details.

파	COMPLIANCE WITH RELEVANT ACTIVITY REQUIREMENTS			
1.2	Does the CPA conform to the relevant Activity Requirements (<u>CSA/RE</u>)?	⊠ Yes □ No		
1.3	Does any specific eligibility criteria/requirement stipulated in Annex A of <u>CSA/RE</u> requirements apply to the CPA?	□ Yes ⊠ No		
1.4	Does specific eligibility criteria/requirement stipulated in Annex A of CSA/RE requirements that apply to the CPA, lead to any change in the registered PoA -DD or VPA -DD? If Yes, please provide a full explanation in section A.1.3. below.	□ Yes ⊠ No		
(Ref: S CPA sh	rement: Section 4.1.1 of <u>GHG Product Requirements</u>) nall conform to the relevant Activity Requirements and Gold Standard Approved Method ang <u>eligible CDM Methodologies</u> .	dologies,		
Grid co GS4G0 listed i starteo	RE rule update / RE PoA rule update: Grid connected Renewable Energy CPAs seeking to transition from another carbon crediting scheme to GS4GG or labelling of emission reductions under GS4GG are exempted from eligibility requirements listed in para 2.1.3 of the RE Activity Requirements. This exemption is only allowed to projects that started the first crediting period with the original carbon crediting scheme from 01/01/2016 or later but before 24/01/2020. (Ref: Section 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 of <u>RU 2020 AR –RE V1.2</u>)			
landfill	<i>Specific <u>Renewable Energy Activity requirements</u> (refer to Annex A): Hydropower, biomass resources, landfill gas and biogas from agro-processing, wastewater and other residues, Waste Heat/Gas recovery, Fossil co-generation, Waste incineration and gasification, Waste handling and disposal.</i>			
Specific <u>Community Service Activity requirements</u> (refer to Annex A): Hydropower, biomass resources, landfill gas and biogas from agro-processing, wastewater and other residues, Waste Heat/Gas recovery, Fossil co-generation, Waste incineration and gasification, Waste handling and disposal, Relighting, End-use fossil switching.				
5	APPLICABILITY OF THE METHODOLOGY/TOOL VERSION			
1.5	Does the CPA apply an eligible GS methodology? Refer to list of the eligible methodologies <u>here</u> .	⊠ Yes □ No		
1.6	Does the CPA apply the version of the methodology and applicable tools applied for CDM/other standard registration or renewal ?	⊠ Yes □ No		
1.7	Does the CPA apply the latest version of the methodology and applicable tools applied in registered PoAs for inclusion of new VPAs after transition to GS4GG? If Yes, please provide a full explanation in section B below. And note that the CPA cannot opt for option 2 mentioned transition approval procedure, above.	□ Yes ⊠ No		
Requirement: (Ref: Annex B of <u>GHG Product Requirements</u>) Transition CPA shall a. conform to the relevant <u>Activity Requirements</u> and Gold Standard Approved <u>Methodologies</u> , including eligible <u>CDM Methodologies</u> referring to the inclusion criteria of registered PoA.				

b. also meet the additional GS4GG methodology eligibility requirements, where applicable. Refer to <u>CDM Methodologies</u> for Gold Standard Eligibility Requirements, referring to the inclusion criteria of registered PoA.

Transition CPA shall apply the version of GS approved CDM methodology or methodology tool for transition to GS4GG as follows;

a. version applied for inclusion in the registered PoAs with other standard, OR

b. latest version applied by the registered PoAs for inclusion of new VPAs after transition to GS4GG.

Note that The Transition PoA may include the latest version of the methodology and applicable tool for inclusion of new VPA(s), at the time of first submission (preliminary review) or at any later stage of certification cycle, but before submitting the request for inclusion for new VPAs. In such cases, VVB shall validate the updated PoA and VPA documents as per applied version of the methodology and or methodology tool before or with the request for inclusion of new VPAs.

6| **DEMONSTRATION OF ADDITIONALITY**

1.8	Are you aware that the transitioning CPA will be required to demonstrate Ongoing Financial Need as per the relevant GS rules and requirements available at the time of renewal of crediting period? (Refer to para 4.1.51 – 4.1.53 of <u>Principles & Requirements</u> .)	⊠ Yes □ No
1.9	Does CPA meet the PoA inclusion criteria with respect to the additionality	🛛 Yes
	justification?	🗆 No

Requirement:

- The CDM PoA/CPAs are not required to carry out additional assessment for demonstration of additionality over and above what has been done for registration/determination with the CDM unless the project falls into a category that is deemed non-additional in an applicable Gold Standard Activity Requirement. In such cases the relevant Activity Requirement shall take precedence. **Ref: Annex B GHG Product Requirements.**
- Transition PoA/CPAs registered with standards other than CDM are required to undergo additionality revalidation to re-establish the validity of the underlying assumptions applied in the demonstration of additionality at the time of registration with the other standard.
- The PoA/CPAs seeking combined transition and renewal of crediting period with GS4GG are not required to demonstrate OFN at the time of transition but must demonstrate OFN at the time of Crediting Period renewal after transitioning to GS4GG.

7 SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT

7.1	Does the CPA positively contribute towards minimum three Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) - SDG13 (mandatory) + two other SDGs?	⊠ Yes □ No
7.2	Have you identified the monitoring parameters linked with selected SDGs and corresponding SDG targets? For example – the monitoring parameter <u>Amount of GHGs emissions avoided</u> <u>or sequestered</u> is linked with SDG 13. Climate action, SDG target 13.2 Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies and planning.	⊠ Yes □ No
		•

Fill section <u>B.6. Sustainable Development Goals (SDG)</u> outcomes and <u>B.7</u>

<u>Monitoring plan</u>, below for SDGs monitoring parameters not covered in registered CPA-DD with other standards.

Fill Table 1 – <u>Estimated Sustainable Development Contributions</u> below.

Requirement:

The transitioning CPA shall demonstrate a clear, direct contribution to sustainable development, defined as making demonstrable, positive impacts on at least three Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), one of which must be SDG 13 (Ref: Section 4.(c) of <u>Principles and Requirements</u>)

Refer to Annex B, <u>GHG Product Requirements</u> for further guidelines for transition projects.

Guidelines:

Selected SDG impacts must not result from a one-off from design/construction/distribution/ start-up or decommissioning of the project.

You may refer to /use the <u>SDG impact Tool</u> (under consultation currently) to identify the relevant monitoring indicator, SDGs and corresponding SDG targets and design monitoring plan for identified indicators.

8| START DATE AND DURATION OF THE CREDITING PERIOD

8.	1	Has the crediting period of the transitioning CPA registered with other carbon standard/certification scheme changed and/or extended?	□ Yes ⊠ No
8.	2	Is the total duration of the crediting period of CPA (i.e. including period that had been issued under the host standard) less than/equal to the maximum crediting period allowed under relevant GS4GG activity requirements?	□ Yes ⊠ No

Complete the section C.2.2 Total length of crediting period below.

Requirement:

- The crediting period of the transitioning CPA registered with other standards or certification schemes cannot be changed/extended.
- Maximum crediting period allowed under GS4GG are as
 CSA 15 Yrs, RE 15 Yrs, if not defined in activity requirement or applicable methodology 10 Yrs.
- The start date of the GS crediting period shall be same as the start date of the CDM crediting period. (Annex B, <u>GHG Product Requirements</u>)
- For a transitioning CPA the total duration of the crediting period, including the period that has been claimed under the host standard, shall not exceed the maximum crediting period allowed under relevant GS4GG activity requirements.

If a given CPA transitioning to GS4GG, was registered under Standard X with -

- fixed crediting period (10 years): The total crediting period (Standard X + GS4GG) must remain 10 years. The CPA can only claim remaining years of its 10-year crediting period after transitioning to GS4GG.
- renewable crediting period (7*3 year): The total crediting period (Standard X + GS4GG) must be equal to that allowed under relevant GS4GG activity requirements.

The CPA can only claim remaining years of the maximum allowed crediting period after transitioning to GS4GG. For example; the maximum crediting period allowed for renewable energy project is 15 years. A renewable energy CPA that has already claimed 5 years under Standard X can only claim remaining 10 years of the total 15 years of its allowed crediting period after transitioning to GS4GG

- For a transitioning CPA, the start date of the Gold Standard Crediting Period starts with crediting period start date with other standard or maximum two years before the date of first submission (submission for preliminary review), whichever occurs later.. (Ref: <u>GHG Product</u> <u>Requirements</u>)
- All transition CPAs shall be renewed every 5 years. The first crediting period renewal under GS4GG takes into account the crediting years that has already been issued by other standard. For example, if a CPA start date with standard X is 01/01/ 2019, the CPA shall renew its crediting period with GS4GG on or before 1st Jan 2024, irrespective of date of transition approval with GS4GG.

9 SAFEGUARDING PRINCIPLES ASSESSMENT

9.1	Does the CPA conform to the Gold Standard Safeguarding Principles and Requirements?	⊠ Yes □ No
9.2	Is there any risk and/or likely adverse outcomes of the project?	□ Yes ⊠ No
9.3	If answer is yes for Q, can the project achieve requirements with regards to the relevant principle through design, management or risk mitigation?	□ Yes □ No
9.4	If answer is yes for Q, have the Mitigation Measures added to the Monitoring Plan (if required)?	□ Yes □ No

Complete the Annex 1 and section <u>D. Summary of Safeguarding Principles</u> below.

Requirement: The transitioning project shall conform to the <u>Gold Standard Safeguarding Principles</u> <u>and Requirements.</u> (Ref: Section 4.1.19 of <u>GS4GG Principles and Requirements</u>)

Guidelines: The detailed Safeguarding Principles and Requirements checklist is available in Annex 1 of this document.

10| STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION REQUIREMENTS

10.1 Has the CPA conducted a Stakeholder Consultation in accordance with the	⊠ Yes
requirements of <u>Gold Standard Stakeholder Consultation & Engagement</u> Requirements?	🗆 No
The answer to Q 10.1 is "No", if any of the questions below is answered as "No". The project should take the question(s) into account and address the gap when conducting supplementary stakeholder consultation to comply with GS4GG requirements.	
Please answer the below question with regards to the stakeholder consultation conducted to comply with CDM/other standard requirements?	
10.2 Did you conduct the stakeholder consultation before the CPA start date?	⊠ Yes

		🗆 No
10.3	Did you discuss identified direct positive and negative impacts of the CPA with stakeholders?	⊠ Yes □ No
10.4	Does the invited stakeholder covers all stakeholder groups (a to g) listed in paragraph 3.1.1. of <u>STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION AND ENGAGEMENT</u> <u>REQUIREMENTS</u> ?	⊠ Yes □ No
10.5	Did the invitation methods solicit input from women and marginalised groups?	⊠ Yes □ No
10.6	Were the stakeholders invited at least 30 days before the stakeholder meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No
10.7	Did a local language version of the non-technical summary with information required as per paragraph 5.1.1. of <u>STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION AND</u> <u>ENGAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS</u> , shared with stakeholders?	⊠ Yes □ No
10.8	Was a physical meeting conducted?	⊠ Yes □ No
10.9	Was a gender lens applied to assessing comments? (for example, if only men provided comments on household device project, was this taken into consideration when assessing the relevance of the comment?)	⊠ Yes □ No
10.10	Were any serious, reasonable and proportional concerns raised and taken into account and satisfactorily addressed?	⊠ Yes □ No
10.11	Were any points that warrant 'Mitigation measures' marked as such and monitoring plan has been designed and included in the PDD?	⊠ Yes □ No
10.12	Is the mandatory Continuous Input / Grievance Expression Process Book's location clearly stated (and therefore usable)?	⊠ Yes □ No
10.13	Does PDD include a summary report of the comments received from local stakeholders?	⊠ Yes □ No

Complete the section E. Summary of Local Stakeholder Consultation below.

Requirement: Ref: Section 4.1.25 of GS Principles and Requirements.

Guidelines: CPA that conducted a stakeholder consultation meeting to comply with CDM/other standard requirements, should conduct, at minimum,

one round of consultation for identified gaps i.e., gaps due to differences in stakeholder consultation requirements of GS4GG and CDM/other standard. For instance, if original consultations only involve one physical meeting, CME/PD should conduct a stakeholder feedback round covering all the identified gaps. The additional stakeholder consultations may involve a physical meeting or stakeholder feedback round, as necessary.

If COVID interim measures are applicable (currently till 30/06/2021), the physical meeting and stakeholder feedback round may be postponed, and a draft SCR shall be mandatorily submitted to cover the consultation activities carried out till date.

KEY PROJECT INFORMATION

GS ID of Project	GS11502
Title of Project	GS11450 – MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product lines – India – MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 20 - Clear Sky Partners - GS11502
Time of First Submission Date	04/01/2022
Date of Design Certification	28/11/2022
Version number of the VPA-DD	3
Completion date of version	04/01/2023
Coordinating/managing entity	Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited
VPA Implementer (s)	Evangelical Social Action Forum Shri Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project Muthoot Microfin Limited Sarala Women Welfare Society
Project Participants and any communities involved	Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited
Host Country (ies)	India
GS ID and Title of applicable Design Certified VPA	NA
GS ID and Title of applicable Performance Certified VPA	NA
Activity Requirements applied	 Community Services Activities Renewable Energy Activities Land Use and Forestry Activities/Risks & Capacities N/A
Scale of the project activity	 □ Micro scale □ Small Scale ⊠ Large Scale
Other Requirements applied	-
Methodology (ies) applied and version number	AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user" (Version 14) Emission reduction from safe drinking water supply-version 1.0

Product Requirements applied	 GHG Emissions Reduction & Sequestration Renewable Energy Label N/A
Project Cycle:	□ Regular⊠ Retroactive

Table 1 – Estimated Sustainable Development Contributions

Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact (defined in B.6.)	Estimated Annual Average	Units or Products
13 Climate Action (mandatory)	Number of VERs	45,040 76,642	WPS - tCO ₂ SLS - tCO ₂
1 End poverty in all its forms everywhere	Proportion of population living in households with access to basic services (only for WPS)	94.33%	WPS
6 Clean Water and Sanitation	Number of households served with safely managed water services	14.716	WPS
7 Affordable and Clean Energy	Number of households having operational clean energy technology	238,090	SLS WPS
8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Quantitative Employment and income generation	20	jobs

SECTION A. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

A.1. Purpose and general description of project

>>

In the rural areas of India, the predominant means of drinking water is by boiling using traditional cook stoves that use woody biomass as fuel. The smoke and fumes from these stoves contribute heavily to indoor air pollution. In rural areas of India, households are either not connected to the grid or in households even with grid connectivity, there are frequent power outages and low voltage so rural households must use kerosene for indoor lighting, which also contributes to indoor air pollution and GHG emissions.

The VPA involves marketing, education, distributing, and financing solar lighting systems, and water purification devices for low-income households and microentrepreneurs in India. Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited is the Coordinating and Managing Entity of this PoA and coordinates efforts of VPA implementers to distribute Clean Energy Products in India.

Clear Sky Partners LLC (Registration number: 124415-0000416; address: 506(2), 47, Gimpohangang 9-ro, 76ben-gil, Gimpo-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea), Byeol Gihu Bojon Yuhan Hoesa (Registration number: 110114-0240545 and address: (Cheongwon Building, 2th Floor, Yeoksam-dong) 33, Teheran-ro 8-gil, Gangnam-gu, Seoul) and other Partner organizations play the role of VPA implementers.

Clear Sky Partners LLC will provide all project costs for this VPA. Clear Sky Partners LLC will provide a subsidy to make Water purification systems (WPS) and Solar lighting systems (SLS) affordable to households. Clear Sky Partners LLC will also provide for the operation & maintenance costs of WPS and SLS and also finance the costs associated with the distribution of the WPS and SLS to the clients, to enable the VPA to operate in a financially sustainable condition.

These products provide clean drinking water and renewable energy for lighting. The water purification devices distributed under the proposed VPA replace traditional cookstoves thereby eliminating the use of fuelwood for boiling raw water in the baseline by households and thus reducing GHG emissions corresponding to the fuelwood saving by the project activity. The solar lighting systems replace kerosene-based lamps in households, which would have resulted in GHG emissions due to burning of fossil fuel i.e. kerosene.

Year	Karnataka	Kerala
21/06/2019 - 20/06/2020	0	0
21/06/2020 - 20/06/2021	0	0
21/06/2021 - 20/06/2022	13,000	13,000

Table A.1 Estimated Water purification devices in Operation for entire VPA²

Gold Standard

² There has been no installation in year-1 and 2 of the crediting period. The sales numbers from year-3 onwards mentioned in this table are indicative and may vary depending on the demand of the Water purification devices from clients. ERs shall be calculated at actuals complying with relevant methodological requirements. Future sales can happen in any state, but in any case, all sales will be restricted to the geographical boundary of the PoA i.e. India and will be in line with all requirements of the methodology and PoA eligibility criteria.

21/06/2022 - 20/06/2023	13,000	13,000
21/06/2023 - 20/06/2024	13,000	13,000

Table A.2 Estimated Solar Lighting system in Operation³ for entire VPA

Year	Sales
21/06/2019 - 20/06/2020	125,224
21/06/2020 - 20/06/2021	125,224
21/06/2021 - 20/06/2022	240,000
21/06/2022 - 20/06/2023	300,000
21/06/2023 - 20/06/2024	400,000

The program is a voluntary initiative coordinated by Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited (MEC), the CME of the PoA, and implemented by several Partner Organizations (PO). The water purification devices included in the VPA are as of now implemented by PO – Evangelical Social Action Forum (ESAF)⁴ and Shri Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP)⁵ in the state of Karnataka and Kerala and the solar lighting systems are implemented by and the solar lighting systems are implemented by and the solar lighting systems are implemented by PO- Muthoot Microfin Limited⁶, Sarala Women Welfare Society⁷ and SKDRDP. Several additional PO's may implement water purification devices and the solar lighting systems. The exact identity and details of the PO's and the number of water purification devices and solar lighting systems implemented will be made available at the time of verification as deployment of these systems is done in a phased manner depending on demand from clients.

Under the VPA, MEC works with project partners to develop a successful and diversified clean energy-lending program. The clean energy program addresses typical barriers for low-income clients including education, price, finance, and supply and aftersales service. MEC trains project partners to implement the clean energy lending program, as well as a robust and transparent carbon credit monitoring and tracking system to

⁷ http://sarala.co.in



³ The actual solar lighting system sales volume might be substantially different than those mentioned in the table depending upon the demand. ERs shall be calculated at actuals complying with relevant methodological requirements. Future sales can happen in any state, but in any case, all sales will be restricted to the geographical boundary of the PoA i.e. India and will be in line with all requirements of the methodology and PoA eligibility criteria.

⁴ https://www.esafbank.com/

⁵ http://skdrdpindia.org/

⁶ http://www.muthootmicrofin.com/

quantify and record the volume of carbon emission reductions created through the clean energy program. The carbon finance is used to expand and sustain the clean energy program through:

- 1. Client education and marketing
- 2. Internal training and capacity building
- 3. On lending funds to local SMEs producing the clean energy products
- 4. Aftersales service and maintenance
- 5. Lowering the interest or principal cost to the client

The goal of the VPA is to use carbon finance to enable installations of solar lighting systems, and water purification devices in India.

The CME has approved the inclusion of the proposed VPA in the registered PoA and also confirms that the proposed VPA will not be part of another PoA or any other carbon offset program.

The technologies/measures employed by the VPA - POs offers loans for a suite of Clean Energy Products ("CEP") including water purifiers and solar lighting systems.

The project boundary – The POs included in this CPA will be working in branches located in India (Coordinates: 20.5937°N 78.9629°E)

The baseline scenario -

SOLAR: This VPA involves the introduction of solar lighting systems into households in several states in India to replace the main baseline fuel, kerosene.

WATER PURIFIER: The water purifiers distributed under the proposed VPA replace traditional cookstoves thereby reducing the amount of fuelwood used for boiling water in the baseline by households.

A.1.1. Eligibility of the project under approved PoA

>>

No.	Eligibility criterion	Description/ Required condition	Means of Verification/Supporting evidence for inclusion
1	Boundary and location of the VPA	The VPA is located within India	Location and boundary is specified in section A.2 of the VPA-DD stating that the

			location is limited to India and supported with GPS coordinates.
			Document: Statement of CME that the location and boundary is within India and supported with GPS coordinates.
2	Project technology	 VPAs involves use of following technology- 1. distribution of safe drinking water systems (HWT and CWT technologies) to residential area. 2. Distribution of improved 	The VPA-DD specifies the target end-user group and the technology being distributed i.e. safe drinking water systems and Solar Lighting Systems in section A.3
		cookstoves to households 3. Distribution of Solar lighting systems to households	Supporting evidence: Sales database and manufacturer specifications
3	No Double	A unique numbering or identification system for the CEP installed is applied. This shall ensure no double counting of CEPs within the same VPA and same PoA and ensure that CEP can be identified as	The unique numbering stamped on each CEP supported by the individual distribution record matching such information is included in the VPA-DD and consistent with the PoA-DD.
	counting of CEPs impacts within this PoA and across other registered or deregistered PoAs	belonging to this PoA and	A legally binding contract between CME and manufacturer/micro finance institution/POs would be established to ensure that all carbon title is transferred to the CME. A
		A legally binding contract between CME and manufacturer/micro finance institution/POs	summary of projects registered with other standards-GS/VERRA/CDM and how they are not

would	be	requ	iired	to	
ensure	e that	all ca	rbon	title	
is trar	nsferre	d to t	the C	ME.	
This s	hall en	sure 1	that F	POs,	
stove/	lamp	manu	factu	rers	,
and o	distribu	utors	do	not	
claim	ERs se	parat	ely.		

overlapping with this VPA has been presented in Table 1.2 and an analysis thereafter. Further, the VVB will be submitted with detailed information on registered projects with other standards which can be assessed and cross checked during Validation

Document:

Credit Tracker sales receipt showing CME and PO information, end user details including name and address and CEP ID number.

In addition to the sales receipt the unique numbering shall be verifiable by VVB.

Database of other similar projects registered with GS or other GHG programs shall be submitted to VVB.

A legally binding contract between CME and manufacturer/micro finance institution/POs.

will clearly specify that

4 VER ownership End users receiving CEP The default CEP Booking under the specific VPA Record is including the contractually cede their provision that emission rights to claim and own reductions generated by emission reductions to the the CEP are transferred CME of the PoA. from the end-user to the PO and ultimately owned by the CME. The receipts

		carbon rights are ceded in favour of CME. Documents: 1. Default Booking Record 2. A legally binding contract between CME and end user to ensure that all carbon title is transferred to the CME
5 No Double counting of VPA	The VPA is exclusively bound to the PoA. Confirmation that the programme activity has not been and will not be registered either as a single project activity or as a VPA under another registered PoA in other offset schemes nor the project activities that have been deregistered.	A declaration from the CME on its letterhead has been provided that this VPA will not be part of another single CDM/voluntary project activity or CPA/VPA under another PoA nor has it been deregistered. In addition, declaration from VPA operators as part of their contract with the CME, stating that their activities are not registered as part of another single CDM project activity of CPA under another PoA.
		Evidence: Contract between the CME and MFI.
5	Contractual provisions to ensure that those operating the VPA are aware and have agreed that their activity is being subscribed to the PoA. In the case that the CME is not responsible for	Contractual agreement for VPA operators, stating that they are aware and have agreed that their activity is
	implementing the VPA, the	
Gold Standard [®] Climat	te Security and Sustainable [Development

organization		res	spon	sible
for VP	A im	plem	enta	tion,
known	as	the	Pa	rtner
Organisation (PO),		has		
signed	а	CO	ntra	ctual
agreement with the CME to				
participate in the PoA. This				
agreement:				

- Defines the ownership of the carbon emission reduction rights
- Covers the PO's distribution and monitoring related responsibilities
- Confirms that the CEPs to be distributed under the VPA have not and will not be distributed under any other carbon project (CDM project, PoA or voluntary carbon market project)
- Cedes the PO's rights to the carbon credits generated from VPAs under the PoA to the CME

7	Non-diversion of	The CME and the VPA	Statement of CME and the
	ODA in case of	operator (in case of being	VPA operator (in case of
	Public funding	different from the CME)	being different from the
		shall confirm that there is	CME) that there is no public
		no public funding or in the	funding
		case of public funding, the	Or
		Annex 1 party will confirm	In the case that there is
		that funding is not a	public funding, an Annex 1
		diversion of Official	party will confirm that
		Development Assistance.	funding is not a diversion of
			ODA.

8	technology such as the level and type of	The VPAs will include water filter technology which will provide safe drinking water, confirming to WHO International standards and host country norms for	Document: ODA Declaration Technological and performance specifications are given in section A.3 of the VPA-DD for the technologies
		safe water for human consumption. The VPAs will include	The project technologies comply with the minimum specification requirements prescribed in the applied
		distribution of solar lighting systems which will replace kerosene lamps in baseline.	methodologies (as applicable).
9	VPA Start Date	The VPA start date is the registration date of the project activity with the UNFCCC-CDM from where the project is transitioning to Gold Standard. The VPA can request issuance of GS-VERs or convert issued GS-CERs to GS-VERs for a retroactive period.	Link of the UNFCCC webpage of the CDM PoA and VPAs to be shared with the VVB.
10	VPA Crediting Period	Crediting period shall be 15 years in line with the Community Service Activity Requirements. The maximum crediting period includes the time that a project or CPA has been issued emission reductions under CDM.	Maximum crediting period under Community Services Activity requirements is 15 years from start date i.e. 21/06/2019 to 20/06/2034. Details on years in which emission reductions were issued under CDM shall be
		Each VPA shall provide verifiable evidence.	provided to GS VVB.

11	Approval of VPA by CME	CME approved each VPA to be included into its registered PoA.	, , ,
12	Target groups of the programme	The VPAs included in the POA will involve distribution of WPS or ICS and/or SLS directly to the domestic end users individually or to community in case of WPS.	Sale invoices and agreement with the end user/community head by CME
13	Additionality of VPAs	For SLS: Additionality will be demonstrated in accordance with EB 68 Annex 27: Guideline on the Demonstration of Additionality of Small-Scale Project Activities Version 09, Paragraph 2(c) which states that a barriers analysis is not required to document Additionality for: c) Project activities solely composed of isolated units where the users of the technology/measure are households or communities or Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) and where the size of each unit is no larger than 5 per cent of the small scale CDM thresholds. For WPS:	 than 5% of the small-scale CDM threshold. 2. Manufacturer's specifications showing that solar lighting products are less than the 5% of the 15 MW cap. For WPS: The VPA is in compliance with item 1.1.3 of Annex B positive list mentioned in the 'Community Services Activity Requirements', Version 1.2. It is solely composed of isolated units (CEPs) where the users of

		Activity Requirements, version 1.2 "Project activities solely composed of isolated units where the users of the technology/measure are households or communities or institutions and where each unit results in <= 60 MWh (1.8 GWhth) of energy savings per year or <=600 tonnes of emission reductions per year"	paragraph 4.1.9 of the 'Community Services Activity Requirements', the VPA is deemed additional and therefore is not required to prove
14	Sampling requirements for the PoA	The VPAs will follow the	VPA-DD has incorporated the sampling procedure in section B.7.2 and sampled survey forms shall be provided to GS VVB.
15	Application of Methodologies	The methodologies that can be applied to a VPA include: - AMS-III.AR (version 7) or AMS-1A (version 14.0) - TPDDTEC (version 3.1) - Emission reduction from Safe Drinking Water Supply (version 1.0) Each VPA can implement these methodologies in isolation. In addition, the following combinations of methodologies are eligible under the PoA: - AMS-III.AR (Version 7)/AMS-1.A (version	 The methodology applied to this VPA are: AMS-1A (version 14.0), and Emission Reductions from Safe Drinking Water Supply (version 1.0) The justification for meeting each of the applicability criterion of the applied methodologies for both Water Purification systems and Solar Lighting Systems is given in section B.2.

		 14.0) and TPDDTEC (version 3.1) AMS-III.AR (Version 7)/AMS-1.A (version 14.0) and Emission reduction from Safe Drinking Water Supply (version 1.0) 	
16	End User Group	The VPA is either aimed at households, community organizations (e.g. schools) or small/medium enterprises.	the appropriate baseline in
17	Baseline parameters to be established at VPA level	Each VPA shall	VPA-DD section B.6.2 and B.7.1 outlines the approach and provide supporting
18	Local Stakeholder Consultation	Local stakeholder consultation for VPA to be conducted prior to the VPA start date.	 The summary of LSC has been provided in section E. VPA LSC report Record of invitations sent to the stakeholders Attendance sheet of the VPA LSC meeting
19	Scale of VPA	VPAs under the PoA can either be small scale or large scale.	The VPA is large scale. No suppressed demand baseline is applied in the VPA.

CME shall confirm that Solar there is no suppressed (SLS) is within the smalldemand claim for WPS.

In case of small scale, the threshold limit as per GHG Annual emission reduction Emission Reduction Sequestration Requirements shall followed where maximum output capacity distributed energy technology shall not be information sheets from more than 15MW (Type 1) manufacturer are available. or that achieve energy savings at a scale of no more than 60 GWh per year which is equivalent to 180 GWh(th) per year saving (Type II) or that achieve emission reductions at a scale of no more than 60k tCO2 per year (TYPE III) for household/community/SM E applications. Small Scale projects and VPAs, solely comprising of such distributed units are not required to demonstrate compliance with the appliable Small Scale thresholds the at aggregate level of the project and VPA, if VPAs NOT are applying demand suppressed baseline.

In case of large scale VPAs, Total installed capacity of lighting systems scale Type I threshold of 15MW.

> & for WPS may be more than Product 60k, hence this is a large be scale VPA tCO2e.

of Evidence:

renewable Product data sheets or generation specification or product

		Please note that not all solar lighting system or WPS may have been deployed at VPA inclusion stage, but the threshold however can also be checked during verification, and in case any deployed CEP type will be found not in line with the requirement, those CEPs will not be counted for emission reduction calculation.	
20	-		SDG outcome assessment report has been submitted to GS VVB.
21		Projects shall conduct a Safeguarding Principles Assessment and conform to Gold Standard Safeguarding Principles and Requirements.	Safeguarding principles assessment report is provided in Appendix 1 of the VPA-DD
22		Specification of technology or measures, such as the level and type of service, as well as performance specification based on, intra alia, testing/certification	Project technology along with technical specifications is outlined in section A.3 above

Analysis of VCS/CDM/GS Registries:

Requirement as per CDM project Standard for Programme of Activities V3.0	Water Purification Devices	Solar Lighting system
It utilizes both a different	A registered CDM project	There are 4 registered CDM
measure and a different	activity (Reference number –	projects (Reference number

technology from those of the former project	9432) exists, however, the technology type used by this registered PoA (membrane based filter) is different from the technology (Eureka Forbes models) used in the proposed CPA (Gravity based filter) in CMEs PoA.	 2699, 7281, 2279 and 9488), however, the geographical boundary and/or technology (specifically the solar lamp models) used in these project are different from the solar lamp models used in the VPA⁸.
It does not share or utilize any of the assets of the former project	The registered existing project activity solely utilizes the network of distributors and retailers to disseminate the products. However, the proposed CPA relies extensively on microfinance channel to disseminate the products.	The registered existing project activity solely utilizes the network of distributors and retailers to disseminate the products. However, the proposed CPA relies extensively on microfinance channel to disseminate the products.
It utilizes a different resource type compared to the former project	While the resource type is water for both, the existing registered CDM project as well as the proposed CPA, however, the resource (solar energy) is available in abundance and hence is not shared.	While the resource type is solar energy for both, the existing registered CDM project as well as the proposed CPA, however, the resource (solar energy) is available in abundance and hence is not shared.

The VPA meets the requirements of the Community Services Activity Requirements, as follows –

Eligibility Criteria	Eligibility criterion -	Justification
Category	Required condition	
1. Eligible	All CSA Projects shall lead to	The goal of the VPA is to distribute
Project Types	climate change mitigation and/or	Water Purification Systems (WPS),
	adaptation by providing or	and Solar lighting systems (SLS)

⁸ Associated evidence (product technical specifications) shall be submitted to the validating VVB to substantiate that the models are different.

Eligibility Criteria	Eligibility criterion -	Justification
Category	Required condition	Justification
category	-	in the households/SMEs of the
	services/resources at the	host country of India
	household or community or	hose country of maid
	institution level. Eligible services	
	include electricity and energy,	
	water and sanitation, waste	
	management, housing, etc.	
GENERAL ELIGIBI		
2. Type of project		The PoA involves distribution of
	Project activities that reduce	
	energy requirements as compared	chergy children with a drid best
	to baseline scenario without	
	affecting the level and quality of	
	services or products, where the	
	end-user of the products and	
	services are clearly identified and	
	when the physical intervention is	
	required at the user end. For	
	example, efficient cooking,	
	heating, lighting, etc.	
3. Project Area,	Project Area and Boundary shall	The project area is point location
Boundary and	be defined in line with the	
scale	applicable Impact Quantification	country of the VPA. The project
	Methodologies and Product	
	Requirements.	geographical boundary of the host
		country of India.
		-
		For the purpose of applying
		UNFCCC methodologies for
		quantification of GHG reductions,
		`small scale' is:
		a. Type I: Renewable energy
		project activities with a maximum
		output
		capacity of 15 MW (or an
		appropriate equivalent)
		b. Type II: Energy-efficiency
		improvement project activities <
		= 60 GWh(e) or 180 GWh(th)
		energy savings per year

Eligibility Criteria	Eligibility criterion -	Justification
Category	Required condition	
		 c. Type III: Other project activities not included in Type I or Type II < = 60,000 tCO2eq per year The VPA involves Type I which shall not cross the above small- scale limits. Scale is no limit for Water Purification Systems as a Gold Standard methodology is followed The VPA is large action
4. Legal Ownership	 (a) Projects involving the distribution of a large number of devices for services such as heating, cooking, lighting, electricity generation, water treatment technology such as water filter, etc. shall provide a clear description of the ownership of the Products that are generated under Gold Standard Certification all along the investment chain. In line with the FPIC requirement, the proofs that end-users are aware of and willing to give up their rights on Products shall be provided. (b) The transfer of Product ownership shall be discussed during local stakeholder consultations for projects. 	The VPA is large scale. The CEP owners confirm that rights to the ownership of carbon credits reside with the CME according to the end user agreement /declaration form.
ELIGIBILITY PRINCIPLES AND REQUIREMENTS		
Principle 3 – Stakeholder Inclusivity	Projects shall have specific stakeholder consultation requirements for certain project types including, but limited to, hydropower and projects using	Not Applicable The VPA is implementing solar lighting and water purifiers and therefore, does not fall under the

Eligibility Criteria	Eligibility criterion -	Justification
Category	Required condition	
	biomass resource as given in	project types with additional
	Annex A of the document.	eligibility criteria according to
		Annex A of the document.
Principle 4 –	New Projects may seek	The VPA has a maximum crediting
Demonstration of	Certification and receive Issuance	period of 15 years (5 years + two
Real Outcomes	of Gold Standard Certified Impact	renewals of 5 years) in line with
	Statements or Products for a	the GS4GG Principles and
	maximum of two Design	Requirements. See section C.2.2.
	Certification Renewal Cycles i.e.,	
	a total of 15 years issuance.	
Principle 5 –	All projects seeking the issuance	Not applicable.
Financial	of Certified Impact Statements	
Additionality &	and/or Products shall	The additionality and need for
Ongoing	demonstrate Financial	ongoing financial need have been
Financial	Additionality in accordance with	demonstrated using the applicable
Need	the Principles & Requirements and	GS4GG Activity Requirements:
	the applicable Product	Community Services Activity
	requirements.	Requirements (v1.2) in section B.5

A.1.2. Legal ownership of products generated by the project and legal rights to alter use of resources required to service the project

>>

Participation in the VPA is voluntary for every beneficiary. If a household wishes to participate in the VPA, they confirm that MEC has full and uncontested legal ownership of the CO_2 emissions reductions (SDG 13) that are generated from the use of WPS/SLS distributed under the VPA.

MEC has the legal ownership of the Verified Emission Reductions (VERs) that are generated through the Gold Standard Certification. For each CEP distributed under the VPA, the beneficiary agrees at the time of distribution/dissemination of WPS/SLS that, in return for receiving a CEP, the ownership of emissions reductions and VERs lies with MEC. With effect to this, the carbon title for the product is signed off by end user directly partner organization (PO) waiving any claim or rights on carbon credits generated under the VPA. MEC has legally binding agreement with PO which transfers the right on the carbon credits to MEC.

Further, as per Annex A of GHG Emissions Reduction and Sequestration Product Requirements version 2.1, as of now there are no mandatory caps enforced in the host country, India for use of issued GS VERs.

Gold Standard *Climate Security and Sustainable Development*

A.2. Location of project

>>

The products sold will be restricted to the boundary of the Republic of India. The VPA will involve households in many states of the host country. The location of each clean energy installation as per a GPS location or verified address will be recorded in Micro Energy Credit's Credit Tracker Platform.



Figure 1: Map of India

The location of each clean energy installation⁹ as per a GPS location or verified address will be recorded in Micro Energy Credit's Credit Tracker Platform, which has been designed specifically for accelerating microfinance access to clean and efficient energy. These locations will define the more precise boundary of the project activities.

⁹ Location is defined by one of the following sets of information:

A. Precise GPS location of the household that purchases/installs clean energy product.

B. GPS location within one mile of the household and credible address for household.

C. Three of the following identifiers: purchaser name, household address, phone number, bank ID number, national ID number, product serial number, household GPS location, or GPS location within one mile of household.

The Credit Tracker Platform is used to collect and store the information related to the unique identification number, location, installation date, and usage status of each clean energy product in the VPA, making it easy to identify, locate and verify any or all of the installations that pertain to the VPA. The MEC Credit Tracker Platform is a hosted internet service, limiting the risk of loss of data.

A.3. Technologies and/or measures

>>

Water Purification Systems

A variety of gravity filter water purifiers will be offered under the proposed VPA. Some of the models initially distributed under this VPA are mentioned below.

• Eureka Forbes Nakshatra

The Eureka Forbes Limited (EFL)-Aquasure Nakshatra is a medium size purifier with a 16-litre capacity (Top-8.5-litre, Bottom- 7.5-litre). AquaSure Nakshatra Storage Water Purifier is a gravity based purifier and made of food grade material. Halopure disinfection technology used in it

- ✓ It contains Multi stages Purification process which are physical filtration, carbon block and active disinfectant.
- ✓ Physical Filtration:- Removes suspended impurities
- ✓ Carbon block:- Removes organic and chemical impurities and bad taste of water
- ✓ Active disinfectant:- Destroy bacteria
- ✓ US EPA and National Standard Approved

In the absence of the project activity, the households would have continued to boil water for drinking purposes. The technical specifications of the water purifier are as follows –



Size - 51 cm X 26 cm X 26 cm

Net weight: 2.38 kg

Average life span under standard use conditions: The life span of the cartridge used by the purifier has a capacity of 4000 l after which it must be replaced. This product comes

with one additional cartridge of 4000 l capacity. The life of the kit therefore depends on how much water is purified by the user every day¹⁰.

• Eureka Forbes Aquasure Sampoorna

The purifier uses activated carbon or UF for purification instead of electricity. Activated carbon absorbs impurities while UF contains a membrane that separates impurities when the water passes through. It is suitable for areas with water shortage. Sampoorna is a medium size purifier with a 16-litre capacity.

It is a gravity-based purifier with 5 Stage Non Electric Purification based on Gravity or Pressure.

In the absence of the project activity, the households would have continued to boil water for drinking purposes. The technical specifications¹¹ of the water purifier are as follows –

Size: 27cm X 50cm X 38cm Weight: 4 kg Capacity – 4000 Litres Warranty Period- 2 years US EPA and National Standard Approved



Average life span under standard use conditions: The life span of the cartridge used by the purifier has a capacity of 4000 l after which it must be replaced. This product comes with one additional cartridge of 4000 l capacity. The life of the kit therefore depends on how much water is purified by the user every day¹²

¹⁰ The partner organizations' have ensured that the users get access to GKK and cartridges easily. Written notices and flipcharts are pasted in the display board of branches telling users how to get these replacement cartridges and GKKs. The same is communicated to customers during weekly and monthly group meetings as well. There is a well-designed complaint registration system developed by POs which essentially assist them in systematically tracking and resolving the customer complaints in timely manner.

¹¹ Manufacturer's certificate on specifications

¹² The partner organizations' have ensured that the users get access to GKK and cartridges easily. Written notices and flipcharts are pasted in the display board of branches telling users how to get these replacement cartridges and GKKs. The same is communicated to customers during weekly and monthly group meetings as well. There is a well-designed complaint registration system developed by POs which essentially assist them in systematically tracking and resolving the customer complaints in timely manner.

• HUL Pureit classic

This is a large size purifier with a 23-litre capacity. It includes an activated carbon trap that removes harmful pesticides and undesirable odor. It also has an auto shut-off

feature that ensures water purity. In the absence of the project activity, the households would have continued to boil water for drinking purposes. The technical specifications¹³ of the water purifier are as follows –

Size – 61 cm X 29 cm X 21 cm Net weight: 4.1 kg Warranty Period- 2 years US EPA and National Standard Approved



Average life span under standard use conditions: The life span of the germ kill kit used by the purifier has a capacity of 1500 I after which it must be replaced. The life of the kit therefore depends on how much water is purified by the user every day¹⁴.

Other models of safe water technologies may also be offered under the VPA as long as they meet all the requirements of the methodology and the PoA eligibility criteria.

Solar Lighting System

There will be a variety of model(s) of solar lighting technologies will be disseminated under this VPA. Households receiving these solar lighting systems are not connected to the grid resulting in use of kerosene for lighting in the baseline scenario. Some of the models that have been distributed have are described below.

1. Greenlight Planet Home Lighting System (Sunking HLS)

¹³ Manufacturer's certificate on specifications

¹⁴ The partner organizations' have ensured that the users get access to GKK and cartridges easily. Written notices and flipcharts are pasted in the display board of branches telling users how to get these replacement cartridges and GKKs. The same is communicated to customers during weekly and monthly group meetings as well. There is a well-designed complaint registration system developed by POs which essentially assist them in systematically tracking and resolving the customer complaints in timely manner.

Luminosity: 400 lumens Light Wattage: 2.64 Watt Average Lifetime: Minimum 5 years

2. RAL Duron Mitva MST952
Luminosity - 400 lumens
Lighting Wattage - 2 Watt
Average Lifetime of product (in years) -5

3. RAL Duron Mitva – MS322B
Luminosity – 110 lumens
Lighting Wattage – 1 Watt
Average Lifetime of product (in years) –5

4. d light D333Luminosity: 520 lumensLight Wattage: 6.6 wattAverage Lifetime: Minimum 5 years

5. d light S500 Luminosity - 240 lumens Lighting Wattage – 3 Watt Average Lifetime of product (in years) –5

6. d light S550
Luminosity – 240 lumens
Lighting Wattage – 3 Watt
Average Lifetime of product (in years) –5

7. d light ST100Luminosity: 220 lumensLight Wattage: 1 WattAverage Lifetime: Minimum 5 years

8. Jugnu 2 Tubelight

Luminosity - 440 lumens Lighting Wattage – 4 Watt Average Lifetime of product (in years) –5

9. Jugnu lightbox L1406

Luminosity – 140 lumens Lighting Wattage – 1.5 Watt Average Lifetime of product (in years) –5

10. Jugnu Lightbox L2005

Luminosity: 200 lumens Light Wattage: 1.8 Watt Average Lifetime: Minimum 5 years

11. Jugnu TWP29004

Luminosity – 90 lumens Lighting Wattage – 1 Watt Average Lifetime of product (in years) –5

12. Jugnu TWP29006

Luminosity - 200 lumens Lighting Wattage – 2 Watt Average Lifetime of product (in years) –5

13. Jugnu TWP29008

Luminosity – 400 lumens Lighting Wattage – 4 Watt Average Lifetime of product (in years) –5

14. Greenlight Planet Pico (Sunking Pico)Luminosity: 25 lumens

Light Wattage: 0.17 Watt Average Lifetime: Minimum 5 years

15. Greenlight Planet Boom (Sunking Boom)
Luminosity - 160 lumens
Lighting Wattage - 3 Watt
Average Lifetime of product (in years) -5



16. Greenlight Planet Pro 200 (Sunking Pro- 200)
Luminosity: 200 lumens
Light Wattage: 1.25 Watt
Average Lifetime: Minimum 5 years
All products contain a solar panel, lights as shown in the photograph –



Other models of solar lighting systems may also be offered under the VPA as long as they meet all the requirements of the methodology AMS.I.A. v14. and the PoA eligibility criteria in the registered PoA-DD.

The following table provides information on how the project helps in reducing GHG emissions and contributes to SDGs

Sustainable Development	How the project contributes to the identified SDG	
Goals Targeted		
13 Climate Action (mandatory)	The emissions from the water purifier are negligible or less than the water boiled in baseline stove. Similarly, SLS replace kerosene lanterns and reduce emissions. Therefore, GHG emissions are reduced.	

1 No Poverty	The water purification systems provides access to basic services that is efficient and less polluting form.		
6 Clean Water and Sanitation	The project provides clean water and improvement in sanitation of the households of the beneficiaries		
7 Affordable and Clean Energy	Project provides access to affordable and cleaner technology for drinking safe water i.e. WPS and Solar lighting Systems for lightning purpose.		
8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Employment is generated in manufacturing, dissemination and maintenance of CEPs by the project		

A.4. Scale of the project

>>

For WPS (large scale limits)

The VPA is a large-scale project activity.

Emission reductions from total estimated WPS distribution in year = 75,067

For SLS (within small scale limits)

Parameter	Unit	Value	Reference/Source
Highest Wattage of solar device	w	3	Highest capacity of model amongst the different types of solar lighting systems to be distributed under the proposed VPA

Total number of solar devices till 15 MWe	
threshold is reached	5,000,000
Each device as a	
percentage of the	
threshold	0.00002%

As evident from the above table the distribution of WPS is more than small scale thresholds therefore it is a large-scale activity whereas SLS distribution is below SSC thresholds levels.

A.5. Funding sources of project

>>

There is no public funding for the PoA or the VPA. No ODA funding will be used, as confirmed by signed ODA Declarations submitted to GS. MEC works with Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) to develop a successful and diversified clean energy-lending program Distribution of clean energy products i.e. WPS and SLS is done by microfinance institutions (MFIs) through micro loans.

SECTION B. APPLICATION OF APPROVED GOLD STANDARD METHODOLOGY (IES) AND/OR DEMONSTRATION OF SDG CONTRIBUTIONS

B.1. Reference of approved methodology (ies)

>>

Emission Reduction from safe drinking water supply version 1.0¹⁵

AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user" (Version 14)¹⁶

B.2. Applicability of methodology (ies)

>>

Wat 1.0	ter filters- Emission Redu	uctions from Safe Drinkin	g Water Supply, version
1	Methodological criteria	activities that introduce a new, or rehabilitate an existing, zero-emission or low-emission technology	The project involves introduction of new zero emission technology to supply safe drinking water. The specifications of the water purifiers are detailed out in section A.3 of the VPA-DD. Document: Project sheet/manufacturers specification

¹⁵ <u>https://www.goldstandard.org/project-developers/standard-documents</u>

¹⁶ <u>https://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/A/R/X/ARX0JK3B48L2Z9M5VNP67QTUDOEC1Y/EB54</u> repan08 AMS-I.A ver14.pdf?t=ZnB8cjJjczA2fDB22 8u7d20CXh001GrJO0V

2			
2	Methodological criteria	methodology, Emission reductions from Safe Drinking Water Supply v.1.0, eligible household water treatment technologies (HWT),	emission gravity water filters under Household water treatment technologies (HWT). Document: Project sheet/manufacturers specification
3	Methodological criteria	This methodology allows for project activities to include safe water treatment and/or supply technologies implemented for end-users in households, and/or commercial premises such	deployed is the Eureka Forbes Aquasure Nakshatra, Eureka Forbes Sampoorba and HUL Pureit, hence is an appliance that are low greenhouse gas emitting water purification for end users in households.
4	Methodological criteria	Project technology performance level (HWT and IWT): It shall be demonstrated based on report of laboratory testing or official notification that the project technology or equipment achieves either	As mentioned in the specifications provided by the manufacturer's, the Eureka Forbes Aquasure Nakshatra, Eureka Forbes Sampoorna and HUL Pureit water purification devices deployed under the VPA meet the host country drinking water

		"Comprohoncivo	Test reports from National
		Protection," as per the WHO International Scheme to Evaluate	accredited labs confirming the compliance of treated water with WHO and host country norms shall be submitted to GS VVB.
5	Methodological criteria	annual water hygiene	Annual water hygiene education campaigns will be conducted. During monitoring of households and Institution, CME shall conduct a representative sample survey annually and will be reported as "report of annual hygiene campaign results" and summarized in the monitoring report.
6	Methodological criteria	SDG claims if relevant monitoring parameter(s) is included in the monitoring plan to demonstrate and confirm the project's contributions	/CME will capture all the SDG indicators which is relevant to this project through monitoring in Households. The monitoring will be done

			indicators. For example, capturing water quality.
7	Methodological criteria	national, regional and local regulatory framework for provision of safe drinking water in the project boundary. The project shall not undermine or conflict with any national, sub-national and local regulations or	World Health Organization (WHO). The project activity devices confirm the compliance of water from water purifiers with above norms.
8	Methodological criteria	life of project technology (parameter SDWS 7) is shorter than the crediting period, describe measures to ensure that end users are provided replacement systems of comparable quality at the end of the expected technical life (for example, replace with comparable or better technology, retrofit with performance guarantee, etc.). This applies both for	including new filter, and/or access to a new model technology of comparable quality.

			including where to buy ¹⁷ them, and discounts available due to the carbon funds. The project implementer would ensure that maintenance of the project appliances is implemented in accordance with manufacturer's
			specifications/ recommendations, including provisions in regards to replacement or cleansing of the involved filters.
9	Baseline scenario	determine the applicable baseline scenario for fuel,	
Sola	r Lighting Systems- AMS	5 1.A (version 14.0)	
10	Methodological criteria	The VPA consists of distribution of solar lighting and solar electric/PV systems, product type defined in the VPA-DD, and hence appliances involving the renewable electricity generation that supply individual	

 $^{^{\}rm 17}$ 1 extra replacement kit will be provided at the time of sale as an incentive mechanism.

		users as per AMS I. A, ver. 14. Please note that not all solar lighting systems may have been deployed at VPA inclusion stage, the 'type and number of solar	households/users or groups of households/ users as per AMS I. A, ver. 14.
11	Methodological criteria	The emissions reduction per solar lighting system included in the VPA is less than 5 tonnes of CO ₂ e a year.	lighting system type and compliance with the
12	Methodological criteria	fossil fuel, specifically kerosene, is used in the absence of the project activity as demonstrated by: A representative sample survey (90% confidence interval, ±10%	(mentioned in section B.4 of the VPA-DD), in the absence of project technology, end users would have used wick- based kerosene lanterns for lighting.

			Mapufacturora
			Manufacturers
			specification
			And
			NSSO's 2007 report on
			"Energy Sources of Indian
			Households for Cooking
			and Lighting, 2004-05.
13	Methodological criteria	the VPA will not increase beyond 15 megawatt (MW) (threshold as per EB 61 Annex 1) throughout the crediting period of the VPA. If a VPA exceeds the applicable limit in any	
		emission reduction shall	VPA have an average capacity of approximately 2.875 Wp.
		solar lighting systems may have been deployed at VPA inclusion stage, the SSC limit for VPAs can however also be checked during verification, and in case any deployed solar lighting systems will be found not in line with VPA SSC Limit for VPAs requirement, those solar lighting systems will not	exceeding the CDM small- scale cap. This is beyond the expected scope of the VPA. The coordinating entity will track installations and ensure that the SSC VPA does not go beyond the limit of 15MW. Each unit is

B.3. Project boundary

>>

SOLAR:

Source		GHGs	Included?	Justification/Explanation
	Combustion of	CO ₂	Yes	Primary source of emissions
eline rio	kerosene fuelused	CH ₄	No	Minor source
Baseline scenario	for light;	N ₂ O	No	Minor source
Ň	Renewable energy source solarlamps used for light	CO ₂	No	Project activity does not involve consumption of fossil fuels or electricity therefore no CO2 emissions are generated
Project scenari		CH_4	No	Minor source
Pro		N_2O	No	Minor source

WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS

Source		GHGs	Included?	Justification/Explanation
	CO_2 emissions from	CO ₂	Yes	Major source of emissions
	the wood fuel utilized for boiling water	CH4	Yes	Minor source of emissions
Ħ	p J	N ₂ O	Yes	Minor source of emissions
ine Project rio	Emissions from electricity/fossil fuel for operating project	CO ₂	No	Project activity does not involve consumption of fossil fuels or electricity therefore no CO ₂ emissions are generated
Baseline scenario	water supply/ treatment technology	0.14	No	No emissions
Ba	treatment technology	N_2O	No	No emissions

B.4. Establishment and description of baseline scenario

>>

BASELINE DESCRIPTION - SOLAR LIGHTING

The project activity involves the introduction of solar lighting systems into households throughout India. Solar lighting systems replace the main baseline fuel, kerosene. Baseline parameters for this project activity were primarily derived from data presented in India's National Sample Survey Organisation's (NSSO) 2007 report, "Energy Sources of Indian Households for Cooking and Lighting, 2004-05". It reports information from the national Household Consumer Expenditure survey conducted from July 2004 to June 2005, and contains the most recent data on household lighting consumption in India. Other supporting studies were used for non-India specific parameter values, such as luminous efficiency and the net calorific value of kerosene.

Households in India use kerosene, gas, candle, electricity, and other oil for lighting. Among these, kerosene and electricity are most commonly used. At a national level, kerosene and electricity is used by 99% of the households in both rural and urban areas. The use of kerosene as the primary source of lighting is common in rural areas where nationally 44% of the rural population consumes kerosene for lighting, as compared to 7% in urban areas.

According to Methodology AMS-I.A (version 14), the energy baseline is: the fuel consumption of the technology in use or that would have been used in the absence of the project activity to generate the equivalent quantity of energy, estimated using one of three options. This project activity will use Option 3, a trend-adjusted projection of historic fuel consumption in situations where an existing technology is replaced, to calculate emissions baseline in year y (BE_{CO2}), as outlined in the methodology.

Data from the 2007 NSSO report is used to calculate this projection. The baseline scenario identified in this PDD will serve to calculate the emission reductions creditable from the installation of renewable energy lighting applications, and the replacement of kerosene lanterns.

Objectives and Reliability Requirements

The 2004-05 Household Consumer Expenditure survey presents the distribution of rural and urban households by primary source of energy used for cooking and lighting in all of the states and UTs of India. The survey sampling design and instruments, as well as the preparation of the 2007 report, were developed by NSSO's Survey Design and Research Division. The field work was conducted by the Field Operations Division and the data processing and table generation by the Data Processing Division.

Target Population

The target population for this project activity consists of households throughout India where the CME's partner Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) operate. NSSO survey sample

was collected to represent all Indian states and different socio-economic categories. NSSO data is used to calculate historic consumption rates of kerosene for the baseline of this project activity.

Sample Size

The 2004-05 NSSO survey covered all the States and UTs in India. The data was collected from a sample of 79,298 rural and 45,346 urban households spread over 7,999 villages and 4,602 urban blocks, respectively.

BASELINE SAMPLING DESIGN

Sampling Method

Clustered random sampling was used to select villages and urban blocks included in the survey. Each district within a state or UT was divided into two clusters that were comprised of all rural areas and all urban areas within a district. The number of villages or blocks sampled within a state or UT was determined based on the proportion of population as per the 2001 Census, and was subject to the availability of investigators to ensure a uniform workload. The allocation of the sample between the rural and urban sectors was determined by the proportion of the population as per the 2001 Census with a 1.5 weighting for the urban sector. Households were selected using simple random sampling without replacement with respect to rural/urban location, income, and monthly per capita expenditure.¹⁸

Sampling Frame

The sampling frame comprised of two different sources: For households in rural areas, a list of villages from the 2001 National census constituted the sampling frame. For households in the urban sector, the latest available list from the Urban Frame Survey (UFS) blocks was used as the sampling frame. *Quality Assurance/Quality Control*

Technical guidance from the governing council NSSO and survey working group was provided at every stage of the survey. Since surveying was conducted over four rounds, an equal number of villages/blocks were sampled in each to ensure a uniform spread.

¹⁸See Appendix B of "Energy Sources of Indian Households for Cooking and Lighting, 2004-5" for detailed description of sampling procedures.

BASELINE DATA ANALYSIS

According to Methodology AMS-I.A (version 14), the energy baseline is: *the fuel consumption of the technology in use or that would have been used in the absence of the project activity to generate the equivalent quantity of energy.* The technology that would have been used in the absence of the project activity is determined as a simple wick-based kerosene lantern.

PARAMETER: *BE*_{CO2,y} **Calculation Definitions**

To calculate the energy baseline, this project activity will use Option 3 (which is specifically recommended for lighting devices) listed in AMS.I.A, a trend-adjusted projection of historic fuel consumption in situations where an existing technology is replaced. The fuel consumption trend of India shows the average level of kerosene consumption for lighting in the target households over the years. The trend extrapolation is used to ensure that no carbon credits can be claimed for a lighting service which exceeds the general lighting service that people could obtain from their average kerosene consumption. The specific equivalent level of lighting service is calculated for each improved lamp model, to ensure that in the end only the actual lighting service which is provided by an improved lamp will be converted into carbon credits.

As defined by AMS.I.A., paragraph 10, emissions in the baseline $(BE_{CO2,y})$ is calculated using the following equation:

Equation 1

$$BE_{co2,y} = \sum_{j} FC_{j,y} * NCV_{j} * EF_{co2,j}$$

Where:

Table 2

Parameter	Unit	Project Calculation
BE _{CO2,y}	tCO2	Emissions in the baseline in year y
FC _{j,Y}	kg	Amount of kerosene consumption in year y
NCVj	GJ/kg	Net calorific value of kerosene
EF _{CO2,j}	tCO2/GJ	CO ₂ emission factor of kerosene
J	Kg	Kerosene

Step 1: Baseline Technology

Applying a conservative approach we assume the kerosene lamp model in the baseline is a hurricane lamp, which is conservative because it has a glass cover making it more efficient than most homemade lanterns. This baseline lantern has an average efficiency of 0.13 lumen/watt (Louineau et al, 1994)¹⁹. This again is conservative, as the World Bank has reported an efficiency of 0.1 lumen/Watt for this model.

Step 2: General Energy Baseline:

The most recent kerosene consumption volume of households that use kerosene for lighting in all of rural India is 6.98 L/month (NSSO data, 2004; see Table 3 below).

Table 3

Year		Kerosene	
		usage	
		(L/month)	
1987		3.85	
1993		5.48	
1999		8.1	
2004		6.98	
~	NOOO	1007 1000 100	

Source: NSSO, 1987, 1993, 1999, and 2004.


The following values were calculated based on the following formula:

Equation 2

KChh = KCcapita * HHsize / P(ker all India)

Figure 1: Kerosene Consumption in Rural India (based on historic NSSO data)

¹⁹ Jean-Paul Louineau, Modibo Dicko, Peter Fraenkel, Roy Barlow and Varis Bokalders, "Rural Lighting: A Guide for Development Workers, Intermediate Technology (IT)" publications in association with The Stockholm Environment Institute 1994.



Step 3: Specific equivalent level of lighting service:

As a next step, the energy baseline calculated in Step 2 will be adjusted according to the actual level of lighting service provided by the improved lamps, in lumen*hours. The units of kerosene consumption per month per household will be adjusted to lumen*hours per month per household in the following way:

- 1. Calculate the lighting service provided to a household using the volume of kerosene consumption established in Step 2.
- 2. Compare the calculated lighting service in the previous step to the lighting service provided by the project lamps
- 3. Ensure carbon credits for project lamps per household do not surpass the lighting service of the energy baseline
- 4. Calculate the actual baseline emissions per project lamp based on the actual specific lighting service provided

The above steps are followed with detailed calculations below. As mentioned in Step 1, the luminous efficiency of the baseline technology = .13 lumens / watt (using a conservative value as described above).

Using the parameters below, the equivalent level of lighting service of the kerosene consumed by households in the baseline can be calculated:

Table 4

Parameter	Unit	Description	Value	Source
LS(month)	Lumen*hr/month	Lighting per month	9021.4	Calculated
KC(HH)	Liter/ month	Household Kerosene	6.98	NSS0 511
		consumption per		
		month		
LE(ker)	Lumen / W	Luminous efficiency	0.13	Louineau et al,
		of kerosene with		1994
		baseline lantern		
NCV(ker)	TJ/Gg	Net calorific value of	43.8	IPCC 2006
		kerosene		
Dens (ker)	KG/L	Density of kerosene	0.81715	www.simetric.co.u

1. Methodology AMS-I.A. allows for a default daily lighting usage of 3.5 hours in the baseline. The calculation below represents the average light output that households get from the kerosene consumed. This value will be used to compare the lighting output of the new technology from this project.

The Lighting per month can be calculated using the following formula: Equation 3

$$LS_{month} = KC_{HH} * LE_{ker} * NCV_{ker} * \frac{10^3}{3.6} * dens_{ker}$$

The lighting per month based on 2004 kerosene usage = 9021.4 Lumen hr / month. The reference cap can then be calculated using the formula:

Equation 4

RC = LS (month) * 12/ 365*h

The reference cap equals 84.7, based on 2004 data, and will be extrapolated to future years as new data arises on usage. The reference cap for possible carbon savings is defined such that in a single household it shall not be allowed more emission reductions claimed than those that arise from the general baseline lighting service.

2. The possible carbon savings in a single household has a reference cap as defined by the baseline light output. According to AMS-I.A, it shall not be allowed that for a single household more emission reductions are claimed than those that arise from the general baseline lighting service. The reference cap values for all years of the crediting period are presented in the table below: Table 5: Extrapolated monthly kerosene consumption per household, equivalent lighting service and reference cap values

	Extrapolation of Kerosene Consumption	Equivalent lighting service	Reference Cap
Year	(L/month)	(lumen*hours/month)	(lumens)
2012	9.632	12448.96	116.9374
2013	9.848	12728.14	119.5598
2014	10.064	13007.31	122.1821
2015	10.28	13286.48	124.8045
2016	10.496	13565.65	127.4268
2017	10.712	13844.82	130.0492
2018	10.928	14123.99	132.6715
2019	11.144	14403.16	135.2939
2020	11.36	14682.33	137.9162
2021	11.576	14961.5	140.5386
2022	11.792	15240.68	143.1609

3. The baseline emissions for the lighting systems that are being distributed under this project are calculated as the emissions corresponding to the specific equivalent level of lighting service in the baseline.

The following equation is used to calculate baseline emissions for a solar lamp (n) in period (v); the emissions that would have been generated by the burning of kerosene in the baseline to generate that same lighting as provided by n lamp over period v:

Equation 5

$$BE_{n,v} = l_n * d_v * h * \frac{1}{LE_{ker}} * EF_{ker} * 10^{-6} * 3.6$$

The values are defined as follows:

Table 6

Parameter	Unit	Description	Value	Source
l(n)	Lumen	Lumen output of	Variable	Technical specs
		solar lamp, n	(see	(see references)
			table)	
D	Days	Number of days in	365	-
		period v		

h	Hours / day	Average operating hours of kerosene lamps in the baseline	3.5	Meth AMS I.A. Default value
LE(ker)	Lumen/W	Specific luminous efficiency of kerosene when burnt in kerosene lantern	.13	Louineau et al 1994
EF(ker)	TCO2/GJ	SpecificCO2emissionsofkerosene	.0719	IPCC 2006

For the solar lighting component, baseline scenario is the use of fossil fuel to provide lighting in the households in the project boundary as per AMS-I.A. "Electricity generation by the user" (Version 14).

Rural households in India rely on kerosene for lighting. As per the "Energy sources of Indian Households for cooking and lighting" report (dated September 2012) of the Government of India's National Sample Survey Office, 44% of households in rural India use kerosene for lighting. Since, the solar lighting systems are implemented in a phased manner, the baseline scenario for individual solar lighting system will be identified in line with the guidelines given in AMS-I.A. version 14.

To ensure that the baseline requirements of the methodology and the registered PoA-DD are complied with by the VPA, the CME also carried out a baseline survey to determine the baseline at time of VPA inclusion. This survey was carried out through a random representative approach by considering end-users in all states where sales were made till time of VPA inclusion.

A representative sample survey (90% confidence interval, +/- 10% error margin) was carried out in the project population to determine their pre-project fuel. All respondents said that they used kerosene in wick lamps in the baseline scenario and are not connected to the grid.

A survey was conducted in October 2017 in the project boundary using 90/10-confidence precision for sampling.

Methodology for the sample survey:

1. The total sample size required to meet (90% confidence interval, +/- 10% error margin) was calculated using <u>http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html</u>.

- 2. The number of final samples taken will be more than the sample size required to meet 90% confidence interval, +/- 10% error margin to cover for contingencies like residents not being in the house, residents not willing to talk etc.
- 3. A questionnaire will be prepared in consultation with PO's for conducting the survey. The questionnaire will include the name of the product owner, address and ask questions on what their baseline fuel was. The questions are designed to make sure that they are not leading and ensure that the respondents are not asked questions with bias.
- 4. MEC enumerators will visit the selected households during the day (between 9 AM and 6 PM) to ask them the questions and collect the answers

During transition, CME conducted additional baseline survey to ensure that the baseline requirements of the AMS I.A v14.0 and the registered PoA-DD are still complied with by the VPA at the time of transition, a baseline survey was conducted by CME in September to November 2020. This survey was carried out through a random representative approach by considering end-users that have expressed an interest in buying the solar products from Partner Organisations (PO) included in the VPA at the time of submission for transition.

A representative sample survey (90% confidence interval, +/- 10% error margin) was carried out in the anticipated project population to determine their pre-project fuel. The baseline survey was carried out in all states where sales were made till the time of VPA inclusion. Representative branches are selected from these states from different POs operating in these regions. Then smaller set of sample population was selected randomly from 2-3 villages in each of the branch area. MEC staff, branch staffs from selected branches and head office staff from PO have been trained to conduct survey. The staff members chosen for the survey had prior experience of conducting on-field surveys and were familiar with local area, culture, and local language. MEC staff and branch staff of PO organizations have in turn have trained the local field staffs from the same villages who are familiar with local area. The training was adequately tailored to the baseline surveys and included an interactive discussion of questions with surveyors, going through the questions of the baseline survey questionnaire (data collection form), role plays as well as interview techniques. The geographical area was divided into statewise and each of CME staff supervising the on-field surveys carried out by the partner organizations branch staff.

Methodology for the sample survey:

1. The number of final samples taken i.e. 45 per state to meet 90% confidence interval, +/-10% error margin.

2. The samples selected cover the states covered under the VPA at the time of submission for transition to GS.

3. A questionnaire was prepared in consultation with PO for conducting the survey. The questionnaire includes the name of the surveyed household member, address and ask questions on

- a. Present lighting arrangement
- b. Usage of kerosene for lighting needs
- c. Number of kerosene lamps
- d. Hourly usage of kerosene lamps
- e. Issues/ problems faced while using kerosene lamp (health/financial/ illumination)

4. MEC enumerators visited the selected households during the day (between 9 AM and 6 PM) to ask them the questions and collect the answers.

All surveyed households responded that they used kerosene lamps in the baseline scenario. On an average the households burned kerosene lamps for 4-5hrs per day for their lighting needs.

As an additional measure, since solar sales in this VPA will be made in a phased manner across several states in India, and to ensure that the baseline requirements of the applied methodology AMS.I.A. v14 and registered PoA-DD are met, the baseline is also, one of the monitoring parameters in Section B.5.1 of the VPA-DD. As part of the monitoring, it will be recorded whether or not households being given the solar lighting system used kerosene in the pre-project scenario. Only those households that used kerosene for lighting in the baseline scenario are included in the VPA for crediting. Hence, it can be established that for households with solar lighting systems in the proposed VPA, the baseline is use of kerosene.

BASELINE DESCRIPTION – Water purification systems

Under the applied methodology for emission reduction from safe drinking water supplyversion 1.0, the project's objective is to reduce or avoid GHG emissions from boiling unsafe drinking water in the baseline and to supply drinking water that is safe for consumption when it enters the project households and /or institutional premises.

As per section 3.4 of the applied GS methodology, the general baseline scenario is that users would have boiled drinking water in the absence of the project activity. The project activity will only claim credits for end-users that boil water and suppressed demand is not included in the baseline scenario. As per section 3.5.1 of the applied GS methodology, the applicable baseline scenario for fuel and technology is determined based TPDDTEC, version 3.1. The baseline survey provides critical information on the following pre-project conditions:

1. Pre-project practices of boiling water, or drinking unsafe water (suppressed demand): Document the safe drinking water sources and/or treatment technologies available and used in the project boundary (e.g. no treatment, boiling, piped water,

chlorine tablets, etc.). This critical information corresponds to the percentage of households available of safe drinking water in baseline survey.

2. Efficiency of water boiling systems: Document the stove or water boiling technologies used in the project boundary. This critical information corresponds to the percentage of households treat unsafe water by boiling and the efficiency of water boiling systems.

3. Baseline fuels: Document the cooking fuels used in the project boundary. This critical information corresponds to the percentage of households treat unsafe water by boiling and the percentage of fuel type for each type stove.

The baseline survey requires in person interviews with a robust sample of local households in the target project area. As per TPDDTEC (Version 3.1), the baseline survey should be carried out for each baseline scenario using representative and random sampling, following these guidelines for minimum sample size:

Group size <300: Minimum sample size 30 or population size, whichever is smaller Group size 300 to 1,000: Minimum sample size 10% of group size

Group size > 1,000 Minimum sample size 100

The baseline survey was conducted in 2 states which are part of this VPA – Kerala and Karnataka. For baseline survey, 90/10 precision level was selected as per the methodology. Total samples selected per state was 100 and total samples selected was 200.

Based on the presence of PO branches, branches were selected from different clusters to have a good representation of geographical spread. A smaller set of sample population was selected randomly from 2-3 villages in each of the branch area. MEC staff, and head office staff from PO have been trained to conduct survey. The staff members chosen for the survey had prior experience of conducting on-field surveys and were familiar with local area, culture, and local language. MEC staff and branch staff of PO organization have in turn have trained the local field staffs who are from the same villages familiar with local area. The training was adequately tailored to the baseline surveys and included an interactive discussion of questions with surveyors, going through the questions of the baseline survey questionnaire (data collection form), role plays as well as interview techniques.

Literature review was done to understand the demographics of the country for which recognized journals/articles and Census data was used. Accessibility and local authorities' permission were the basis for selecting a district. The survey employed simple random sampling approach to randomly choose areas/villages within the districts. Random sampling also ensured that the results captured the diversity of the communities which represent commonly observed fuel choices. The survey was carried out from September to December 2020 by CME with the help of POs. A questionnaire

was prepared in consultation with PO for conducting the survey. The questionnaire includes the name of the surveyed household member, address and ask questions on

- a) Institution or a household
- b) Source of drinking water
- c) Water quality before drinking (without boiling)
- d) Practiced treatment methods for drinking water (Boiling/ other method)
- e) Water purification methods used in different seasons
- f) Boiling process (traditional stove/ ICS/ LPG)
- g) Type of fuel used in boiling (fuelwood/charcoal/LPG/kerosene/ other)
- h) Person responsible for water collection (from source)
- i) Time spent in boiling water and collect fuel

j) Challenges/ problems faced using traditional stove to boil water (health/ financial/ inadequate amount of drinking water)

k) Interest in buying a water purification system

Sources of water

19% (19HH/100HH) and 22%(22HH/100HH) of the households in Kerala and Karnataka, respectively have access to piped water. 9% (9HH/100HH) oh households in Kerala and 10% (10/100) of the households in Karnataka take water from boreholes. As per Annex 2 of the applied GS methodology, piped water and water from boreholes or protected wells belong to improved sources of drinking water. For the rest of 72% (72HH/100HH) and 68% (68HH/100HH) households in Kerala and Karnataka respectively, the most common sources of water are from unprotected well, unprotected spring and surface water, which belong to unimproved sources of drinking water. This parameter is corresponding to the water sources in the project boundary which the parameter ID is SDWS 5 in section B.6.2.

Source of Water	Kerala	Karnataka
Borehole or tube well	9 (9%)	10 (10%)
Piped into dwelling	19 (19%)	22 (22%)
Surface water (river, stream, dam, lake, pond,	5 (5%)	3 (3%)
canal, irrigation channel)	5 (576)	3 (370)
Unprotected well	66 (66%)	62 (62%)
Unprotected spring	1 (1%)	3 (3%)
Total	100	100

Source of Water	Kerala	Karnataka
Improved Sources	28 (28%)	32 (32%)
Unimproved Sources	72 (72%)	68 (68%)
Total	100	100

Practices of boiling water or drinking unsafe water

The percentage of households who have of safe drinking water before project activity is 28% (28HH/100HH) in Kerala and 32% (32HH/100HH) in Karnataka. However, ~90% households i.e. 25HH and 29HH in Kerala and Karnataka respectively still treated (mainly boiling using wood and LPG) water before drinking. These households upon asking the reason for treatment didn't believe the source water was safe for direct consumption. Additionally, CME conducted water testing of improved sources for 6 months starting September 2020 to February 2021 to check if improved sources are actually safe for drinking. 95% and 93% of the samples confirmed presence of E.coli and fecal coliform in Kerala and Karnataka respectively. This is also cross checked with Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) water quality testing reports under their National Water Quality Monitoring Programme (NWQP) which is done in all state²⁰.

As per a report published by Global Wellness Institute²¹ in partnership with School of Public Health, SRM Institute of Science & Technology and WTS international, many states in India have 10-50% or >50% ground water sources contaminated with fecal coliform. The report also says that lack of access to safe drinking water and good WASH conditions particularly plague certain segments of India's population, because of the social/economic/environmental/political contexts they live in. The children who die of diarrhea in India are likely to be from the 260 Mn people living below the poverty line (BPL), 450 Mn migrant laborers, 1.2 Mn tribal population, 889 Mn living in rural villages, 5 Mn of the internally displaced people, and 65 Mn slum dwellers. Despite the effort of increasing access to improved sources, there is a high level of morbidity that in turn reflect contamination of drinking water at source and/or supply and/or at the point of use.

As per a report by Centre for Affordable Water and Sanitation Technology (CAWST)²², despite of having high access to improved sources of water, India has the highest rate of water-borne illness deaths in the world due to the fact that an "improved" water source may not necessarily be safe. Sources such as untreated tap water, hand pumps, and bore/tube wells are all included in the definition of improved water sources but can be easily contaminated during collection and distribution.

²⁰ https://cpcb.nic.in/wqm/2020/NWMP_DATA_2020.pdf

²¹ https://globalwellnessinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Access-to-safe-drinking-water-in-India.pdf

²² https://www.engineeringforchange.org/research/household-water-treatment-trends-india/



Figure 2: Water from Borehole/Tubewell



Figure 3: Water storage

72% (72HH/100HH) and 68% (68HH/100HH) of households in Kerala and Karnataka didn't have access to safe water sources. 93% (67HH/72HH) households in Kerala and 92% (63HH/68HH) households in Karnataka receiving unsafe water, were treating water before consumption and 7% and 8% households in Kerala and Karnataka

respectively drink unsafe water directly without any treatment. Data and information collected from the questionnaires show that all the households surveyed are involved in water treatment for domestic consumption. Among those who treat water, 100% (67HH/67HH) in Kerala and 100% (63HH/63HH) boil water before drinking.

Description	Kerala	Karnataka
No of HH treating water supplied with	67 (93%)	63 (92%)
unsafe water		
No of HH drinking unsafe water without	5 (7%)	5 (8%)
boiling		
Total	72	68

Description	Kerala	Karnataka
No of HH boiling water	63 (94%)	60 (95%)
No of HH treating without boiling	4 (6%)	3 (5%)
Total HH supplied with unsafe water	67	63

Efficiency of water boiling systems and baseline fuels

Among those who treat drinking water by boiling, 96% and 95% households used firewood which is a non-renewable biomass in Kerala and Karnataka. Rest 4% and 5% used LPG (fossil fuel) for boiling water. Efficiency of the three-stone fired stove is 10% as per methodology default value and for gas stove efficiency of 55% has been used based on literature²³. Breakdown of fuel type against type of stove are shown as the following tables.

Stove type	Fuel Type	Efficiency	Kerala	Karnataka
Three stone	Firewood	10%	96%	57 (95%)
fired Stove				
Gas Stove	LPG	55%	4%	3 (5%)
Total			100%	100%

²³ https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100T7UD.PDF?Dockey=P100T7UD.PDF



Figure 4: Three stone fire stove for water boiling

Further as a cross check measure other sources as mentioned in the methodology for the parameter x_f and C_b were used.

C_b (Proportion of project end-users who in the baseline were already using safe water, either from an improved water source, or from a water treatment method other than boiling)

According to baseline survey conducted by CME, C_b can be calculated as below:

Kerala: Improved sources in the state of Kerala is 28%. As per CME test reports of improved sources, 95% sources had microbial contamination which means only 5% out of 28% improved sources are safe for drinking. Additionally, 72% households had access to unimproved sources, out of which 6% used some other treatment method (not boiling) to make water safe for drinking. Hence, for state of Kerala, C_b is calculated as below:

28%*5% + 72%*6% = 5.7%

Karnataka: Improved sources in the state of Kerala is 32%. As per CME test reports of improved sources, 93% sources had microbial contamination which means only 7% out of 32% improved sources are safe for drinking. Additionally, 68% households had access to unimproved sources, out of which 5% used some other treatment method

(not boiling) to make water safe for drinking. Hence, for state of Kerala, C_b is calculated as below: 32%*7% + 68%* 5% = 5.64%

As per Jal Jeevan Mission by Government of India, piped supply in rural parts of Kerala and Karnataka as per August 2019 is 23.5% and 24.5% respectively²⁴. This has been used as cross check. Considering the baseline value are more conservative i.e. 28% for Kerala and 32% for Karnataka, these values have been considered. Further, CME has conducted water testing of the improved sources including piped supply which clearly shows contamination hence, the above calculation has been considered for C_b. This is also cross checked with Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) water quality testing reports under their National Water Quality Monitoring Programme (NWQP) which is done in all state.

x_f (Percentage of fuel f use in target population)

As per CEEW report 2018, 92% of rural households reported that LPG is too expensive to use it as primary fuel for cooking or to fulfil all cooking needs. This has been used as cross check. Considering 18% rural household use LPG and out of that 92% believe it is too expensive to use, the percentage households using LPG as main cooking fuel is $x_f = (100\%-92\%) * 18\% = 1.44\%$. This has been used as cross check. As per our baseline study, the percentage of people using LPG is 4% in Kerala and 5% in Karnataka which is more conservative than the available literature.

²⁴ https://ejalshakti.gov.in/jjmreport/JJMIndia.aspx

Considering values from baseline survey is more conservation hence, baseline survey values has been considered which is 4% and 5% for boiling using LPG.




Figure 5: High connection cost and high recurring expenses continue to be the two primary reasons for non-adoption of LPG

BASELINE DETERMINATION - Non-renewable biomass (NRB) Assessment

The methodology tool TOOL30, Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass, Version 3.0 is used to determine the non-renewable biomass (f_{NRB}). The tool provides guidance and step-wise procedure/method to calculate values of f_{NRB} . This tool is applied for calculating fNRB that is used in baseline emissions in applicable methodologies that displaces the use of non-renewable biomass.

The tool is used by project proponent to calculate project specific f_{NRB} values for various Indian states. In this section, the f_{NRB} value estimated for the state of Kerala and Karnataka has been included. The area where biomass is sourced is the geographical area of these states encompassing all the districts of the state. The f_{NRB} value for Kerala and Karnataka is 0.86 and 0.874 respectively.

Table 6: Determination of fraction of non-renewable biomass (f_{NRB})

State Fraction of non-renewable biomass in the applicable	
	area in the relevant period (%) (f _{NRB})
Kerala	0.874
Karnataka	0.86

B.5. Demonstration of additionality

>>

Specify the methodology, activity requirement or product requirement that establishes deemed additionality for the proposed project (including the version number and the specific paragraph, if applicable).

For SLS:

Additionality will be demonstrated in accordance with EB 68 Annex 27: Guideline on the Demonstration of Additionality of Small- Scale Project Activities Version 09, Paragraph 2(c) which states that a barriers analysis is not required to document Additionality for:

c) Project activities solely composed of isolated units where the users of the technology/measure are households or communities or Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) and where the size of each unit is no larger than 5 per cent of the small- scale CDM thresholds.

For WPS

Community Services Activity Requirements (Version 1.2), paragraph 4.1.9: "Projects that meet any of the following criteria are considered as deemed additional and therefore are not required to prove Financial Additionality at the time of Design Certification:

(a) Positive list (Annex B) i.e. All VPAs will be solely composed of isolated units (CEPs) where the users of the technology/ measure are households or communities or institutions and where each unit results in <=

- a. 600 MWh of thermal energy savings per year for ICS.
- b. 600 tCO₂ per year for HWT and IWT technologies
- (b) Projects located in LDC, SIDS, LLDC
- (c) Micro-scale projects"

	 For SLS: 1. VPA-DD Section A.4 demonstrating that the size of each unit is no larger than 5% of the small-scale CDM threshold 2. Manufacturer's specifications showing that solar lighting products are less than the 5% of the 15 MW cap.
Describe how the proposed project meets the criteria for deemed additionality.	For WPS: Project activities under the VPA are solely composed of isolated units where the users are households or institutions and where each unit results in GHG emission not exceeding 600 ton of CO ₂ e in any year of the crediting period for SLS and 600 MWh for ICS. Thus, the project activity meets the criteria (a) and is therefore deemed additional.

B.5.1. Prior Consideration

>> N/A

B.5.2. Ongoing Financial Need

>>

NA as the VPA is not renewing its crediting period with transition.

B.6. Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) outcomes

Relevant Target/Indicator for each of the three SDGs

Sustainable Development	Most relevant SDG	SDG Impact
Goals Targeted	Target	Indicator (Proposed or SDG Indicator)
13 Climate Action (mandatory)	Total greenhouse gas emissions	Emission Reductions
1 End poverty in all its forms everywhere	1.4 By 2030, ensure that all men and women, in particula the poor and the	Proportion of population living in households with access to basic services (only for WPS)

	vulnerable, have equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to basic services, ownership and control over land and other forms of property, inheritance, natural resources, appropriate new technology and financial services, including microfinance
6: Clean Water and Sanitation	6.1 By 2030, achieve universal and Number of households served equitable access to with safely managed water safe and affordable services drinking water for all
7: Affordable and Clean Energy	7.1 By 2030, ensure universal access to Number of households with affordable, reliable operational clean energy and modern energy products services
8: Decent Work and Economic Growth	8.5 By 2030, achieve full and productive employment and decent work for all women and men, Total no of jobs created including for young people and persons with disabilities, and equal pay for work of equal value

B.6.1. Explanation of methodological choices/approaches for estimating the SDG Impact

>>

SDG 1: No Poverty

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation	
1.4.1Proportion of population living in	CEP Distribution Records:	
households with access to basic services	Net Benefit (SDG 1) = BSA _{Baseline} -	
	BSA _{Project}	
Approach: Monitor the percentage of	Where:	
households where CEPs are distributed under the project as an indicator of providing basic service access to	BSA _{Baseline} Percentage of households having access to basic services in baseline (safe water)	
households	BSA _{Project} Percentage of households having access to basic services in project (safe water)	

SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation	
6.1.1 Proportion of population using	WPS distribution records	
safely managed drinking water service	Net Benefit (SDG 6) = $N_{p,y} * (1-$	
	C _b)*U _{p,y} *M _{q,y}	
Approach: Monitor the Number of		
households served with satisfactory level	Where:	
of safe water (relative to baseline	N _{p,y} Accumulated number of	
scenario).	premises with at least one	
	individual project technology in	
	year	
	C _b Proportion of project end-users	
	who in the baseline were	
	already using a safe water	
	supply that did not require	
	boiling	
	U _{p,y} Usage rate of the project technology	
	$M_{q,y}$ Modifier for the water quality	

SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation
7.1.2 Proportion of population with	WPS and SLS distribution records
primary reliance on clean fuels and	Net Benefit (SDG 7) = $ACS_{Project} - ACS_{Baseline}$
technology	
	Where:
Approach: Monitor the number of	ACS _{Baseline} Access to affordable and clean
operating WPS and SLS distributed under	energy (Number of operating

the project as an indicator of providing		WPS and SLS units under
clean technology (relative to baseline		Baseline) = 0
stoves).	ACS _{Project}	Access to affordable and clean
		energy (Number of operating
		WPS and SLS units under
		Project)

SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation	
8.5.1 Average hourly earnings of female	Employment records	
and male employees, by occupation, age	Net Benefit (SDG 8) = QE $IG_{Project}$ - QE	
and persons with disabilities	IG _{Baseline}	
Approach:		
Recording the number of employees	Where:	
(male / female) in the project under	QE IG _{Baseline} Quantitative Employment and	
administrative, sales, production and	income generation (Number of	
management positions	person (male and female)	
	hired under Baseline) = 0	
	QE IG _{Project} Quantitative Employment and	
	income generation (Number of	
	person (male and female)	
	hired under Project)	

SDG 13: Climate Action: Water Purification Systems

Applied	Equation/calculation		
methodology/approach			
13.2.2 Amount of CO_2e emissions reduced by the	The total safe water consumed in the project scenario is the amount of safe water supplied by the project technology and		
project per year	consumed in the project scenario. This total is assumed to be equivalent to the unsafe water boiled in the baseline.		
Approach: Emission Reductions from Safe	Baseline emission calculation		
Drinking Water Supply v 1.0	The baseline emission shall be calculated as		
	$BE_{y} = EF_{b} \times (1 - C_{b} - X_{cleanboil,y}) \times Q_{y} \times M_{q,y}$		
	Where:		
	BE_y = Baseline emissions from the use of fuel to obtain safe water in the baseline (tCO ₂ e)		

C _b	=	Proportion of project end-users who in the baseline were already using a safe water supply that did not require boiling (%)
$X_{cleanboil,y}$	=	Proportion of project end-users that boil safe water in the project year y (%)
Q_y	=	Quantity of safe drinking water provided by the project in year y (L)
$M_{q,y}$	=	Modifier for the water quality in year y
		ion factor shall be calculated as
$EF_b = SE_{w,b}$	$b,y * \Sigma(xf)$	* $(EF_{b,f,CO2} * f_{NRB,f,y} + EF_{b,f,nonCO2})) \div 10^9$
Where:		
EFb	=	Emission factor for the use of fuel to obtain safe water in the baseline (tCO2e/L)
$SE_{w,b,y}$	=	Specific energy required to boil water (kJ/L), to be calculated as per the paragraph below
xf	=	Proportion of fuel f used in the baseline (fraction determined based on an energy basis)
$EF_{b,f,CO2}$	=	CO2 emission factor from use of fuel f (tCO2/TJ)
$EF_{b,f,nonC}$	=	Non-CO2 emission factor arising from
02		use of fuel f, when the baseline fuel f is biomass or charcoal (tCO2e/TJ). This parameter is omitted when f is a fossil fuel.
fnrb,f,y	=	Fractional non-renewability status of woody biomass fuel during year y (fraction). For biomass, it is the fraction of woody biomass that can be established as non-renewable. This parameter is omitted when f is a fossil fuel.
f	=	Index for baseline fuel types

technology calculating th water, includ	(<i>SEw,b</i>) he energ ding boil	required to boil water using the baseline y , is determined as follows, by gy input required to obtain 1 L of boiling ing and vaporization losses, taking into neasured stove efficiency.
SEw, b, y = 36	50.83/η _ν	vb
Where:		
	er 5 mi	mount of energy required to obtain 1 L nutes of boiling from a first principles
-	-	ne stoves for baseline water boiling (%). f baseline stove types.
		drinking water provided by the project g following method (for HWT and IWT)
$Q_y = \sum N_{p,y} \times$	$X U_{p,y} \times$	$QPW_{hh,p,y} \times DP_{p,y}$
Where:		
N _{p,y} U _{p,y} QPW _{hh,p,y} DP _{p,y}	=	Number of premises type p with at least one project technology in year y Usage rate of the project technology by premises type p during year y (%) Volume of drinking water per premises p per day in year y (L) Days the project technology is present for end-users in the premises p in year y
determined project devi	by con ce is su	nking water per premises per day is sidering whether the capacity of the ifficient to provide at least the default water, as follows:
$QPW_{hh,p,y} = \mathbf{n}$	nin ((q_i	$\times t_{p,y} \times DN_{p,y}$), (QPW _p × HN _{p,y}))
 Where:		

q_i	=	Capacity of the HWT or IWT individual project technology (L/h)
$t_{p,y}$	=	Usage time of the project technology by
		premises type p in year y (h/day)
$DN_{p,y}$	=	Average number of individual project
		technologies in each project premises
$HN_{p,y}$	=	type p in year y Number of individuals per premises
111 v p,y		type p (e.g. household, school) in year
		y y
QPW_p	=	Volume of drinking water per person per
		day for premises type p (L). Apply the
		default value or monitored value through water consumption field tests
		in the project scenario, capped at 5.5 L
		per person per day.
D. J. J. G.		
Project Sc	enario	Fuel Consumption Calculation
		urifiers do not use fossil fuel or electricity
for filtration	n the pr	oject emissions would be zero.
PEy = Proje	ect emis	ssions in year y (t CO_2e/yr) = 0
Leakage E	missio	ns
Where rele	evant, l	leakage relating to the non-renewable
woody bion	nass sha	all be assessed as follows. Other types of
-		led for simplification. Leakage emissions,
LE _y , shall b	e calcul	ated as follows:
1 5		per has evaluated, ex-ante, the following
		f leakage and provide an evidence-based
•	-	eliminary quantification of each potential vance for the project:
		conducted to verify if the use of non-
		s has increased among the members of o do not participate in the project, and
		sing lower emitting energy sources. The
		t the NRB consumption has not increased
in the non-	particip	ating members of the population.
b. The pur	pose of	the project is reducing the use of NRB
otherwise u	used in	cookstoves for boiling water and instead

use water purifier which does not require any NRB or any other fuel for its operations. So, the project would actually help in increasing the NRB fraction in that area. Therefore, the condition that the project involves reducing the NRB fraction within an area where other GHG mitigation project activities account for NRB fraction in their baseline scenario is not applicable, hence no leakage emissions.
c. The project population is in the area where the annual average temperature is above 20C. Hence there is no requirement to compensate for loss of the space heating effect of water boiling by adopting some other form of space heating or by retaining some baseline wood fuel-burning practices.
Further as per section 3.8.3 of the methodology, " If the ex- ante evaluation shows that leakage emissions are less than 5% of total emission reductions, then no monitoring is needed, and emission reductions simply shall be adjusted 5% down." Therefore, the Emission reductions have been adjusted by 5% to account for leakage emissions.
Emission Reductions
The Emission reductions are calculated as follows:
ERy = BEy - PEy - LEy
Where:
ERy = Emission reductions in year y (t CO2e/yr)
BEy = Baseline emissions in year y (t CO2e/yr)
PEy = Project emissions in year y (t CO2e/yr)
LEy = Leakage emissions in year y (t CO2e/yr)

SDG 13: Climate Action: Solar Lighting Systems

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation
3 77 - PP	

13.2.2 Amount of CO ₂ e emissions reduced by the	Total baseline emissions for period v are calculated as							
project per year	the sum of the baseline emissions of each lamp type <i>i</i> in the period:							
Approach: AMS.I.A.,	B	$E_v = \sum_{i=1}^n$	BEiv	(Eq. 2)				
version 14.0		• =1=1	1, v					
	Param	Unit	Туре	Value				
	eter		туре	Value				
	BEv	tCO ₂	Calculated	Emissions generated in the				
	DLV		Calculated	absence of the project activity				
				in period v by all lamps				
	BE _{i,v}	tCO ₂	Calculated	Emissions generated in the absence of the project activity				
				in period v by all lamps of				
				type i				
	Ex post l	baseline	emission for	each lamp type <i>i</i> is calculated				
	with the	followin	g equation:					
	$BE_{n} = \Sigma$	n (N; *)	d)*l. * h *	$\frac{1}{LE_{ker}}$ *EF _{ker} *10 ⁻⁶ *3.6*CF _{i,v,LFR}				
		a=1(**1,a		Kei				
				(Eq. 3)				
	Para	Unit	Туре	Value				
	mete							
	г BE _v	tCO ₂	Calculated	Emissions generated in				
	DLv		Calculated	the absence of the project				
				activity in period v by all				
				lamps of type <i>i</i>				
	N _{i,a}	Numb	Monitored	The total number of solar				
		er		lamps of type <i>i</i> deployed				
		_						
				in period a				
	d _{i,a,v}	Days	Monitored/	Average number of days				
	d _{i,a,v}	Days	Monitored/ calculated	Average number of days lamps of type <i>i</i> that have				
	d _{i,a,v}	Days		Average number of days				

li	Lume n	Monitored (once per lamp type)	Nominal lumen output of solar lamps of the type <i>I</i> deployed as part of the project activity	
Н	Hours /day	Fixed	Average operating hours of kerosene lamps in the baseline	
LE _{ker}	Lume n/W	Fixed	The specific light output of kerosene when burnt in a kerosene lantern	
EF _{ker}	tCO ₂ / GJ	Fixed	The specific CO ₂ - emissions of kerosene	
CF _{i,v,LF} R	-	Monitored/ Calculated	This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type <i>i</i> by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period <i>v</i> . The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence	
Where: <i>CF_{i,v,LFR}</i>	= 1- $(L$	$FR_{i,v} + z^* \sqrt{\frac{LF}{2}}$	level 90%).	
CF _{i,v,LFR}	= 1- (<i>L</i>	$FR_{i,v} + z^* \sqrt{\frac{LF}{2}}$	level 90%).	
CF _{i,v,LFR}			level 90%). $\frac{FR_{i,v}^{*}(1-LFR_{i,v})}{n_{i,v,total}}$ (Eq. 4)	

			parameter (confidence level 90%).
LFR _{i,v}	%	Monitored	Share of lamps of lamp type <i>i</i> in checked sample group $g_{i,v}$ not operational in period <i>v</i> .
Z	-	Given	Standard normal for a confidence level of 90%
N _{i,v,total}	-	Monitored	Total number of lamps checked for which a valid result was obtained.
PoA, pro	oject emi		odology and the registered akage emissions are not ed.

B.6.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

For Water Purification Systems

SDG13

Parameter ID	SDWS 2
Data/parameter	Project Technology Description
Unit	N/A
Description	The following is the detailed description of the planned project technology: HWT and IWT: Manufacturer- The Eureka Forbes Limited (EFL) and Hindustan Unilever Limited (HUL) Technology type- gravity based purifier

	Product name- Aquasure Nakshatra and Aquasure Sampoorna and HUL Pureit Classic
Source of data	Manufacturer's specifications
Value(s) applied	Please refer to Section A.3
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	-
Purpose of data	-
Additional comment	This parameter is fixed ex-ante & shall be updated at CP renewal.

Parameter ID	SDWS 4
Data/parameter	Regulatory Framework for safe water supply
Unit	N/A
Description	Regulatory Framework for safe water supply
Source of data	BIS Standards for Drinking Water
Value(s) applied	The VPA contributes to:
	National Water Policy (2012)
	The policy states in paragraph 1.2 (v) that access to safe water for drinking still continues to be a problem and 1.3 (vi) that safe water for drinking and sanitation should be considered as pre-emptive needs followed by other needs. In addition, the importance of community sensitization and utilization of water as per local availability of waters before providing water through long distance transfer is highlighted (paragraph 3.6.).
	Jal Jeevan Mission JJM (2019-2024)
	The vision of the JJM is that every household has drinking water supply in adequate quantity of prescribed quality on

	regular and long-term basis at affordable service delivery charges leading to improvement in living standards of rural communities. JJM further stresses the importance of awareness raising and involvement of stakeholders (paragraph 3.3 viii.) and highlight the contribution of technological interventions for removal of contaminants where water quality is an issue (paragraph 3.4 ii.). The VPA contributes to three core aspects mentioned in the National Water Policy (2012) and the Jal Jeevan Mission (2019-2024): 1. Supply of rural communities with safe drinking water (point-of-use treatment) 2. Awareness raising on WASH aspects 3. Stakeholder participation in project activities. The water quality of the treated water is in line with the national drinking water standard of India: 0 CFU E.Coli/100ml (IS 10500 : 2012)
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	The test reports from national accredited labs confirms that the filtered water from the purifier is in compliance with the above values.
Purpose of data	-
Additional comment	This parameter is fixed Ex-ante & shall be updated at CP renewal.

Parameter ID Data/parameter	SDWS 5 Water sources in the project boundary
Unit	N/A
Description	The water sources in the project boundary are identified and if classified to be used for drinking water, then further classified as improved and unimproved water source.
Source of data	Baseline study /Credible published literature for project region/ Studies by academia, NGOs or multilateral

	institutions/ statistics	or	Official	government	publications	or
Value(s) applied	Please refer t	o Se	ection B.4			
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Baseline Stud	ly				
Purpose of data	-					
Additional comment	This paramet renewal.	er is	s fixed Ex	-ante & shall	be updated at	CP

Parameter ID	SDWS 6	SDWS 6		
Data/parameter	Stove technologies used in the project boundary			
Unit	N/A			
Description	The stove type/technology used in premises in the geographical area of the project is mainly traditional three stone fired cookstoves having an efficiency of 10%.			
Source of data	Baseline survey and studies by academia, NGOs or multilateral institutions			
Value(s) applied	Stove Type	Kerala	Karnataka	
	Three-stone fired	96%	95%	
	Gas Stove	4%	5%	
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Baseline survey was conducted following the requirements outlined in section 4.2. Cross check was done using studies by CEEW, an established and credible NGO which has been working in the space of energy and water for a long time. Details are provided in section B 4.2.			
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions			
Additional comment	This parameter is fixed Ex-ante & shall be updated at CP renewal.			

Parameter ID

SDWS 7

Data/parameter	Expected technical life of project technology
Unit	Volume or Years
Description	The expected technical life of an individual project technology is defined in section A.3 of the VPA-DD. The details include the life of different product types used.
Source of data	Manufacturer specifications
Value(s) applied	4000 litres (EFL Nakshatra and EFL Asha) 1500 litre (HUL Pureit)
	The technical specification of the water purification systems, provided by the manufacturer, does not specify the life span of the water purification system unit/console rather it mentions only the life span (in terms of litres of purified water) of the Germ Kill Kit (GKK) and cartridges.
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Manufacture specification
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	In cases where the life span of the water purifier technologies is shorter than the crediting period of the PoA, the project proponent shall ensure that the units are replaced in order to continue claiming emission reductions. There shall be measures in place to ensure that end users have access to replacement purification systems of comparable quality. The GKKs and cartridges will be replaced post use so that end users can access the same level of water purification. If no replacement or retrofitting is provided, emission reduction claims are limited to the expected technical life.

Parameter ID	SDWS 8
Data/parameter	Xf
Unit	Percentage of fuel f use in target population

Description	The proportion of each different cooking fuel f used in the project boundary by end-users: - % among the target population if single fuel is used for water boiling. If the project covers different types of end-user premises (e.g. households, schools), then the fuels used in the geographical area of the project by the same types of end-users are to be determined for each end-user premises type.		
Source of data	Baseline survey cross checked with credible published literature for project region/studies by academia/NGOs or multilateral institutions, or Official government publications or statistics		
Value(s) applied	Fuel Type	Kerala	Karnataka
	Wood	96%	95%
	LPG	4%	5%
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Baseline survey was conducted following the requirements outlined in section 4.2. Cross check was done using studies by CEEW, an established and credible NGO which has been working in the space of energy and water for a long time. Details are provided in section B 4.2.		
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions		
Additional comment	This parameter is f renewal.	fixed Ex-ante & sha	II be updated at CP

Parameter ID	SDWS 9
Data/parameter	$EF_{b,f,CO2}$
Unit	tCO ₂ /TJ
Description	CO_2 emission factor arising from use of fuels in baseline Scenario
Source of data	IPCC default CO ₂ emission factor for wood
Value(s) applied	Firewood – 112
	LPG - 63.1

Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Default IPCC value for fuelwood is applied
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Parameter ID	SDWS 10
Data/parameter	EF _{b,f,non-CO2}
Unit	tCO _{2e} /TJ
Description	Non-CO $_2$ emission factor from use of fuels, in case the baseline fuel is biomass or charcoal
Source of data	IPCC defaults for wood
Value(s) applied	Wood: 9.46
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Default IPCC value for fuelwood and charcoal is applied
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Parameter ID	SDWS 11
Data/parameter	ηwb
Unit	%
Description	Weighted average efficiency of the baseline water boiling devices. Calculate the weighted average of the water boiling efficiency in the project boundary using the proportion of different stove types used and the stove efficiencies.

Source of data	As per methodology Emission Reductions from Safe drinking water supply version 1.0, the following default values may be applied to calculate the weighted average of the water boiling efficiency in the project boundary: - Three-stone fire or a conventional system for woody biomass lacking improved combustion air supply mechanism and flue gas ventilation system, that is without either a grate or a chimney: default efficiency 10%. - Other conventional systems using woody biomass: default efficiency 20%. - Improved cookstoves: manufacturer specification, or if not available, default efficiency 30%.
Value(s) applied	Three-stone fired - 10%
	Gas Stove – 55%
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Default defined in "Methodology for Emission Reductions from Safe Drinking Water Supply" v1.0 for traditional stove. Literature review for gas stove efficiency and literature review
Purpose of data	Calculation of Baseline emissions
Additional comment	This parameter is fixed Ex-ante & shall be updated at CP renewal.

Parameter ID	SDWS 12
Data/parameter	Cb
Unit	Percentage
Description	Proportion of project end-users who in the baseline were already using safe water, either from an improved water source, or from a water treatment method other than boiling
Source of data	Baseline survey/Published literature for project region
Value(s) applied	Kerala – 5.70%

	Karnataka – 5.64%
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	According to the applied methodology, the percentages applied shall be cross-checked against at least one other source on the list. For cross-check purposes, sources applied may be up to 5 years old. In this project the cross- check document is Jal Jeevan Mission (JJM) dashboard by Govt. of India.
	As per baseline study, percentage of households having access to improved sources is 28% and 32% in Kerala and Karnataka respectively. As per JJM dashboard, piped supply to rural households in Kerala and Karnataka in 2019 has been 23.5% and 24.5% respectively. Considering, the baseline study gives more conservative values, it is used. Additionally, CME conducted water testing for 6 months for the improved sources and found 95% and 96% sources had presence of E.coli in 100ml samples which makes them unsafe for drinking. Value of C_b calculated in section B4.2 is based on the above justification.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	The safe water sources and percentages shall be consistent with the information reported for parameter Water sources in the project boundary (SWDS 5). Users who have access to a source of safe water in the baseline (either from an improved water source, or from a water treatment method other than boiling) may not be credited under the project, unless project demonstrates that the baseline source of water does not meet safe water quality criteria, by conducting water quality tests over a representative period of time of 6 months or by referring to credible published literature or other sources. This parameter is fixed Ex-ante & shall be updated at CP renewal.

Parameter ID	SDWS 13
Data/parameter	q _i

Unit	Litres per hour
Description	Capacity of the household or institutional water treatment technology
Source of data	Manufacturer specifications/ Design specifications
Value(s) applied	HUL Pureit – 9 L/h EFL Nakshatra and EFL Asha – 10L/h
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Manufacture specification
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	This depends on water filtration device model and fixed for each model introduced. The capacity of the water treatment technology will help in calculating the amount of water treated. This parameter is fixed Ex-ante & shall be updated at CP renewal.

Parameter ID	SDWS 21
Data/parameter	f _{NRB,f,y}
Unit	Percentage
Description	Fractional non-renewability status of woody biomass fuel during year y, in case the baseline fuel is biomass or charcoal
Source of data	Assessment based on CDM Methodological tool 30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass, Version 03.0
Value(s) applied	Kerala- 0.874 Karnataka-0.86
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	A preliminary study has been conducted in accordance with the CDM Methodological tool 30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass, Version 03.0

Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	The $f_{\mbox{\scriptsize NRB}}$ value will remain fixed during the crediting period.

For Solar Lighting Systems

Data/parameter	LE _{ker}			
Unit	Lumen/W			
Description	The specific luminous efficiency of kerosene when burnt in a kerosene lantern			
Source of data	Jean-Paul Louineau, Modibo Dicko, Peter Fraenkel, Roy Barlow and Varis Bokalders; Rural Lighting: A Guide for Development Workers, Intermediate Technology (IT) Publications in association with The Stockholm Environment Institute 1994			
Value(s) applied	0.13			
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Louineau et al (1994) state an efficiency range of 0.05 to 0.21 lumens/W for hurricane kerosene lanterns. Another study by the World Bank states an efficiency of 0.1 lumen/W for hurricane lanterns. Values for the widely used homemade wick lamps are scarcely available as designs vary. Anyway, these lamps have much lower efficiencies than hurricane lanterns. It is assumed that the kerosene lamp model in the baseline is a hurricane lamp. This is conservative since the vast majority of households use self-made kerosene lanterns without a glass cover, which are less efficient due to wind disturbance and very basic design. The average efficiency value of 0.13 lumen/watt for hurricane lamps from Louineau et al (1994) is chosen, being conservative with respect to the lower value of 0.1 lumen/W provided by the World Bank.			
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions			
Additional comment	The parameter is fixed for the entire crediting period.			

Data/parameter	EF _{ker}
Unit	tCO ₂ /GJ
Description	The specific CO_2 emissions of kerosene

Source of data	2006 IPCC guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas inventories
Value(s) applied	0.0719
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	The default value of other kerosene in 2006 IPCC guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories is 71.900 tCO2/TJ.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	The parameter is fixed for the entire crediting period.

Data/parameter	Z
Unit	n/a
Description	Standard normal for a confidence interval of 90%
Source of data	Köhler, Schachtel, Voleske, 2002; Biostatistik, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg; Tafel 2, p. 279
Value(s) applied	1.290, 1.645; 1.96
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	This is the statistical standard value for standard normal for a confidence level of 90% for a one-sided test, and 90% and 95% for a two-sided test, respectively.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

B.6.3. Ex ante estimation of SDG Impact

>>

Calculations for Water Purification Systems and Solar Lighting Systems

SDG 1: No Poverty

Access to basic services (safe water)

Net Benefit (SDG 1) = BSA_{Project} - BSA_{Baseline}

Where:

 $BSA_{Baseline}$ Percentage household having access to basic services (safe water) in baseline = 5.67%

BSA_{Project} Percentage household having access to basic services (safe water) in Project = 100%

Net Benefit (SDG1) = 100% - 5.67% = 94.33%

SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation

WPS distribution records

Net Benefit (SDG 6) =
$$N_{p,y}*(1-C_b)*U_{p,y}*M_{q,y}$$

Where:

States	N _{p,y}	Сь	U _{p,y}	M _{q,y}	SDG 6 values
KA	7,800	5.64%	100%	1	7,360
KL	7,800	5.70%	100%	1	7,356
Total					14,716

SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

WPS distribution records

Net Benefit (SDG 7) = $ACS_{Project} - ACS_{Baseline}$

Where:

$ACS_{Baseline}$	Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating WPS units
	under Baseline) = 0

ACS_{Project} Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating WPS units under Project) = 15,600

SLS distribution records

```
Net Benefit (SDG 7) = ACS_{Project} - ACS_{Baseline}
```

Where:

$ACS_{Baseline}$	Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating SLS units
	under Baseline) = 0
ACSProject	Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating SLS units
	under $Project$) = 238,090

SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth

Employment records

Where:

- QE $IG_{Baseline}$ Quantitative Employment and income generation (Number of person (male and female) hired under Baseline) = 0
- QE $IG_{Project}$ Quantitative Employment and income generation (Number of person (male and female) hired under Project) = 20

SDG 13: Climate Action (Water Purification Systems)

The overall GHG reductions achieved by the project activity will be calculated as follows:

Baseline emission calculation

The baseline emission shall be calculated as

 $BE_{y} = EF_{b} \times (1 - C_{b} - X_{cleanboil,y}) \times Q_{y} \times M_{q,y}$

Where:

BE_y	=	Baseline emissions from the use of fuel to obtain safe water in the baseline (tCO_2e)
C _b	=	Proportion of project end-users who in the baseline were already using a safe water supply that did not require boiling (%)
$X_{cleanboil,y}$	=	Proportion of project end-users that boil safe water in the project year y (%)
Q_y	=	Quantity of safe drinking water provided by the project in year y (L)
$M_{q,y}$	=	Modifier for the water quality in year y

The baseline emission factor shall be calculated as

 $EFb = SEw, b, y * \Sigma(xf * (EFb, f, CO2 * fNRB, f, y + EFb, f, nonCO2)) f \div 10^9$

Where:

EF_b	=	Emission factor for the use of fuel to obtain safe water in the baseline (tCO2e/L)
$SE_{w,b,y}$	=	Specific energy required to boil water (kJ/L), to be calculated as per the paragraph below
xf	=	Proportion of fuel f used in the baseline (fraction determined based on an energy basis)
$EF_{b,f,CO2}$	=	CO2 emission factor from use of fuel f (tCO2/TJ)
$EF_{b,f,nonCO2}$	=	Non-CO2 emission factor arising from use of fuel f, when the baseline fuel f is biomass or charcoal (tCO2e/TJ). This parameter is omitted when f is a fossil fuel.
f _{NRB,f,y}	=	Fractional non-renewability status of woody biomass fuel during year y (fraction). For biomass, it is the fraction of woody biomass that can be established as non-renewable. This parameter is omitted when f is a fossil fuel.

F = Index for baseline fuel types

The specific energy required to boil water using the baseline technology (SEw,b,y) is determined as follows, by calculating the energy input required to obtain 1 L of boiling water, including boiling and vaporization losses, taking into account default or measured stove efficiency.

 $SE_{w,b,y} = 360.83/\eta_{wb}$

Where:

360.83 = Default amount of energy required to obtain 1 L of water after 5 minutes of boiling from a first principles approach kJ/l

 η_{wb} = Efficiency of the stoves for baseline water boiling (%). Weighted average of baseline stove types.

The quantity of safe drinking water provided by the project Qy is calculated using following method (for HWT and IWT)

 $Q_y = \sum N_{p,y} \times U_{p,y} \times QPW_{hh,p,y} \times DP_{p,y}$

Where:

$N_{p,y}$	=	Number of premises type p with at least one project
		technology in year y
$U_{p,y}$	=	Usage rate of the project technology by premises type
		p during year y (%)

$QPW_{hh,p,y}$	=	Volume of drinking water per premises p per day in year
		y (L)
$DP_{p,y}$	=	Days the project technology is present for end-users in
		the premises p in year y

The volume of drinking water per premises per day is determined by considering whether the capacity of the project device is sufficient to provide at least the default amount of drinking water, as follows:

 $QPW_{hh,p,y} = \min ((q_i \times t_{p,y} \times DN_{p,y}), (QPW_p \times HN_{p,y}))$

Where:

q_i	=	Capacity of the HWT or IWT individual project technology (L/h)
$t_{p,y}$	=	Usage time of the project technology by premises type p in year y (h/day)
$DN_{p,y}$	=	Average number of individual project technologies in each project premises type p in year y
$HN_{p,y}$	=	Number of individuals per premises type p (e.g. household, school) in year y
QPW _p	=	Volume of drinking water per person per day for premises type p (L). Apply the default value or monitored value through water consumption field tests in the project scenario, capped at 5.5 L per person per day.

Project Scenario Fuel Consumption Calculation

Since the water purifiers do not use fossil fuel or electricity for filtration the project emissions would be zero.

 PE_y = Project emissions in year y (t CO₂e/yr) = 0

Leakage Emissions

Where relevant, leakage relating to the non-renewable woody biomass shall be assessed as follows. Other types of leakage are excluded for simplification. Leakage emissions, LEy, shall be calculated as follows:

CME has evaluated, ex-ante, the following potential sources of leakage and provide an evidence-based description and preliminary quantification of each potential source and its relevance for the project:

a Since the non-renewable biomass is available in abundance in the project geographical area (as evident from fNRB), it is highly unlikely that the use of non-renewable biomass

increases among the members of the population who do not participate in the project, and were previously using lower emitting energy sources.

b. The purpose of the project is reducing the use of NRB otherwise used in cookstoves for boiling water and instead use water purifier which does not require any NRB or any other fuel for its operations. So, the project would actually help in relatively increasing the NRB fraction in that area. Therefore, the condition that the project involves reducing the NRB fraction within an area where other GHG mitigation project activities account for NRB fraction in their baseline scenario is not applicable, hence no leakage emissions.

c. The project population is in the area where the annual average temperature is above 20°C. Hence there is no requirement to compensate for loss of the space heating effect of water boiling by adopting some other form of space heating or by retaining some baseline wood fuel-burning practices.

Thus, the leakage emissions can be considered as nil and can be ignored for the project activity.

Further as per section 3.8.3 of the methodology, "If the ex-ante evaluation shows that leakage emissions are less than 5% of total emission reductions, then no monitoring is needed, and emission reductions simply shall be adjusted 5% down." Therefore, the Emission reductions have been adjusted by 5% to account for leakage emissions.

Emission Reductions

The Emission reductions are calculated as follows:

ERy = BEy - PEy - LEy

Where:

ERy = Emission reductions in year y (t CO2e/yr)

BEy = Baseline emissions in year y (t CO2e/yr)

PEy = Project emissions in year y (t CO2e/yr)

LEy = Leakage emissions in year y (t CO2e/yr)

The following table provides sample calculation for each WPS in the state of Kerala and Karnataka for year 5

Parameter	Description	Units	КА	KL
SEw,b,y - Traditional Stove	Specific energy required to boil water	KJ/L	3608.30	3608.3

		1/1/1		
SEw,b,y - Gas Stove	Specific energy required to boil water	KJ/L	656.05	656.05
nwb	Efficiency of the stoves for baseline water boiling	%	0.1	0.1
nwb	Efficiency of the stoves for baseline water boiling	%	0.55	0.55
X _f	Proportion of fuel f used in the baseline (fraction determined based on an energy basis)	%	95%	96%
Xf	Proportion of fuel f used in the baseline (fraction determined based on an energy basis)	%	5%	4%
f _{NRB,b,f,y}	Fraction of biomass used in year y for baseline scenario b that can be established as non-renewable biomass	Fraction	0.86	0.874
Efb.f _{,CO2}	CO2 emission factor of the fuel that is substituted or reduced	tCO2/TJ	112	112
Efb. _{f,non-CO2}	Non-CO2 emission factor of the fuel that is reduced	tCO2/TJ	9.46	9.46
Efb.f _{,CO2}	CO2 emission factor of the LPG that is substituted or reduced	tCO2/TJ	63.1	63.1
Combined EF_{b}		tCO2/TJ	105.78	107.348
Combined EF _b		tCO2/TJ	63.1	63.1
EF _b	Emission factor for the use of fuel to obtain safe water in the baseline	(tCO2e/L	0.000365	0.000374
QPWhh,p,y	Volume of drinking water per premises p per day in year y (L)	L/HH/day	24.75	23.10
QPWp	Volume of drinking water per person per day for premises type p (L)	L	5.5	5.5
HNp,y	Number of individuals per premises type p in year y	-	4.5	4.2
qi	Capacity of the HWT or IWT individual project technology	L/h	9.5	9.5
tp,y	Usage time of the project technology by premises type p in year y	h/day	5	5
DN _{p,y}	Average number of individual project	-	1	1

	1	1		
	technologies in each project premises type p in year y			
U _{p,y}	Cumulative usage rate for technologies in project scenario p in year y	Percentag e	100%	100%
N _{p,y}	Number of premises type p with at least one project technology in year y	-	1	1
DP _{p,y}	Days the project technology is present for end-users in the premises p in year y	Days	365	365
Qy	Quantity of safe drinking water provided by the project in year y	L	9,034	8,432
Сb	Proportion of project end- users who in the baseline were already using a safe water supply that did not require boiling	%	5.64%	5.70%
Xcleanboil,y	Proportion of project end- users that boil safe water in the project year y	%	0	0
Mq,y	Modifier for the water quality in year y	Fraction	1	1
LEy	Leakage for project scenario p in year	tCO2/year	5%	5%
ERy	Emission reductions	tCO2	2.95	2.8

Total emission reductions for water purification system for Year-5 = 13,000*3.1 +

13,000*2.8 = 75,067

SDG 13: Climate Action (Solar Lighting Systems)

Total baseline emissions for period *v* are calculated as the sum of the baseline emissions of each lamp type *i* in the period:

$$BE_v = \sum_{i=1}^n BE_{i,v}$$

(Eq. 2)

Parameter	Unit	Туре	Value
BEv	tCO ₂	Calculated	Emissions generated in the absence of
			the project activity in period v by all
			lamps

BE _{i,v}	tCO ₂	Calculated	Emissions generated in the absence of the
			project activity in period v by all lamps of
			type <i>i</i>

Ex post baseline emission for each lamp type *i* is calculated with the following equation:

$$BE_{v} = \sum_{a=1}^{n} (N_{i,a} * d_{i,a,v}) * l_{i} * h * \frac{1}{LE_{ker}} * EF_{ker} * 10^{-6*} 3.6* CF_{i,v,LFR}$$
(Eq. 3)

Parameter	Unit	Туре	Value
BEv	tCO ₂	Calculated	Emissions generated in the absence of the
			project activity in period v by all lamps of
			type <i>i</i>
N _{i,a}	Number	Monitored	The total number of solar lamps of type <i>i</i>
			deployed in period a
d _{i,a,v}	Days	Monitored/	Average number of days lamps of type <i>i</i>
		calculated	that have been deployed in period a were
			operating in period v
li	Lumen	Monitored	Nominal lumen output of solar lamps of the
		(once per	type I deployed as part of the project
		lamp	activity
		type)	
h	Hours/day	Fixed	Average operating hours of kerosene lamps
			in the baseline
LE _{ker}	Lumen/W	Fixed	The specific light output of kerosene when
			burnt in a kerosene lantern
EF _{ker}	tCO ₂ /GJ	Fixed	The specific CO ₂ -emissions of kerosene
CF _{i,v,LFR}	-	Monitored/	This factor corrects the total number of
		Calculated	lamps of type <i>i</i> by the share of these lamps
			that were found to be operational according
			to the sampling in period v . The statistical
			error is included in this parameter
			(confidence level 90%).

Where:

$$CF_{i,\nu,LFR} = 1 \cdot \left(LFR_{i,\nu} + z^* \sqrt{\frac{LFR_{i,\nu}^*(1-LFR_{i,\nu})}{n_{i,\nu,total}}} \right)$$

(Eq. 4)

Parameter	Unit	Туре	Value
CF _{i,v,LFR}	-	Calculated	This factor corrects the total number of
			lamps of type <i>i</i> by the share of these lamps
			that were found to be operational
			according to the sampling in period v . The
			statistical error is included in this
			parameter (confidence level 90%).
LFR _{i,v}	%	Monitored	Share of lamps of lamp type <i>i</i> in checked
			sample group $g_{i,v}$ not operational in
			period v.
Z	-	Given	Standard normal for a confidence level of
			90%
n _{i,v,total}	-	Monitored	Total number of lamps checked for which
			a valid result was obtained.

In line with the applied methodology and the registered PoA, project emissions and leakage emissions are not present and hence not included.

Paramete r Symbol	Definition	Value	Unit	Source
Ni,a	The total number of solar lamps of type <i>i</i> deployed in period <i>a</i>	1	Number	To be monitored
di,a,v	Average number of days lamps of type <i>i</i> that have beendeployed in period <i>a</i> were operating in period <i>v</i>	365	Days	Assumption for ex-ante emission reduction calculation
Li	Nominal lumen output of solarlamps of the type <i>I</i> deployed as part of the project activity	140.538	Lumen	The PoA-DD prescribes a cap of 140.538 Lumens for individual household with solar lighting systems implemented under the PoA in 2021 and hence a Lumen value of 140.538 is applied. For all solar lighting systems, the Lumen value will be capped at 140.538 for individual households.

	Average operating hours			Methodology
h	of kerosene lamps in the baseline	3.5	hrs/day	default
-	The specific light output of kerosene when burnt in a kerosene lantern	0.13	Lumen/ Watt	Jean-Paul Louineau, Modibo Dicko, Peter Fraenkel, RoyBarlow and Varis Bokalders; Rural Lighting: A Guide for Development Workers, Intermediate Technology (IT) Publications in association with The Stockholm Environment Institute 1994
	The specific CO2 emission factor of kerosene	0.0719	tCO2/GJ	2006 IPCC guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas inventories
CFi,v,LFR	This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type <i>i</i> by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period <i>v</i> . The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence level 90%).	100%		Estimate
<i>Emission Reduction per solar lighting system</i>	Emissions reductions generated by 1 solar lightingsystem	0.3575		Calculated

Leakage: No leakage emissions from solar lighting systems

Total emission reductions for solar lighting for 400,000 installations projected for Year 5= 400,000 X $0.3575 = 142,989 \text{ tCO}_2$

B.6.4. Summary of ex ante estimates of each SDG outcome

For Water Purification Systems

SDG 1: No Poverty²⁵

Year	Baseline	Project	Net benefit
	estimate	estimate	
Year-1	0	0	0
Year-2	0	0	0
Year-3	5.67%	100%	94.33%
Year-4	5.67%	100%	94.33%
Year-5	5.67%	100%	94.33%
Total	5.67%	100%	94.33%
Total number of	5		
crediting years			
Annual average over the crediting period	5.67%	100%	94.33%
the creating period			

SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year-1	0	0	0
Year-2	0	0	0
Year-3	0	24,526	24,526
Year-4	0	24,526	24,526
Year-5	0	24,526	24,526
Total	0	24,526	24,526
Total number of crediting years	5		·

²⁵ Installation in Year-1 and 2 are based on actuals. From year-3 onwards, estimated numbers have been added.

Annual average	0	14,716	14,716
over the crediting			
period			

SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

Year	Baseline	Project	Net benefit
	estimate	estimate	
Year-1	0	0	0
Year-2	0	0	0
Year-3	0	26,000	26,000
Year-4	0	26,000	26,000
Year-5	0	26,000	26,000
Total	0	26,000	26,000
Total number of	5		
crediting years			
Annual average over	0	15,600	15,600
the crediting period			

SDG 13: Climate Action²⁶

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year-1	0	0	0
Year-2	0	0	0
Year-3	75,067	0	75,067
Year-4	75,067	0	75,067
Year-5	75,067	0	75,067

²⁶ This VPA has issued 0 CERs for WPS under CDM till 31st December 2020. The same has been reflected in Year-1 and 2 (pro-rated). For rest of the years, estimated value has been presented.

Total	225,200	0	225,200
Total number of crediting years	5		
Annual average over the crediting period	45,040	0	45,040

For Solar Lighting Systems

SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year-1	0	125,224	125,224
Year-2	0	125,224	125,224
Year-3	0	240,000	240,000
Year-4	0	300,000	300,000
Year-5	0	400,000	400,000
Total	0	400,000	400,000
Total number of crediting years	5		
Annual average over the crediting period		238,090	238,090

SDG 13: Climate Action²⁷

Year	Baseline	Project	Net benefit
	estimate	estimate	
Year-1	11,784	0	11,784
Year-2	35,402	0	35,402

²⁷ This VPA has issued 26,214 CERs for SLS under CDM till 31st December 2020. The same has been reflected in Year-1 and 2 (pro-rated). For rest of the years, estimated value has been presented.

Year-3	85,793	0	85,793
Year-4	107,242	0	107,242
Year-5	142,989	0	142,989
Total	383,210	0	383,210
Total number of crediting years	5		

SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth²⁸

Year	Baseline	Project	Net benefit
	estimate	estimate	
Year-1	0	20	20
Year-2	0	20	20
Year-3	0	20	20
Year-4	0	20	20
Year-5	0	20	20
Total	0	20	20
Total number of -5 crediting years			
Annual average over the crediting period	0	20	20

²⁸ Total employment generation irrespective of technology type

B.7. Monitoring plan

B.7.1. Data and parameters to be monitored

SDG 13 (For Solar Lighting Systems)

Data / Parameter	Ln
Unit	Lumens
Description	Lumen output of each solar lamp n deployed as part of the project activity
Source of data	Table 5, 2021 value in section B.4 of the PDD
Value(s) applied	140.54
Measurement methods and procedures	Will be recorded at time of sale/installation in MEC Credit Tracker system
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Each light installation will be geocoded (GPS coordinate or other specific location data) or provide address/location of household in the MEC Tracker System. Associated data will reside in the MEC Tracker Database, allowing each installation to be monitored on a regular basis.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	If lamp types allow for different settings of light intensity, the conservative value shall be chosen unless an accurate average value is substantiated through a representative sample survey (90% confidence interval +/- 10% error). A variety of solar lighting systems will be offered under the VPA. The lumen output for the models sold under the VPA will be used for calculating the final emission reduction.
	In line with the information given in the eligibility criteria section in this VPA-DD, the lumen value for solar lighting systems in this VPA will be capped at 140.54 Lumen for individual households (based on Table 4 for the year 2021).

Data / Parameter	N _{i,a}
Unit	Lamps
Description	Total number of solar lamps of type i that have been deployed in period a
Source of data	Primary data collected by PO/CPA implementer and recorded in Credit Tracker
Value(s) applied	400,000- Year 5
Measurement methods and procedures	<i>Target population:</i> all solar lamps of type <i>i</i> that have been deployed
	<i>Objective:</i> Establish the number of solar lamps of type <i>i</i> deployed during period <i>a</i> as part of the proposed SSC-CPA.
	Description and Reliability Requirements: Primary data collection
	No sampling is applied to this parameter. All deployed solar lamps of type <i>i</i> will be recorded.
	$N_{i,a}$ is adjusted according to actual operational days during a given monitoring period y. The sales date for each solar lamp of type <i>i</i> listed in Credit Tracker for proposed SSC-CPA signifies the start of operation for each solar lamp. The operational days of each solar lamp is divided by the total number of days of the current monitoring period to determine the adjusted $N_{i,a}$ number of solar lamps of type <i>i</i> in operation.
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Each light installation will be geocoded (GPS coordinates or other specific location identifiers) in the MEC Tracker System. Associated data will reside in the MEC Tracker Database, allowing each installation to be monitored on a regular basis. The data in MEC tracker system can be crosschecked with the MIS system of the PO.

Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Data / Parameter	d _{i,a,v}
Unit	Days
Description	Average number of days lamps of type i that have been deployed in period a were operating in period v
Source of data	Monitoring partner, Credit Tracker
Value(s) applied	365
Measurement methods and procedures	Exact date of sale (in the case of solar lights) and installation (in the case of solar lighting systems) for all clean energy products is tracked by monitoring partners and recorded in Credit Tracker. For products newly sold/installed in period v, the date of sale or installation will be used to calculate total days of operation in period v. For products sold/installed prior to period v, $d_{i,a,v}$ will be equal to the total number of days in period v. <i>Target population:</i> all solar lamps of type <i>i</i> that have been deployed <i>Objective:</i> Establish the number of days solar lamps of type I that have been deployed in period a were operating in period v.
Monitoring frequency	No sampling is applied to this parameter. Annual
	Results will be checked by contracted verifier
QA/QC procedures	Calculation of baseline emissions
Purpose of data	
Additional comment	The data in MEC tracker system can be crosschecked with the MIS system of the PO. Contracted verifier will check results.

Data / Parameter	Н
Unit	Hours/day

Gold Standard	Climate Security and Sustainable Development
---------------	--

Description	Average operating hours of kerosene lamps in the baseline
Source of data	Default value from par.8(c) of AMS I.A version I4. Updated value will come from field survey results in baseline population.
Value(s) applied	3.5 or other baseline value based on field surveys or other available data before implementation
Measurement methods and procedures	AMS I.A version 14 par.8(c) states: For the specific case of lighting devices a daily usage of 3.5 hours shall be assumed, unless it is demonstrated that the actual usage hours adjusted for seasonal variation of lighting is different based on representative sample survey (90% confidence interval +/-10% error) done for minimum of 90 days. In practice, usage of more than 3.5 hours/day is expected. A representative sample survey (90% confidence interval +/- 10% error) within the baseline population may be conducted. The results of the survey shall be checked during the following periodic verification by the contracted verifier and shall afterwards
	permanently replace the default value used for the relevant VPA.
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Results will be checked by contracted verifier
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Data / Parameter	LFR _{i,v}
Unit	%
Description	Lamp failure rate: Share of lamps of lamp type i in checked sample group $g_{i,v}$ not operational in period v
Source of data	Monitoring partner, Credit Tracker
Value(s) applied	0% (Ex-ante estimate). The real LFR shall be determined during annual monitoring

Measurement methods and procedures	CME/PO/Monitoring partner will track usage status of all lamps (or solar lighting systems) from each quarterly of the year with results recorded in Credit Tracker. Any lamps that are non-operational (due to failure or disuse by owner) will be recorded as "failed" lamps. Lamp failure rate will be calculated as: LFR = (Number of failed lamps/Total number of lamps monitored)
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	The lamp failure rate will also be checked by the verifier. The LFR measure in use based on regular monitoring for the full portfolio of lamps will be down-rated as appropriate according to the verifier rating.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Data / Parameter	<i>CF_{i,v,LFR}</i>
Unit	%
Description	This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type i by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period v. The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence level 90%) when 90/10 precision is not met. Otherwise, the mean value of LFR will be used.
Source of data	LFR _{i,v}
Value(s) applied	100%
Measurement methods and procedures	The value is calculated using the recorded value for $LFR_{i,v}$ – $CF_{i,v,LFR} = 1 - \left(LFR_{i,v} + z * \sqrt{\frac{LFR_{i,v} * (1 - LFR_{i,v})}{n_{i,v,total}}}\right)$
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	This value is calculated based on the results of other monitored parameters. Calculation results will be checked by the CME to confirm accuracy.

Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Data / Parameter	n,i,v,total
Unit	Lamps
Description	Total number of lamps checked for which a valid result was obtained.
Source of data	Monitoring partner, Credit Tracker
Value(s) applied	100%
Measurement methods and procedures	CME/PO/Monitoring partner will randomly and representatively track households contacted and reached for monitoring lamp usage status for each lamp type <i>i</i> in the monitoring period, <i>p</i> . This data will be recorded in Credit Tracker. Survey methods will be used.
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Results will be checked by contracted verifier.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Data / Parameter	Kerosene Usage in the Baseline
Unit	n/a
Description	Determination of whether or not the end user used kerosene for lighting prior to the project activity
Source of data	Primary data collected by PO/CME/monitoring partner and recorded in Credit Tracker
Value(s) applied	100% For solar lighting system sales to be included in the VPA, data will be provided on the number of end users who confirmed using kerosene in the absence of the project activity
Measurement methods and procedures	Target population: all end users who purchased a solar lamp under a VPA included in this PoA

	Objective: Confirm whether or not the end user used kerosene for lighting prior to the project activity
	Description and Reliability Requirements: Primary data collection
	No sampling is applied to this parameter. All end users who purchased a solar lamp will be tracked.
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Each light installation will be geocoded (GPS coordinates or other specific location identifiers) in the MEC Tracker System. Associated data will reside in the MEC Tracker Database, allowing each installation to be monitored on a regular basis.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

SDG 13 (For Water Purification Systems)

Parameter ID	SDWS 18
Data/parameter	$M_{q,y}$
Unit	Fraction
Description	Ongoing water quality indicated as the fraction of the samples that pass microbial quality standard requirements specified in relevant microbial quality standard for drinking water of the host country. In case a national standard is not available, the water quality shall comply with WHO Guideline values for verification of microbial quality i.e., all water directly intended for drinking must not have detectable E.Coli in any 100 ml sample i.e., less than 1 Colony Forming Unit (CFU) of E.Coli /100 ml
Source of data	Testing of water at the exits of the treatment technology for a representative sample of end-users
Value(s) applied	1
Measurement methods and procedures	-
Monitoring Frequency	Annual sampling, and the first round of testing shall be conducted at least after six months from the start date.
QA/QC Procedures	 Laboratories used for water quality testing must be approved by local health authorities and/or have quality accreditation; and The laboratory used must demonstrate that it has an adequate quality management plan in place which addresses both quality assurance and quality control test procedures. Field testing kits also are eligible, e.g. based on Colony Forming Unit method or Most Probable Number method. To use the field testing kits the project shall meet the following requirements: a. Testing kits must be approved by national agency or meet standards set by relevant international organisation e.g. US-EPA, and b. Testing kits shall be tested for its accuracy and robustness prior to application for project level monitoring, whereby local or accredited laboratory shall conduct water quality tests using testing kits and a relevant ISO standard or an equivalent standard, in parallel with field testing kits.

	4. Follow 4.2 General requirements for sampling. The sampling results shall satisfy at minimum the 90/10 rule.
Purpose of data	Related to water quality
Additional comment	If the proportion of samples not meeting Safe Drinking Water Quality Standards exceeds a threshold, no emission reductions will be claimed for the corresponding monitoring period. Thresholds: - Project or VPA year 1: 20% - Project or VPA year 2: 15% - Project or VPA year 3 or above: 10% When the crediting period is renewed, the year number count continues, i.e. the second crediting period would encompass year 6, year 7, year 8, etc. Additionally, when the threshold is exceeded, the project shall provide an explanation for why this occurred and provide a remediation plan.

Parameter ID	SDWS 20
Data/parameter	Water hygiene education campaigns
Unit	-
Description	Hygiene campaigns carried out among project safe water end-users.
Source of data	Report of annual hygiene campaigns results
Value(s) applied	-
Measurement methods and procedures	The following guidelines apply for conducting these campaigns -The project developer shall report the activities conducted each year in a detailed "Report of annual hygiene campaigns results" and summarize the results in the project monitoring reports. - Any major changes in the health status of the water users as a result of contaminated water (e.g. an outbreak of water related disease) must be reported and, if relevant, a strategy put in place to address it through the subsequent hygiene campaign.

	 The detailed method used to assess hygienic handling of clean water must be provided with the PDD and verified by the VVB. The details of the method should be adjusted to suit the circumstances of each project and also to suit learning year on year. The impacts of the hygiene campaign shall be assessed using the WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme Core questions for drinking water and hygiene to determine the fraction of the households and institutions where Safe water and Hygiene practices are found to fulfill "safely managed" or "basic" requirements. In-person or telephone or by messaging (e.g. text, app) based survey shall be conducted covering all the JMP core questions for drinking water and core questions for hygiene. For sampling requirements, follow section 4.2 General requirements for sampling of the methodology. The JMP core questions for households, schools and health care facilities are available at https://washdata.org/monitoring/methods/core-questions
Monitoring Frequency	Annual
QA/QC Procedures	The fraction of the households where Safe water and Hygiene practices are found to fulfill "safely managed" or "basic" requirements is expected to increase over time as a result of the hygiene campaigns.
Purpose of data	Monitoring of SDG 6
Additional comment	-

Parameter ID	SDWS 22
Data/parameter	X _{cleanboil,y}
Unit	Percentage
Description	Proportion of project end-users that boil safe (treated, or from safe supply) water after installation of project technology in year y.
Source of data	Project survey
Value(s) applied	0

Measurement methods and procedures	Sampling survey is carried out to determine the value
Monitoring Frequency	Annual
QA/QC Procedures	-
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	For sampling, follow the section 4.2 General requirements for sampling, below.

Parameter ID	SDWS 24
Data/parameter	QPW _p
Unit	Litres/person/day
Description	Volume of drinking water per person per day for premises type p
Source of data	Option 1: Apply the default value per person. In the case of institutions, such as schools, the value should reflect the expected drinking water use per person while on the premises of the institution, in line with the following defaults: - Full-day premises: 4 L/person/day - Boarding school: 4 L/person/day - Half-time premises: 3 L/person/day
	Option 2: Water Consumption Field Tests. - In all cases, the value is capped at 5.5 L/person/day
	The water consumption field test (WCFT) measures project- supplied clean water consumption volumes. The WCFT is conducted with end users representative of the project scenario target population and currently using the project technology. The WCFT must be designed to ensure that monitoring is representative of typical technology use practices and that: - it is transparent and can easily be replicated, - it is evidently conservative, - the sample is randomly selected so as to not introduce a material bias, and

	- the impact of daily and seasonal variations on the expected average water consumption is accounted for
	The WCFT must be conducted over 3 days, not including weekends, and averaged value (I/person/day) value should be determined after excluding outliers. It must be made explicit to the households/institutions that they must behave and consume water normally, reflecting typical daily water consumption pattern. Any sampling methods can be used, provided that the sample is selected randomly. Minimum sample size for HWT is 30. In case of IWT, the minimum sample size shall be determined considering the project technology type and in line with the sampling approach applied. For minimum sample size requirements for different sampling approach Guidelines for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities
Value(s) applied	5.5 (Option 2)
Measurement methods and procedures	Sampling survey is carried out to determine the value
Monitoring Frequency	Annual
QA/QC Procedures	-
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	For sampling, follow the section 4.2 General requirements for sampling, below.

Parameter ID	SDWS 25
Data/parameter	$HN_{p,y}$
Unit	-
Description	Number of individuals per premises type p in the project boundary in year y
Source of data	Project survey/Official Government Statistics For ex-ante India census 2011 for states has been used (https://censusindia.gov.in/census.website/data/census-tables)
Value(s) applied	Kerala – 4.2 Karnataka – 4.5

Measurement methods and procedures	Sampling for project survey should be carried out following the General requirements for sampling as per section 4.2.
Monitoring Frequency	Annual
QA/QC Procedures	CME/PO to conduct surveys with expert party assistance or training will be provided to enumerators and testers.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	The value applied shall be cross-checked against at least one other source on the list. For cross-check purposes, sources applied may be up to 5 years old. Further, cross-check with older sources may be used provided they provide conservative results.

Parameter ID	SDWS 28
Data/parameter	$N_{p,y}$
Unit	Number
Description	Accumulated number of premises type p with at least one individual project technology in year y
Source of data	Sales or distribution records
Value(s) applied	26,000 (Year-5)
Measurement methods and procedures	The no. of units distributed shall be recorded and data maintained for no. of units in each household.
Monitoring Frequency	Annual
QA/QC Procedures	 Sales or distribution records to include Date of sale/distribution Geographic area of sale Model/type of project technology sold Quantity of project technologies sold Name and telephone number, and address (if available) or other traceable indicator of premises identity and location for all end users.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions

Additional comment	Units shall not be counted in $N_{p,y}$ after the end of their
	technical life, unless this is addressed by the measures to
	manage the cases where the expected technical life of the
	project technology is shorter than the crediting period,
	namely replacement or retrofit as described in the parameter
	SDWS 7.

Parameter ID	SDWS 29
Data/parameter	$U_{p,Y}$
Unit	Percentage
Description	Usage rate of the project technology by premises type p during year y
Source of data	Option 1: In-person survey of project premises. Households that show at least once-in-two-days use may be counted as users. The resulting fraction is multiplied by 100% to get $U_{p,y}$. Option 2: Survey performed by telephone or messaging (e.g. text, app), covering topics 1, 2 and 3 of Annex-1 of the methodology. Households that report at least once-in-two- days use may be counted as users. The resulting fraction is multiplied by 75% to get $U_{p,y}$. Where project technologies of different ages are being credited, the sample shall be representative of the distribution of project technology ages. The minimum sample size for HWT - for individual technology age group shall be minimum 30 household. For minimum sample size requirements for different sampling approach <i>Guidelines for sampling and surveys for</i> <i>CDM project activities and programmes of activities</i> to be followed.
Value(s) applied	Option 1: 100% (Assumed for ex-ante calculation)
Measurement methods and procedures	In-person representative survey
Monitoring Frequency	Annual
QA/QC Procedures	Where a WCFT is undertaken to determine QPW_p , this may be used to cross check the usage percentage.

Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	The usage survey provides a single usage parameter that is representative for project technologies in the total sales record.

Parameter ID	SDWS 30
Data/parameter	$t_{p,Y}$
Unit	Hours per day
Description	Usage time of the project technology by premises type p in year y
Source of data	Determined via project survey Option 1: Observational sample-based survey of project household practices. Option 2: Interview survey performed by telephone or
	messaging (e.g. text, app).
	Option 3. Default of 5 hours
Value(s) applied	5 (Option 3 for ex ante estimation)
Measurement methods and procedures	Default Value of 5 hours
Monitoring Frequency	Annual
QA/QC Procedures	-
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Parameter ID	SDWS 31
Data/parameter	$DP_{p,y}$
Unit	Days

Description	Average days the project technology is present for end-users in the premises p in year y
Source of data	Sales or distribution records. Based on the sales or distribution records of "Date of sale/distribution" and ex-ante parameter "Expected technical life of project technology," determine for each project device how many days of the 365 days of the year it was in the premises and within its technical life. Calculate the average for all the project technology by premises type p to obtain this parameter.
Value(s) applied	365
Measurement methods and procedures	Ex-ante it is assumed to be present 365 days. However ex-post, based on the sales or distribution records of "Date of sale/distribution" and ex-ante parameter "Expected technical life of project technology," it shall be determined for each project device how many days of the 365 days of the year it was in the premises and within its technical life. The average for all the project technology by premises type p shall be calculated to obtain this parameter.
Monitoring Frequency	Annual
QA/QC Procedures	For schools and other institutions, as applicable, the days must also be limited by the number of school days in the period, taking into account weekends and holidays.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Parameter ID	SDWS 32
Data/parameter	$DN_{p,y}$
Unit	Number
Description	Average number of individual project technologies in each project premises type p in year y
Source of data	Sales or distribution records. Based on the sales or distribution records of "Quantity of project technologies sold" and identifying information of buyer/recipient, calculate the average number of project devices per premises. If the

	project covers different types of end-users (e.g. households, institutions), the average number must be determined per premises type p
Value(s) applied	1
Measurement methods and procedures	Based on the sales or distribution records of "Quantity of project technologies sold" and identifying information of buyer/recipient, the average number of project devices per premises are calculated. Ex-ante it is assumed that only 1 device will be sold per household. If the project covers different types of end-users (e.g. households, institutions), the average number must be determined per premises type p.
Monitoring Frequency	Annual
QA/QC Procedures	-
Purpose of data	Estimation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	Applies to HWT and IWT project

Parameter ID	SDWS 35
Data/parameter	LE _y
Unit	tCO ₂ e per year
Description	Leakage emissions during year y
Source of data	Sources established by following Leakage emissions section
Value(s) applied	5% (ex-ante estimation)
Measurement methods and procedures	As per section 3.8.3 of the methodology, "If the ex-ante evaluation shows that leakage emissions are less than 5% of total emission reductions, then no monitoring is needed, and emission reductions simply shall be adjusted 5% down." Therefore, the Emission reductions have been adjusted by 5% to account for leakage emissions.
Monitoring Frequency	Every two years
QA/QC Procedures	Compliance with the general requirements for sampling and general requirements for data and information sources

Purpose of data	Estimation of baseline emissions			
Additional comment	Monitoring parameters required for calculating leakage			
	emissions shall be included in the monitoring plan in the PDD			
	as required to monitor and quantify the sources of leakage			
	determined by following the Leakage emissions section.			

SDG 1

Data / Parameter	BSA / HHS	
Unit	Number	
Description	Access to basic service to households/institutions	
Source of data	WPS distribution records Ex-post Monitoring Survey Records	
Value(s) applied	94.33% (only for WPS)	
Measurement methods and procedures	Monitoring and recording of number of WPSdistributed under the project	
Monitoring frequency	Annual	
QA/QC procedures	-	
Purpose of data	SDG 1 contribution	
Additional comment	-	

SDG 6

Data / Parameter	Number of beneficiaries
Unit	-
Description	Number of households served with safely managed water services
Source of data	Calculated
Value(s) applied	WPS-14,716
Measurement methods	Using formula,
and procedures	Np,y*(1-Cb)*Up,y*Mq,y

Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	-
Purpose of data	Monitoring of SDG 6
Additional comment	-

SDG 7

Data / Parameter	AACS _{HH}
Unit	Number
Description	Number of households having access to affordable, reliable and modern project WPS.
Source of data	WPS/SLS distribution records
Value(s) applied	15,600 WPS (annual average) 238,090 SLS (annual average)
Measurement methods and procedures	Monitor the number of WPS/SLS distributed under the project as an indicator of providing reliable, clean and modern technology (relative to baseline stoves).
Monitoring frequency	Continuous
QA/QC procedures	-
Purpose of data	SDG 7 contribution
Additional comment	-

SDG 8

Data / Parameter	QE IG
Unit	Number
Description	Quantitative Employment and income generation
Source of data	Employment records
Value(s) applied	20
Measurement methods and procedures	Recording the number of employees (male / female) in the project under administrative, sales, production and management positions
Monitoring frequency	Annually
QA/QC procedures	-
Purpose of data	SDG 8 contribution

Additional comment

B.7.2. Sampling plan

>> <u>Solar Lighting System</u>

To reduce monitoring efforts a single sample is drawn based on which all of the parameters determined via sampling shall be monitored. The CME will determine the number of users/appliances monitored during sampling for each of the parameters separately. The reason is that the variation within the values obtained will be different for each parameter. Since the precision of a sampled parameter depends on the variation of its values, the necessary number of users/appliances to be monitored in order to achieve the 5% or 10% precision will also depend on the variation of values. Therefore, although the monitoring team will undertake monitoring of various parameters simultaneously and on the same sample, the PP may decide to stop monitoring of a particular parameter during the campaign once the required precision for this parameter is achieved. The monitoring team will continue to monitor appliances in the sample with respect to the remaining parameter(s) until again the required precision for these parameters is achieved. The monitoring team will continue to monitor appliances in the sample with respect to the remaining parameter(s) until again the required precision for these parameters is achieved.

Sampling Methodology

Simple random sampling method will be applied through all VPAs. A simple random sample is a subset of a population (e.g. villages, individuals, buildings, pieces of equipment) chosen randomly, such that each element (or unit) of the population has the same probability of being selected. The sample-based estimate (mean or proportion) is an unbiased estimate of the population parameter. Simple random sampling is conceptually straightforward and easy to implement – provided that a sampling frame of all elements of the population exists. Its simplicity makes it relatively easy to analyse the collected data. It is also appropriate when only minimum information of the population is known in advance of the data collection. The costs of data collection under simple random sampling could be higher than other sampling approaches when the population is large and geographically dispersed.

Sampling Size

Sample size shall be determined using the following formula:

$$n \ge \frac{1.645^2 N \times p(1-p)}{(N-1) \times 0.12 \times p2 + 1.645^2 p(1-p)}$$

Where:

- (c) Minimum required number of clusters to be sampled.
- Confidence:
 - 0.90% = 1.645 (as indicated in the formula above)
 - 0.95% = 1.96 (1.645 in formula will be replaced)
- Precision:
 - o 10% = 0.1 (as indicated in the formula above)
 - o 5% = 0.05 (0.1 in formula will be replaced)

Sampling frame

Sample sizes will be sufficient to ensure that the precision of the sample means/proportions are in accordance to the Sampling Frame established for the VPA within the PoA to estimate emissions reductions. In cases where survey results indicate that the desired precision is not achieved, the lower bound value of corresponding confidence interval of the parameter value may be used as an alternative to repeat the survey. Alternatively, the survey may be expanded to reach the required confidence/precision. To ensure a simple random sample selection, random number generators shall be applied. Each SLS in the target population is uniquely identifiable by its Serial ID number. Each CEP can thus be allocated a Sample Selection Number in each monitoring period, starting at 1 and increasing up to the total number of CEPs in the Database for that pre-defined simple random sampling frame. Applying the random number generators, the CEP can then be randomly chosen from the defined population up to the required sample size as calculated by the CME.

Water Purification System

If homogeneity of population can be demonstrated, or differences are taken into account (stratification) in the sample size calculation then 90/10 confidence/precision will be applied. A statistically valid sample can be used to determine parameter values, as per the relevant requirements for sampling in the "Guidelines for Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities, version 4.0" Minimum 90% confidence interval and a 10% margin of error requirement shall be achieved for the sampled parameters. In any case, for proportion parameter values, a minimum sample size of 30, or the whole group size if this is lower than 30, must always be applied. Further, cross-VPA sampling is not accepted across groups larger than 10 VPAs

Sampling objective

The sampling objective for each parameter is to determine a statistically significant parameter value for the emission reduction calculations through a sampling survey

and/or test. The parameters to be sampled are classified into monitored parameters and monitoring parameters where sampling is done ex-ante and annually respectively, as follows:

Parameter	Description of Parameter	Type of survey	
Water sources in Project	Water sources in Project boundary	Baseline	
boundary			
Stove technologies used	Stove technologies used in project	Baseline	
in project boundaries	boundaries		
Percentage of fuel f used	Percentage of fuel f used in the	Baseline	
in the target population	target population		
Average time saving	Average time saving associated with	Baseline	
associated with cooking	cooking and fuel collection		
and fuel collection			
n _{w,b}	Weighted average efficiency of the	Baseline	
	baseline water boiling devices.		
C _b	Proportion of project end-users who	Baseline	
	in the baseline were already using		
	safe water, either from an improved		
	water source, or from a water		
	treatment method other than boiling		

Monitoring	Description of Parameter	Monitoring	Type of
Parameter		frequency	survey
X _{cleanboil,y}	Proportion of project end-users that boil safe (treated, or from safe supply) water after installation of project technology in year y.	Annual	Project
М _{q,y}	Ongoing water quality indicated as the fraction of the samples that pass microbial quality standard requirements specified in relevant microbial quality standard for drinking water of the host country. In	Annual	Project

	case a national standard is not available, the water quality shall comply with WHO Guideline values for verification of microbial quality i.e., all water directly intended for drinking must not have detectable E.Coli in any 100 ml sample i.e., less than 1 Colony Forming Unit (CFU) of E.Coli /100 ml		
U _{p,y}	Usage rate of the project technology by premises type p during year y	Annual	Usage
QPW _p	Volume of drinking water per person per day for premises type p (L)	Annual	Usage
t _{p,y}	Usage time of the project technology by premises type p in year y	Annual	Project
HN _{p,y}	Number of individuals per premises type p in the project boundary in year y	Annual	Usage
DN _{p,y}	Average number of individual project technologies in each project premises type p in year y	Annual	Project

Sampling Size

<u>Usage/Project Survey</u>

- The minimum total sample size for Usage/Project Survey is 100 with at least 30 samples for project technologies of each age being credited. CME will conduct in person survey for usage and project survey.. Thus, if technologies of age 1-5 are credited, the usage survey must include 30 representative samples from each age for total of 150 samples. The resulting usage parameter should be weighted based on the proportion of technologies in the total sales record of each age.

Group size	Minimum sample size
<300	30 or population size, whichever is smaller
	Sindlei

300 to 1000	10% of group size
>1000	100

- In line with the applied methodology, any sampling methods can be used, provided that the sample is selected randomly. If sampling approach other than simple random sampling is applied, 'Guidelines for sampling and survey for CDM project activities and program of activities'²⁹ must be followed.

When applicable the Usage Survey and the Project Survey will be implemented together using the same sample.

Water quality testing

The sample for water quality testing will be made following the 90/10 precision rule indicated by the applied methodology.

B.7.3. Other elements of monitoring plan

>>

Monitoring for typical VPAs is described below. The monitoring activity provides a framework for project preparation and monitoring processes that will be undertaken at the VPA level for each VPA. This schedule takes into account the key parameters that are needed during the crediting period of the project. All required monitoring and documentation would be implemented, reported, consolidated and managed by the CME or a qualified expert partner to meet verification requirements. Monitored data will be stored in a suite of monitoring databases. These will be updated each monitoring period:

Summary:

1. Each PO keeps a record of all the CEPs it installs in the MEC Credit Tracker Platform. The record includes the name, date of installation, model of CEP and location of the product. All records are screened by the CME and crosschecked with the PO records to confirm the installation record is authentic and no double counting occurs.

2. The values of the two emission reduction parameters required for ex-post ER calculation – usage rate of the water purifiers $(U_{p,y})$, usage time $(t_{p,y})$, household size

²⁹_https://cdm.unfccc.int/sunsetcms/storage/contents/stored-file-20151023152925068/Meth_GC48_%28ver04.0%29.pdf <u>(site visited 03/06/2020)</u>

 $(HN_{p,y})$, volume of water consumed (QPW_p) , number of devices in the premises $(DN_{p,y})$, proportion of end users that boil safe water in the project year $(X_{cleanboil,y})$, water quality (M_q) , number of not operational SLS $(LFR_{i,v})$, average operating hours (h), average number of operational days of lamps $(d_{i,a,v})$ are found from sampling of CEP installations.

3. The records kept in the MEC Credit Tracker Platform relate to paper copies of title transfer agreements received from individual households.

Quality assurance

To increase the precision of the estimates during the survey, it is necessary to establish sampling mechanisms for avoiding non-sampling errors (bias) include good questionnaire design, well-tested questionnaires, possibly pilot testing the data collection. To remedy the incomplete questionnaires, additional households or schools will be drawn randomly until the required number is met at per the sample size determined. Then, well-trained personnel will scrutinize all the questionnaires. This will be a procedure to find outliers, and then outliers may be excluded and/or replaced. If the outliers are found according to the above analysis it will be omitted from the analysis if no such administrative errors exist. All monitored data such as name, date and contact information of the end-user will be archived in the electronic database tool. Hard copies of all documents will be kept at the office of CME or an alternative place nominated by CME. All the data will be used to calculate the sample size for parameters and emission reductions. All data stored to be kept for at least two years after the crediting period or the last issuance of CERs for the project activity.

Generalities

The CME along with the PO will coordinate all ex-post monitoring activities in the PoA. The CME isultimately responsible for implementing the monitoring plan, ensuring the quality of data obtained and the use of this data for emissions reduction calculations. The CME will provide the VVB with a single monitoring report for verification purposes for all VPA's requesting issuance together. However, the actual field measurements to be conducted during monitoring (e.g. WCFT and water testing) will most likely be performed by enumerator trained by CME or third parties contracted to the CME and/or PO. In the case of using contractors, however, the CME will still be responsible for setting the procedures and providing oversight and training to the contractors. The choice between conducting the actual monitoring activities itself or employing another organization (for example, local marketing firm, university etc) will depend on location, operational factors and financial factors. In any case, a local partner will be important for providing local insight in questionnaire design, interview technique and for gaining physical access to project beneficiaries to obtain accurate results during monitoring.

Parameter values shall be estimated by sampling in accordance with the requirements in the applied methodology separately and independently for each of the VPAs included in a PoA exceptwhen a single sampling plan covering a group of VPAs is undertaken, in which case 95/10 confidence/precision is applied for the sample size calculation. **Further, cross-VPA sampling is not accepted across groups larger than 10 VPAs**. A single sample plan will combine together the populations of all VPAs, and the sample size is determined and a single survey is undertaken to collect data, it may be feasible to undertake a single sampling and survey effort spread across geographic regions of several VPAs when either homogeneity of included VPAs relative to the fuel usage can be demonstrated or the differences among the included VPAs is taken into account in the sample size calculation, such as proportional and weighted averages. If a sampling plan is developed for each VPA, and where there is no specific guidance in the applicable methodology, project proponents shall use 90/10 confidence/precision as the criteria for reliability of sampling efforts for small-scale project activities (according to CDM-EB 69 Annex 4).

Sampling Objective – The sampling objective for each parameter is to determine via survey with statistically significant value for the emission reduction calculations.

Desired precision / expected variance and sample size -

To monitor the required parameters, data will be collected from a statistically valid sample in the database as per this sampling plan during the monitoring period. The monitoring frequency for each parameter will be followed as per the methodology applied. After that, the relevant data will be archived in the electronic database tool and be used to determine sample size for each parameter. As per the methodology the relevant requirements for sampling in the "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities" shall be followed. A 90% or 95% confidence interval and a 10% margin of error requirement shall be achieved for the sampled parameters. As per "Guidelines for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programme of Activities", version 04, the following Sampling plan has been developed.

The data from the survey will be analyzed to calculate the value of the parameters. Data will be used for the preparation of monitoring reports for each VPA. The results of all monitoring will be included in the CME's management database. Where it is found that an installed WPS/SLS is no longer in use, the installation will be removed from inclusion in the VPA.

During sampling there may be non-response from the target population. Over-sampling by 20% may be used to avoid non-response, however, sampling may be cease once required confidence/precision is met.

Implementation - The survey for collecting the details of monitoring parameters will be conducted at least annually at the end of the calendar year. The overall monitoring and the implementation of the sampling will be coordinated by the CME and the management staff. They will ensure successful monitoring of the emission reductions of the proposed project during its crediting period. Furthermore, the survey of the representative sample for the parameters will be carried out by the distributor together with the CME. The survey method that will be used by CME include: (a) Hard-copy questionnaires (b) Face to face interview (c) Telephone Interview.

All efforts of sampling will be conducted by qualified personnel who have undergone training as part of the programme. All the persons who carry out sampling plan will be required to speak the native language(s) allowing for a full understanding of any responses given by users and any questions therein. The date of all monitoring shall be recorded in the VPA database.

Primary data will be stored by the implementing entities/operators:

The MEC Credit Tracker Platform is used to keep detailed records of all installations under each VPA. Each installation is monitored annually to check usage status. The Project shall monitor a representative sample of households that have received both stoves and water technologies. All monitoring records are maintained in the Credit Tracker Platform.

1. The PO maintains in the Credit Tracker Platform a record of all clean energy products that are installed

2. The PO identifies the exact location of the CEP using GPS location and/or address of thehousehold or organization.

3. The emissions parameters required for ex-post management are also maintained in the CreditTracker Platform. These include the number of solar lighting systems still in operation, and then performance of the solar lighting systems. These parameters are determined through a samplingstudy as described above.

4. The CME uses the Credit Tracker Platform to cross-check the new records with the existing Platform in order to confirm that the installation record is authentic and that no double-countingoccurs.

5. The electronic files holding installation records are backed up on the Internet, reducing risk ofany loss of data.

6. All monitored data required for verification and issuance will be kept for two years after the end of the crediting period or the last issuance of CERs/VERs for the PoA, whichever occurs later. The unique system ID number which is linked to a GPS location and/or verified address eliminates anyrisk of double-counting between VPAs.

TECHNICAL FAILURE AND MAINTENANCE PROTOCOL

PO have a robust aftersales mechanism in place which ensures customer complaints are registered and resolved in a timely manner. The mechanism involves various steps:


- Step 1: Complain Registration
- Step 2: Logging complaint
- Step 3: Collection of product for repair
- Step 4: Resolution of the complaint
- Step 5: Feedback (optional)

Customers register complaint either through field staff of the PO who visit the customer on weekly or biweekly manner or directly call the customer support number provided to them during sale of the product. Most preferred mode of complaint registration is through field staff.

POs have in house complain logging systems (manual/automatic). Intimation is sent to supplier/manufacturer local service team. As soon as service team receives the complaint, within 48-72 hours depending on the location of the customer household, service team will visit the households for examination of the product. In case of minor issues, resolution happens on the spot however, if the problem is major then product is collected and taken to the nearest workshop.

Service team of the supplier/manufacturer is expected to resolve the issue within 30 days of receiving the complaint. Once the product is repaired, it is returned back to the

customer. In case product is beyond repair then replacement product is provided to end user by the PO.



Organizational Diagram of Monitoring Plan

Quality Assurance/Quality control

As the PoA is intended to include multiple regions within India with a high level of cultural diversity as well as different end user groups, there is no "one size fits all" approach for dealing with these issues. However, in order to avoid many of these problems the CME will undertake the following strategies, tailoring the specific approach to the local circumstances:

1) Ensuring end user awareness. At the time of sale, the CEP customer is made aware that they are required to participate in monitoring activities. This will be via training sales personnel to explain the importance of monitoring to each customer, and during regularly scheduled microfinance group meetings for end-users.

2) Questionnaire design. The design of the questionnaire will ensure that the questions are non-intrusive and easy to understand for both the interviewee and interviewer.

3) Drawing on local knowledge. The local contractors to be hired by the CME in each region will play an important role in tailoring the approach to suit local circumstances.

For example, in some instances, it may be essential for a local person to conduct the interview in order to obtain accurate results.

4) Quality of contractors. Any third parties hired by the CME to carry out sampling will be required to demonstrate a high level of cultural awareness, local language skills and appropriate experience with data entry and data management. The CME will ensure that contractors are adequately trained for the tasks they are contracted. Training will also be provided on how to deal with non-responses, refusals and other problems should these occur.

Hygiene Campaign

The hygiene campaign will be designed as per the guidelines laid out in the methodology. Water hygiene education campaigns will include the following activities:

- Educating customers on proper handling and storage of raw and processed water.
- Emphasizing the importance of hygiene to prevent infections or water borne diseases. The training campaigns would be organised during the product demonstrations and during weekly or monthly group meetings.
- Designing survey questionnaire for water filters to include all the necessary questions related to the project in line with JMP Core questionnaire by WHO/UNICEF. On annual basis, customers will be assessed to check the effectiveness of the education campaign. Customers will be selected randomly from the total population.

The project will report the activities conducted each year in the annual monitoring report. Any major changes in the health status of the water users as a result of contaminated water (e.g. an outbreak of water related disease) will be reported and, if relevant, a strategy put in place to address it through the hygiene campaign.

The program will be independently verified on a regular basis according to the rules of the applied UNFCCC CDM Methodology and Gold Standard methodology. The verifier will confirm the accuracy of the monitoring by visiting a sample of the installations.

SECTION C. DURATION AND CREDITING PERIOD

C.1. Duration of project

C.1.1. Start date of project

>>

21/06/2019 i.e. date of sale of first clean energy product (solar lighting system)

C.1.2. Expected operational lifetime of project

>>

15 years 0 month

C.2. Crediting period of project

C.2.1. Start date of crediting period

21/06/2019 i.e. the date of inclusion of the VPA as CPA-DD under CDM. Since the start date of the GS crediting period shall be same as the start date of the CDM crediting period, GS crediting period start date – 21/06/2019.

>>

C.2.2. Total length of crediting period

>>

CDM crediting period: 21 years from 21/06/2019

GS4GG crediting period: 15 years

CDM CERs claimed upto: 31/12/2020

GS4GG crediting period remaining: 01/01/2021 - 20/06/2034

SECTION D. SUMMARY OF SAFEGUARDING PRINCIPLES AND GENDER SENSITIVE ASSESSMENT

D.1. Safeguarding Principles that will be monitored

A completed Safeguarding Principles Assessment is in <u>Appendix 1</u>.

D.2. Assessment that project complies with GS4GG Gender Sensitive requirements

Question 1 - Explain how the project	The loc	cal	stakehold	ler	consultatio	on
reflects the key issues and requirements of Gender Sensitive design and	meeting gender se				following	а

implementation as outlined in the Gender Policy?	The project incorporated measures to ensure that there is no discrimination based on gender. The water purifiers distributed to all willing customers within the project boundary and the project will have a positive impact on women considering that they will spend less time on boiling water for treatment or walking for miles to collect water and fuel.
Question 2 - Explain how the project aligns with existing country policies, strategies and best practices	The project contribute towards the goal of host country policy by providing opportunity to save time used in either collecting fuelwood for boiling water to make it fit for drinking or travelling long distance to collect drinking water.
Question 3 - Is an Expert required for the Gender Safeguarding Principles & Requirements?	Not required. Safe Water Supply projects not following Gender responsive approach do not require to contract an expert as per Gender Equality Requirements & Guidelines. The project will have a positive impact on women included under the programme by providing them with water purification systems requiring minimal manual work
Question 4 - Is an Expert required to assist with Gender issues at the Stakeholder Consultation?	Not required. Safe Water Supply projects not following Gender responsive approach do not require to contract an expert as per Gender Equality Requirements & Guidelines.

SECTION E. SUMMARY OF LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

The below is a summary of the 2 step GS4GG Consultation for monitoring purposes. Please refer to the separate Stakeholder Consultation Report for a complete report on the initial consultation and stakeholder feedback round.

E.1. Summary of stakeholder mitigation measures

>>

Under CDM, LSC was conducted for the VPA before VPA inclusion. Details of the same has been provided below:

For solar lighting systems: Local stakeholder consultation meeting was held on 06/09/2018

Location of meeting: Floatel - 9/10 Kolkata Jetty, Opp SBI HQ Eastern Region, Strand Road, Kolkata - 700001

Stakeholders were invited by email to attend the conference calls (arranged at different times to accommodate various time zones). Stakeholders also had the option to submit comments by email. Stakeholders were notified of the meeting 15 days before the date of the meeting. Physical stakeholder consultation meetings were held by PO in Kolkata.

The invited stakeholders included representatives from NGOs, development agencies, and businesses working in issues of sustainable development, household-level clean energy, microfinance, and gender among low income populations in India. Minutes were recorded for all consultations, and additional feedback submitted by email was also recorded in the Local Stakeholder Consultation template.

For water purification systems:

A stakeholder consultation meeting was held on 04/09/2018.

Details are as follows - Location of meeting: SKDRDP Head Office Dharmasthala Stakeholders were invited by email and notices to attend in person or through telephonic conference calls (arranged at different times to accommodate various time zones on the same day 4th September 2018) which is arranged from PO's regional offices. Stakeholders also had the option to submit comments by email. Stakeholders were notified of the meeting 15 days before the date of the meeting. Physical stakeholder consultation meetings were held by PO in Dharmasthala on 04/09/2018. List of attendees is available in the stakeholder consultation meeting report. The invited stakeholders included representatives from NGOs, development agencies, and

businesses working in issues of sustainable development, household-level clean energy, microfinance, and gender among low income populations in India.

Supplementary stakeholder consultation meeting was conducted by CME to comply with the GS4GG requirements during transition. Physical stakeholder meeting was conducted on 1st October 2022 for Muthoot, 23rd September 2022 for Satin, 9th December 2022 for Sarala, 29th August 2022 for SKDRDP and 20th September 2022 for ESAF. The email invitations were sent atleast 30 days prior to the meeting. Relevant stakeholders were also invited via telephonic calls and hard copy invites. The agenda of the meeting was to explain the participants regarding the programme, technologies, benefits, carbon title transfer, social, economic and environmental impacts, safeguarding principles and grievance mechanism.

The consultation process included invitation to wide range of invitees to include effective and equal participation of both men and women. Prior to consultation, CME/PO had provided with the following documents in the language that allows local stakeholders to understand and engage with the project:

- Non-Technical summary with relevant information (local language)
- Summary of the economic, social and environmental impacts of the project
- Contact details of the CME/PO for technical and project related information
- Email ID of CME/PO was provided to the stakeholders

Meeting started with an opening remark by the PO followed by presentation on the clean energy programme. Subsequently, stakeholder feedback on SDG, monitoring plan, safeguarding principles were collected. Meeting was concluded by explaining the grievance mechanism system CME has put in place.

Stakeholder feedback round was initiated on 2nd September 2022 for Muthoot, 25th August 2022 for Satin, 23rd August 2022 for Sarala, 31/08/2022 for SKDRDP and 21/08/2022 for ESAF. The official closing email was sent on 10th October 2022 for Muthoot, 27th September for Satin, 10th December 2022 for Sarala, 07/09/2022 for SKDRDP and 27/09/2022 for ESAF. There were no negative comments which would need change in design of the project. Detailed SCR has been submitted.

	intinuous input / gnevance mechanism
Method	Include all details of Chosen Method (s) so that they may be understood and, where relevant, used by readers.
	Continuous input / Grievance Expression process book is available at
Continuous	partner local offices.
Input / Grievance Expression Process Book (mandatory)	By maintaining feedback book at the local office, it is ensured that stakeholders that don't have access to electronic media for expressing concerns / grievances are also able to share their concerns / feedback. Additionally, the end users always have an option to contact the partner organization (representative of MFI/ manufacturers etc.) in case of any feedback / complaints with the product post distribution.
GS Contact (mandatory)	help@goldstandard.org
Other	Email: <u>info@muthoot.com</u> , <u>clientgrievance@satincreditcare.com</u> , grievance.officer@sarala.co.in

E.2. Final continuous input / grievance mechanism

APPENDIX 1 - SAFEGUARDING PRINCIPLES ASSESSMENT

Complete the Assessment below and copy all Mitigation Measures for each Principle into <u>SECTION D</u> above. Please refer to the instructions in the <u>Guide to Completing</u> this Form below.

Assessment Questions/ Requirements	Justification of Relevance (Yes/potentiall y/no)	How Project will achieve Requirements through design, management or risk mitigation.	Mitigation Measures added to the Monitoring Plan (if required)
Principle 1. Human Rights			
 The Project Developer and the Project shall respect internationally proclaimed human rights and shall not be complicit in violence or human rights abuses of any kind as defined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights 		The constitution of host country (India) considers it a legal offence to violate human rights during any business activity. India endorses the United	N/A
2. The Project shall not discriminate with regards to participation and inclusion	No	Nations Guiding Principles (UNGPs) on Business and Human Rights adopted in the UN Human Rights Council (UNHRC) in 2011. The CME complies with the legal requirements of the host	N/A

		country. This is not violated at any point during the project The VPA does not discriminate with regards to participation and inclusion	
Principle 2. Gender Equality	•		
 The Project shall not directly or indirectly lead to/contribute to adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women Sexual harassment and/or any forms of violence against women – address the multiple risks of gender-based violence, including sexual exploitation or human trafficking. 		The project activity doesn't endorse any form of discrimination based on gender. Water Purification Systems (WPS) will be distributed to all willing customers within the project boundary. The project	
 Slavery, imprisonment, physical and mental drudgery, punishment or coercion of women and girls. 	No	spend less time on boiling water	N/A
c. Restriction of women's rights or access to resources (natural or economic).		for treatment or walking for miles to collect water and fuel. The projects shall apply the	
 d. Recognise women's ownership rights regardless of marital status – adopt project measures where possible to support to women's access to inherit and own land, homes, and other assets or natural resources. 		principles of non-discrimination and would pay equally to people employed. The Project takes into account the National Policy for the	

 Projects shall apply the principles of non- discrimination, equal treatment, and equal pay for equal work Where appropriate for the implementation of a VPA, paid, volunteer work or community contributions will be organised to provide the conditions for equitable participation of men and women in the identified tasks/activities. 	Empowerment of Women (2011) ³⁰ in the "advancement of gender equality and empowerment of women". The Project is designed to empower women and improve livelihoods. No gender risks are envisaged in the PoA	
 b. Introduce conditions that ensure the participation of women or men in Project activities and benefits based on pregnancy, maternity/paternity leave, or marital status. 		
 c. Ensure that these conditions do not limit the access of women or men, as the case may be, to VPA participation and benefits. 		
3. The Project shall refer to the country's national gender strategy or equivalent national commitment to aid in assessing gender risks		

³⁰ National Policy for the Empowerment of Women | National Portal of India- by Ministry of Women and Child Development

 (where required) Summary of opinions and recommendations of an Expert Stakeholder(s) 			
Principle 3. Community Health, Safety and Working	Conditions		
 The Project shall avoid community exposure to increased health risks and shall not adversely affect the health of the workers and the community 	No	The project doesn't expose the community to increased health risks and is not adversely affecting the health of workers and the community. Use of WPS will contribute in improving the health of users as compared to baseline by reducing the smoke from baseline stoves used to boil water and decrease in chances of water-borne diseases through an efficient and zero GHG emission device. The workers participating in the project activity are not exposed to unsafe or unhealthy work environments as the sale/distribution of WPS or the monitoring activities of the project will not include any hazardous chemicals or other	N/A

		hazardous material.			
Principle 4.1 Sites of Cultural and Historical Heritage					
 Does the Project Area include sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture? 	No	Since this is a WPS/SLS project distributed to households, there is no risk to cultural, historical, traditional or religious values.	N/A		
Principle 4.2 Forced Eviction and Displacement					
 Does the Project require or cause the physical or economic relocation of peoples (temporary or permanent, full or partial)? 	No	Since this is a WPS/SLS project at household level, there is no risk of forced eviction and displacement.	N/A		
Principle 4.3 Land Tenure and Other Rights					
 Does the Project require any change, or have any uncertainties related to land tenure arrangements and/or access rights, usage rights or land ownership? 	No	Since this is a WPS project, there is no risk of uncertainty due to land rights/ownership.	N/A		
Principle 4.4 Indigenous People	•	•			
 Are indigenous peoples present in or within the area of influence of the Project and/or is the Project located on land/territory claimed by indigenous peoples? 	No	Since this is a safe water supply and solar lighting project at household/institution level, there is no risk to land/territory claimed by indigenous people. Safe water and solar devices will be distributed to all willing	N/A		

		customers within the project boundary.	
Principle 5. Corruption			
 The Project shall not involve, be complicit in or inadvertently contribute to or reinforce corruption or corrupt Projects 	No	CME/VPA implementor will ensure that the project doesn't involve, be complicit in or inadvertently contribute to or reinforce corruption or corrupt Projects.	N/A
Principle 6.1 Labour Rights			
 The Project Developer shall ensure that all employment is in compliance with national labour occupational health and safety laws and with the principles and standards embodied in the ILO fundamental conventions 	No	The VPA does not involve any forced labour and the CME/VPA Implementer ensures that all employment is in compliance with local labour regulations and	N/A
 Workers shall be able to establish and join labour organisations 		laws. The CME puts no constraints / limitation on employees to form a union.	
 3. Working agreements with all individual workers shall be documented and implemented and include: a. Working hours (must not exceed 48 hours per week on a regular basis), AND b. Duties and tasks, AND 		The CME's policies and employment contracts are compliant with the requirement The CME does not promote / or is complicit in child labour.	

d. e.	Remuneration (must include provision for payment of overtime), AND Modalities on health insurance, AND Modalities on termination of the contract with provision for voluntary resignation by employee, AND Provision for annual leave of not less than 10 days per year, not including sick and casual leave.		All the workers will be provided with appropriate equipment, training documentation and reporting of accidents and incidents, and emergency preparedness and response measures.	
4.	No child labour is allowed (Exceptions for children working on their families' property requires an Expert Stakeholder opinion)			
	The Project Developer shall ensure the use of appropriate equipment, training of workers, documentation and reporting of accidents and incidents, and emergency preparedness and response measures			
Pri	inciple 6.2 Negative Economic Consequences	- -		
	Does the project cause negative economic consequences during and after project implementation?	No	The project involves sale of WPS/SLS to willing customers within the project boundary. Carbon revenues are important for creating awareness among the end users and strengthening	N/A

		the local sales and distribution services.	
Principle 7.1 Emissions	1		[
 Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? 	No	The project reduces GHG emissions relative to baseline scenario	N/A
Principle 7.2 Energy Supply	•		
 Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that provides for other local users? 	No	The project does not use energy from a local grid or power supply. Use fuelwood/ charcoal for boiling water in baseline using traditional stoves will be significantly reduced by introducing WPS.	N/A
Principle 8.1 Impact on Natural Water Patterns/Flow	NS	-	
 Will the Project affect the natural or pre-existing pattern of watercourses, ground-water and/or the watershed(s) such as high seasonal flow variability, flooding potential, lack of aquatic connectivity or water scarcity? 	No	The project is a WPS/SLS distribution programme and will not affect the natural or pre- existing pattern of watercourses, ground-water and/or the watershed(s) such as high seasonal flow variability,	N/A

		flooding potential, lack of aquatic connectivity or water scarcity.	
Principle 8.2 Erosion and/or Water Body Instability			
 Could the Project directly or indirectly cause additional erosion and/or water body instability or disrupt the natural pattern of erosion? 	No	The project shall result in reduction in demand of biomass fuel in the region putting less pressure on forests for deforestation and will hence indirectly avoid erosion associated with tree cutting/ felling.	N/A
Principle 9.1 Landscape Modification and Soil			
 Does the Project involve the use of land and soil for production of crops or other products? 	No	The project is a WPS/SLS distribution programme and does not involve the use of land and soil for production of crops or other products.	N/A
Principle 9.2 Vulnerability to Natural Disaster	<u>I</u>		<u> </u>
 Will the Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to wind, earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding, drought or other extreme climatic conditions? 	No	The project is a WPS/SLS distribution programme and will not be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to wind, earthquakes, subsidence,	N/A

		landslides, erosion, flooding, drought or other extreme climatic conditions.	
Principle 9.3 Genetic Resources			
 Could the Project be negatively impacted by or involve genetically modified organisms or GMOs (e.g., contamination, collection and/or harvesting, commercial development, or take place in facilities or farms that include GMOs in their processes and production)? 	No	The Project is not negatively impacted by the use of genetically modified organisms or GMOs.	N/A
Principle 9.4 Release of pollutants			
 Could the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment? 	No	The Project is a WPS/SLS distribution programme which result in zero GHG emission products and does not result in the release of pollutants to the environment	N/A
Principle 9.5 Hazardous and Non-hazardous Waste			
 Will the Project involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/ or use of hazardous and non-hazardous chemicals and/or materials? 	No	The Project does not involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and or materials.	N/A
Principle 9.6 Pesticides & Fertilisers			

 Will the Project involve the application of pesticides and/or fertilisers? 	No	The project does not involve the application of pesticides and/or fertilisers.	N/A
Principle 9.7 Harvesting of Forests			
1. Will the Project involve the harvesting of forests?	No	The project does not involve harvesting of forests. The project shall result in reduction in demand of biomass fuel in the region putting less pressure of forests for deforestation and will hence indirectly avoid erosion associated with tree cutting/ felling.	Not required
Principle 9.8 Food	•		
 Does the Project modify the quantity or nutritional quality of food available such as through crop regime alteration or export or economic incentives? 	No	The project does not modify the quantity or nutritional quality of food available such as through crop regime alteration or export or economic incentives.	N/A
Principle 9.9 Animal husbandry	,		
1. Will the Project involve animal husbandry?	No	The project does not involve animal husbandry.	N/A
Principle 9.10 High Conservation Value Areas and C	ritical Habitats		1

 Does the Project physically affect or alter largely intact or High Conservation Value (HCV) ecosystems, critical habitats, landscapes, key biodiversity areas or sites identified? 	No	The project is a WPS distribution programme and does not physically affect or alter largely intact or High Conservation Value (HCV) ecosystems, critical habitats, landscapes, key biodiversity areas or sites identified.	N/A
Principle 9.11 Endangered Species			
Are there any endangered species identified as potentially being present within the Project boundary (including those that may route through the area)? AND/OR Does the Project potentially impact other areas where endangered species may be present through transboundary affects?	No	The project boundary is geographical sites of WPS distributed and there are no endangered species identified as potentially being present within the Project boundary.	N/A

APPENDIX 2- CONTACT INFORMATION OF VPA IMPLEMENTER

Organization name	Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited
Registration number	CIN U74999MH2012PTC331308
with relevant authority	
Street/P.O. Box	Saraswat Nagar, SV road, Santacruz West
Building	A203, Business Suites 9
City	Mumbai City
State/Region	Mumbai, Maharashtra
Postcode	4000054
Country	India
Telephone	+1.206.274.6457 +91 9884273950
E-mail	april@microenergycredits.com
Website	www.microenergycredits.com
Contact person	April Allderdice
Title	Ms.
Salutation	Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
Last name	Allderdice
Middle name	-
First name	April
Department	-
Mobile	-
Direct tel.	+1.206.274.6457
Personal e-mail	april@microenergycredits.com

Organization name	Byeol Gihu Bojon Yuhan Hoesa
Registration number	
with relevant	
authority	
Street/P.O. Box	
Building	(Cheongwon Building, 2th Floor, Yeoksam-dong) 33, Teheran-
	ro 8-gil, Gangnam-gu, Seoul
City	Seoul
State/Region	Seoul
Postcode	
Country	Republic of Korea
Telephone	+827071134713
E-mail	april@microenergycredits.com
Website	www.microenergycredits.com
Contact person	April Allderdice
Title	Ms.
Salutation	Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
Last name	Allderdice
Middle name	-
First name	April
Department	-
Mobile	-
Direct tel.	+1.206.274.6457
Personal e-mail	april@microenergycredits.com

Organization name

Clear Sky Partners LLC

Registration number	
with relevant	
authority	
Street/P.O. Box	
Building	506(2), 47, Gimpohangang 9-ro, 76ben-gil, Gimpo-si,
	Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea
City	Seoul
State/Region	Seoul
Postcode	
Country	Republic of Korea
Telephone	+821051070265
E-mail	sally.yoo@clearsky-partners.com
	narendra.ppt@gmail.com
Website	
Contact person	Hae Sung Sally Yoo
Title	
Salutation	Ms.
Last name	Yoo
Middle name	
First name	Hae Sung Sally
Department	
Mobile	
Direct tel.	
Personal e-mail	

Organization name Satin Creditcare Network Ltd.

Registration number	
with relevant	
authority	
Street/P.O. Box	Sector-44, Gurugram, Haryana
Building	Floor 1 & 3, Plot No 97
City	Gurugram
State/Region	Haryana
Postcode	122003
Country	India
Telephone	+91 124-4715400
E-mail	info@satincreditcare.com
Website	www.satincreditcare.com
Contact person	
Title	
Salutation	Mrs.
Last name	Yadav
Middle name	
First name	Rachna
Department	
Mobile	+91 7303653178
Direct tel.	
Personal e-mail	rachna.yadav@satincreditcare.com

Organization name	Muthoot Microfin
Registration number	
with relevant	
authority	

Street/P.O. Box	MG Road, Kochi, Kerala – 662935, India
Building	Muthoot Towers
City	Kochi
State/Region	Kerala
Postcode	662935
Country	India
Telephone	+91-484-2351494
E-mail	info@muthootmicrofin.com
Website	www.muthootmicrofin.com
Contact person	Subhransu Pattnayak
Title	
Salutation	Mr.
Last name	Pattnayak
Middle name	
First name	Subhransu
Department	-
Mobile	-
Direct tel.	+91-484-2351494
Personal e-mail	info@muthootmicrofin.com
Organization name	Sarala Women Welfare Society
Registration number	
with relevant	
authority	
Street/P.O. Box	Floatel - 9/10 Kolkata Jetty, Opp SBI HQ Eastern Region,

Street/P.O. Box	Floatel - 9/10 Kolkata Jetty, Opp SBI HQ Eastern Region,
	Strand Road, 700001
Building	
City	Kolkata

Gold Standard *Climate Security and Sustainable Development*

State/Region	West Bengal
Postcode	700001
Country	India
Telephone	+91 7044479778
E-mail	
Website	www.sarala.com
Contact person	Joydeep Sarkar
Title	Mr
Salutation	
Last name	Sarkar
Middle name	-
First name	Joydeep
Department	-
Mobile	-
Direct tel.	+91 7044479778
Personal e-mail	

APPENDIX 3-SUMMARY OF APPROVED DESIGN CHANGES

NA

Revision History

Version	Date	Remarks
1.0	01/04/2021	Initial adoption