

# TRANSITION REQUEST FORM - CPA

---

PUBLICATION DATE **1.04.2021**

VERSION **1.0**

RELATED SUPPORT

- **TEMPLATE GUIDE Key Project Information & VPA Design Document v.1.1**

CONTACT DETAILS:

## THE GOLD STANDARD FOUNDATION

Chemin de Balexert 7-9  
1219 Châtelaine  
International Environment House 2  
Geneva, Switzerland  
Tel: +41 22 788 70 80  
Email: [help@goldstandard.org](mailto:help@goldstandard.org)

---

### Summary:

The CPA transitioning from **CDM or other Standards to Gold Standard for Global Goals (GS4GG)** shall submit the transition request form and VPA - DD (this document). The **Transition Request Form** is also to be completed for CPA that are already registered with GS4GG for CER labelling and seek to move to GSVER stream to issue Gold Standard VERs.

This document contains the following Sections:

### Section - Transition Request Form

[TRF.1 ELIGIBILITY CHECK FOR TRANSITION](#)

[TRF.2 TRANSITION PROJECT INFORMATION](#)

[TRF.3 TRANSITION CHECKLIST](#)

**Gold Standard**

*Climate Security and Sustainable Development*

## Key Project Information

### Section – Voluntary Project Activity Design Document (VPA -DD)

Q – Description of project

Q - Application of approved Gold Standard Methodology (ies) and/or demonstration of SDG Contributions

Q – Duration and crediting period

Q – Summary of Safeguarding Principles and Gender Sensitive Assessment

Q – Summary of Local stakeholder consultation

Appendix 1 – Safeguarding Principles Assessment (mandatory)

Q - Contact information of VPA Implementer (mandatory)

Q - Summary of Approved Design Changes (project specific)

The following table summarises how different sections of this document shall be filled to facilitate request for transition from other standard to GS4GG.

Section	Required for	How to complete the section
<b>Transition Request Form</b>		
TRF.1 Eligibility check for transition	All CPAs	Answer the assessment questions and provide supporting information as needed
TRF.2 Transition project information	All CPAs	Provide CPA information pertaining to the standard, the project is transitioning from (e.g. CDM)
TFR.3 Transition checklist	All CPAs	Answer the assessment questions and provide supporting information in the section in the VPA - DD section as needed
<b>VPA – DD</b>		
Key project information	All CPAs	Include VPA details pertaining to GS4GG
Section A to E	All CPAs	Provide information as needed. Any section/subsections <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- that requires information/justification or additional information as per transition checklist, AND</li> <li>- that involves update/revision to the information provided for registration with other standards. In such cases, the project shall copy and paste the information from registered VPA - DD (other standard) and mark the additional information in track changes.</li> </ul>

## SECTION – TRANSITION REQUEST FORM

### TRF.1 ELIGIBILITY CHECK FOR TRANSITION

To be completed for all CPAs seeking transition to GS4GG from other standards.

#### Requirement

The CPA must have a crediting period start date with CDM/other standard on or **after 01 January 2016**

The CPA that has a crediting period start date with CDM/other standard **before 01 January 2016** shall demonstrate the risk of discontinuation without carbon revenue.

The CPA that has a crediting period start date with CDM/other standard **before 01 January 2016** shall demonstrate how the project has been operational in the absence of carbon revenue, if carbon credits have not been issued to the project in recent years.

List of supporting documents

CPA assessment (to be completed by CME)

Is the CPA(s) crediting period start date **after** 01 January 2016?

☒ Yes

☐ No (go to questions below)

*Explain the risks/barriers that may cause discontinuation of CPA without carbon revenue.*

*Explain how the CPA has managed the operation in the absence of carbon revenue in recent years.*

*NA - as the CPA has continued to do issuance under the CDM.*

N/A

## TRF.2 TRANSITION PROJECT INFORMATION

CME shall provide CPA information (in grey rows), pertaining to the standard, the CPA is transitioning from (e.g. CDM) in the table below.

Name of the original standard	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>CDM</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other</b> (Add the standard name here)
CPA status with original standard	<i>The current status of CPA with CDM/other standard at the time of submission of this form.</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Active</b> (registration status is valid) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Withdrawn</b> (deregistered) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Provisional</b> (awaiting guidance from the CMP at CMP 16, CDM CPAs only)
CDM/ other standard reference ID	<i>The reference number/ID allocated to the CPA by CDM/other standard.</i> CPA 9181-P1-00012-CP1
CPA reference weblink	<i>The weblink of the project page of CDM/other standard.</i> <a href="#">CDM: MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 12 (unfccc.int)</a>
PoA reference ID and Title	Reference ID and Title For example 0457: Cooking stoves distribution programme in Uganda 9181: MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India
Title of CPA	<i>The title of the CPA used for registration with CDM/other standard.</i> MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 12
New title of CPA (if applicable)	<i>The title of the CPA if it has been changed for registering with Gold Standard. (Follow GS4GG requirements Section 5, <a href="#">PoA requirements</a>)</i> NA
Methodology used	<i>Methodology title and the version number applied for registration with CDM /other standard.</i> AMS-I.A “Electricity generation by the user” (Version 14) AMS-II.G “Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non- renewable biomass” (Version 3)
Amount of reductions	<i>Average annual emission reductions (tCO<sub>2</sub>eq/year).</i> 1,078,049 tCO <sub>2</sub>
Inclusion date	<i>The CPA inclusion date with CDM/other standard.</i> 24/08/2018 <del>5</del>

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

Type of crediting period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> renewable crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> fixed crediting period	
Crediting period	<i>The CPA registered crediting period start date and end date with CDM/other standard.</i> Start date: 25/08/2018 End date: 24/08/2025	
Total monitoring periods issued	<i>The total period that has already been issued by CDM/other standard.</i> Start date: 25/08/2018 End date: 31/12/2020	
Latest monitoring period	<i>The latest monitoring period that has already been issued or submitted for issuance to CDM/other standard.</i> Start date: 26/07/2020 End date: 31/12/2020	
	Issuance Status	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Issued <input type="checkbox"/> Awaiting issuance
	Date of Issuance, if issued.	18/08/2021
Declaration	<p><i>Click on the tick box to confirm.</i></p> <p>The Coordinating/Managing Entity hereby acknowledges that project developer;</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Option 1 - has included information in this document that has not been validated/verified as part of CDM PDD <b>OR</b>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Option 2 - has copied all validated information as it appears in the original and then used tracked changes to highlight any information that not been validated/or has changed - <i>Note if option 2 is selected the project developer shall fill all sections in the PDD template of this document.</i> </p> <p>The Coordinating/Managing Entity hereby acknowledges that project developer;</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is aware that for a given vintage, a registered Gold Standard CPA can request the issuance of the emission reductions under only one standard/certification scheme. (applicable to all projects).         </p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is aware that all CPAs that transition to GS4GG shall demonstrate Ongoing Financial Need at the time of renewal of their crediting period following applicable GS4GG requirements. (applicable to all CPAs).         </p>	

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> confirms that the project developer/representative will make a declaration, in writing, in the monitoring report submitted to Gold Standard that (applicable to CDM CPAs) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CPAs will/has not issue both a CER/other compliance units under Paris Agreement and a GSVER for the same vintage.</li> <li>- CME agrees to comply with all future UNFCCC COP/CMP decisions<sup>1</sup> including adjustment of GWP values</li> </ul>
Coordinating/Managing Entity / authorised signatory	<i>Name and designation of CME/authorised signatory</i> Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited April Allderdice

### TRF.3 TRANSITION CHECKLIST

Coordinating/Managing Entity shall answer all assessment questions listed below and provide additional information/justification in the VPA-DD section, where required. Please note that the checklist is based on the [GHG Emissions Reductions and Sequestration Product Requirements](#).

The checklist also provides relevant requirements applicable to PoA/CPA transitioning to GS4GG for easy referencing. The CME shall refer to relevant GS4GG documents, as applicable, for further details. It is recommended that CME refers to Guidelines in the table below for more information on the requirements and flexibilities provided. This document (in word) shall be submitted to SustainCERT along with other required documents **for preliminary review** as listed below –

- [Cover Letter](#)
- [Terms and Conditions](#)
- [Official Development Assistance declaration](#)
- [Stakeholder Consultation Report](#)

<sup>1</sup> CDM clarification available on this topic as on date can be referred to [here](#).

- PoA Design Document (PoA-PDD) final version (CDM/other standard)
- CPA-DD registered with CDM/other standard
- Validation report submitted to CDM/other standard
- Last Monitoring and Verification report submitted to CDM/other standard

<b>1   TRANSITION PATHWAY</b>	
<b>1.1   Option 1:</b> Is CPA seeking registration with GS4GG <b>to issue GSCERs</b> while maintaining the CDM registration? (Ref: <a href="#">GHG Product Requirements</a> )	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>1.2   Option 2:</b> Is CPA seeking registration with GS4GG <b>to issue GSVERs</b> only and/or conversion of <b>issued CERs to GSVERs</b> ? (Ref: Annex B, <a href="#">GHG Product Requirements</a> ) <i>Note – for conversion of issued CERs to GSVERs, the project must be registered with GS4GG.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>1.3   Option 3:</b> Is CPA seeking registration with GS4GG <b>to issue GSVERs only</b> and/or <b>conversion of emission reduction to GSVERs</b> issued by <b>standard other than CDM</b> ? (Ref: Annex B, <a href="#">GHG Product Requirements</a> )	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Requirement:</b>  All CPAs submitting request for transition on or after 1/1/2021 must demonstrate compliance with requirements stated in <b>Annex B</b> , <a href="#">GHG Product Requirements</a> .  The CPA following <b>option 1</b> above; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- may seek registration under GS4GG based on provisional CDM EB decision</li> <li>- may seek issuance of GSVERs in exchange of provisional CERs based on CDM EB decision but must transfer issued CERs to the Gold Standard Swiss CDM Registry Account. If there are any implications for issued volume or project eligibility due to CMP decision regarding GWP, additionality or any other decision, the CME must address these issues, as applicable in consultation with SustainCERT/GS.</li> </ul> The CPA transitioning to GS4GG following <b>option 2</b> above, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- may convert issued CERs to GSVERs</li> <li>- are not required to deregister from CDM but shall not claim emission reductions under both GS4GG and CDM for the same vintage</li> </ul> The CPA transitioning to GS4GG following <b>option 3</b> above, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- may convert issued emission reductions unit to GSVERs</li> <li>- may issue GSVERs</li> <li>- shall deregister project from other standard before registration with GS4GG</li> </ul> <b>Guidelines:</b> PoA/CPAs already undergoing design certification for CER labelling can continue with their existing process. <a href="#">SustainCERT</a> shall be notified of the intention to switch to GSVER stream, at the earliest possible opportunity.	

PoA/CPAs already certified for CER labelling can switch to GSVER stream by completing this form and notifying [SustainCERT](#). Such project may leave the VPA-DD section blank as this information has been captured in GS4GG PDD version submitted earlier.

## 2| TRANSITION APPROVAL PROCEDURE

<b>1.1</b>	Option 1 - Is the project undergoing a preliminary review <b>by sustainCERT</b> , validation <b>by VVB</b> and design review by <b>SustainCERT</b> ?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>1.2</b>	Option 2 - Is the project undergoing a <b>combined preliminary review, validation, and design review</b> by <b>SustainCERT</b> ? (restrictions apply, see 5.3 below)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>1.3</b>	Option 3- Is the project undergoing preliminary review <b>by SustainCERT</b> , combined <b>validation &amp; verification by VVB</b> , followed by combined design and performance review by SustainCERT?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

### Requirement:

The PoA certification under GS4GG involves following key steps. Refer to Section 12. Project cycle [Programme of Activity Requirements](#) for details.

**Preliminary review** - Preliminary Review of the PoA is conducted once at the time of first submission to Gold Standard. It involves desk review of the Key Project Information and PoA-DD by SustainCERT. The PoA can only be listed once a preliminary review of PoA and each CPA submitted with PoA has been completed.

**Design certification (validation + design review)** - Design certification involves validation by VVB and design review by SustainCERT. With successful design certification the PoA will obtain 'Certified design' status that is equivalent to registration under CDM and other standard. The real case CPA-DD is required with PoA-DD for design review as per [Programme of Activity Requirements](#).

**Performance certification (verification + performance review)** - Performance certification involves verification by VVB and performance review by SustainCERT. The positive conclusion of the Performance Review period shall result in Gold Standard 'Certified Project status' and CPAs can issue GSVERs. The CME may opt for combined Design Certification, conducting both the first Verification and Performance Review under GS4GG at the same time.

**CPAs/VPAs Inclusion** – Once a real case CPA/VPA fully design certified, the CME may include CPAs/VPAs applying same technology measures following a simplified inclusion process. It involves, VVB's compliance check followed by SustainCERT design review (two weeks) or if selected for spot - check three week design review.

To minimise disruption and keep the transition review time and costs minimum, the PoA is provided with flexibilities as summarised in the table below;

Certification stage	Option 1	Option 2*	Option 3
---------------------	----------	-----------	----------



**TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA**

Certification outcome		Normal certification pathway	Combined Preliminary review + Validation + Design review	Combined validation + verification followed by combined design + performance review
PoA+ REAL Case CPA				
Listing	Preliminary review	SustainCERT		SustainCERT
Certified Design = Registration	Validation	VVB	SustainCERT	VVB
	Design review	SustainCERT		SustainCERT
Certified project = Issuance	Verification	VVB	VVB	VVB
	Performance review	SustainCERT	SustainCERT	SustainCERT
CPA/VPA inclusion				
CPA/VPA inclusion	Compliance check	VVB		VVB
	Design review	SustainCERT	SustainCERT	SustainCERT
	Verification	VVB	VVB	VVB
	Performance review	SustainCERT	SustainCERT	SustainCERT

For option 1, a validation/inclusion site visit by VVB is not required for CPAs proposed for inclusion as long as the VVB conducted a site visit as part of validation/verification in last three years (from time of first submission for preliminary review) and new/updated information can be audited based on desk review and/or using remote audit approaches.

For Option 2, SustainCERT conducts PoA/CPAs design elements desk based audit and approve PoA/CPAs transition, without VVB's opinion. **Note that this option will involve additional review fee levied by SustainCERT. The project developer shall confirm the applicable fee and timelines with SustainCERT ([help@sustain-cert.com](mailto:help@sustain-cert.com)) before submitting the request for transition.**

If transition PoA is applying a new/latest version of the methodology which requires full audit but VVB, option 2 cannot be applied.

CMEs may also directly include VPAs/CPAs in the registered PoA, without VVB compliance check

- If at least one VPA/CPA of the registered PoA has completed successful performance certification, and
- The VPA/CPA that has completed performance certification and the VPAs/CPAs that are included by CME without VVB compliance check shall,
  - involve same technology/measure and apply same methodology in case of single technology POA
  - involve same technologies/measures and apply same methodology(ies) combination in case of multi technology PoA

Refer to VPA/CPA INCLUSION REQUIREMENTS (RU 2020 P&R - PAR V1.2) for further details on applicability conditions and requirements.

This option is not captured in the table above.

This option doesn't involve additional fee levied by SustainCERT as mentioned in option 2 above.

<b>3  CPA ELIGIBILITY</b>	
<b>1.1   Is the CPA eligible project type under Gold Standard for the Global Goals?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><b>Requirement:</b> The transitioning project shall be one of the eligible project types for issuance of Gold Standard VERs (Ref: <a href="#">GHG Product Requirements</a>).</p> <p><b>Guidelines:</b> Typical eligible activity types are Renewable Energy Supply, End-Use Energy Efficiency Improvement, Waste Handling &amp; Disposal, Land Use and Forests.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Afforestation/Reforestation project registered with CDM/other standard may transition to GS4GG for issuance of GSVERs only but are not eligible for labelling of issued emission reduction units.</li> <li>- RE projects shall refer to <a href="#">Renewable Energy Activity Requirements</a> for eligibility check.</li> <li>- RE projects for example - · Hydropower · biomass resources · landfill gas and biogas from agro-processing, wastewater and other residues · Waste Heat/Gas recovery · Fossil co-generation · Waste incineration and gasification · Waste handling and disposal are required to demonstrate compliance with the specific eligibility requirements. Refer to Annex – A of <a href="#">Renewable Energy Activity Requirements</a> for further details.</li> <li>- Community Services Activities projects for example - Hydropower · biomass resources · landfill gas and biogas from agro-processing, wastewater and other residues · Waste Heat/Gas recovery · Fossil co-generation · Waste incineration and gasification · Waste handling and disposal · Relighting · End-use fossil switching are required to demonstrate compliance with the specific eligibility requirements. Refer to Annex – A of <a href="#">Community Services Activity Requirements</a> for further details.</li> </ul>	
<b>COMPLIANCE WITH RELEVANT ACTIVITY REQUIREMENTS</b>	
<b>1.2   Does the CPA conform to the relevant Activity Requirements (<a href="#">CSA/RE</a>)?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>1.3   Does any specific eligibility criteria/requirement stipulated in Annex A of <a href="#">CSA/RE</a> requirements apply to the CPA?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>1.4   Does specific eligibility criteria/requirement stipulated in Annex A of <a href="#">CSA/RE</a> requirements that apply to the CPA, lead to any change in the registered PoA -DD or VPA -DD? <a href="#">If Yes, please provide a full explanation in section A.1.3. below.</a></b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<p><b>Requirement:</b>          (Ref: Section 4.1.1 of <a href="#">GHG Product Requirements</a>)          CPA shall conform to the relevant Activity Requirements and Gold Standard Approved Methodologies, including <a href="#">eligible CDM Methodologies</a>.</p> <p><b>RE rule update / RE PoA rule update:</b>          Grid connected Renewable Energy CPAs seeking to transition from another carbon crediting scheme to GS4GG or labelling of emission reductions under GS4GG are exempted from eligibility requirements listed in para 2.1.3 of the RE Activity Requirements. This exemption is only allowed to projects that started the first crediting period with the original carbon crediting scheme from 01/01/2016 or later but</p>	

before 24/01/2020. (Ref: Section 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 of [RU 2020 AR –RE V1.2](#))

Specific [Renewable Energy Activity requirements](#) (refer to Annex A): Hydropower, biomass resources, landfill gas and biogas from agro-processing, wastewater and other residues, Waste Heat/Gas recovery, Fossil co-generation, Waste incineration and gasification, Waste handling and disposal.

Specific [Community Service Activity requirements](#) (refer to Annex A): Hydropower, biomass resources, landfill gas and biogas from agro-processing, wastewater and other residues, Waste Heat/Gas recovery, Fossil co-generation, Waste incineration and gasification, Waste handling and disposal, Relighting, End-use fossil switching.

## 5| APPLICABILITY OF THE METHODOLOGY/TOOL VERSION

<b>1.5  </b> Does the CPA apply an eligible GS methodology? Refer to list of the eligible methodologies <a href="#">here</a> .	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>1.6  </b> Does the CPA apply the version of the methodology and applicable tools <b>applied for CDM/other standard registration or renewal?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>1.7  </b> Does the CPA apply the latest version of the methodology and applicable tools applied in registered PoAs for inclusion of new VPAs after transition to GS4GG? <a href="#">If Yes, please provide a full explanation in section B below. And note that the CPA cannot opt for option 2 mentioned transition approval procedure, above.</a>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**Requirement: (Ref: Annex B of [GHG Product Requirements](#))**

Transition CPA shall

- conform to the relevant [Activity Requirements](#) and Gold Standard Approved [Methodologies](#), including eligible [CDM Methodologies](#) referring to the inclusion criteria of registered PoA.
- also meet the additional GS4GG methodology eligibility requirements, where applicable. Refer to [CDM Methodologies](#) for Gold Standard Eligibility Requirements, referring to the inclusion criteria of registered PoA.

Transition CPA shall apply the version of GS approved CDM methodology or methodology tool for transition to GS4GG as follows;

- version applied for inclusion in the registered PoAs with other standard, OR
- latest version applied by the registered PoAs for inclusion of new VPAs after transition to GS4GG.

Note that The Transition PoA may include the latest version of the methodology and applicable tool for inclusion of new VPA(s), at the time of first submission (preliminary review) or at any later stage of certification cycle, but before submitting the request for inclusion for new VPAs. In such cases, VVB shall validate the updated PoA and VPA documents as per applied version of the methodology and or methodology tool before or with the request for inclusion of new VPAs.

## 6| DEMONSTRATION OF ADDITIONALITY

<b>1.8  </b> Are you aware that the transitioning CPA will be required to demonstrate Ongoing Financial Need as per the relevant GS rules and requirements available at the time of renewal of crediting period? (Refer to para 4.1.51 –	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
--	--

4.1.53 of <a href="#">Principles &amp; Requirements.</a> )	
<b>1.9  </b> Does CPA meet the PoA inclusion criteria with respect to the additionality justification?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Requirement:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The CDM PoA/CPAs are not required to carry out additional assessment for demonstration of additionality over and above what has been done for registration/determination with the CDM unless the project falls into a category that is deemed non-additional in an applicable Gold Standard Activity Requirement. In such cases the relevant Activity Requirement shall take precedence. <b>Ref: Annex B <a href="#">GHG Product Requirements</a>.</b></li> <li>- Transition PoA/CPAs registered with standards other than CDM are required to undergo additionality revalidation to re-establish the validity of the underlying assumptions applied in the demonstration of additionality at the time of registration with the other standard.</li> <li>- The PoA/CPAs seeking combined transition and renewal of crediting period with GS4GG are not required to demonstrate OFN at the time of transition but must demonstrate OFN at the time of Crediting Period renewal after transitioning to GS4GG.</li> </ul>	
<b>7  SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT</b>	
<b>7.1  </b> Does the CPA positively contribute towards <b>minimum three Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)</b> - SDG13 (mandatory) + two other SDGs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>7.2  </b> Have you identified the monitoring parameters linked with selected SDGs and corresponding SDG targets? For example – the monitoring parameter <u>Amount of GHGs emissions avoided or sequestered</u> is linked with SDG 13. Climate action, SDG target 13.2 Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies and planning.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Fill section B.6. Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) outcomes and B.7 Monitoring plan, below for SDGs monitoring parameters not covered in registered CPA-DD with other standards.</b>	
<b>Fill Table 1 – <a href="#">Estimated Sustainable Development Contributions</a> below.</b>	
<b>Requirement:</b> The transitioning CPA shall demonstrate a clear, direct contribution to sustainable development, defined as making demonstrable, positive impacts on at least three Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), one of which must be SDG 13 (Ref: Section 4.(c) of <a href="#">Principles and Requirements</a> )	
Refer to Annex B, <a href="#">GHG Product Requirements</a> for further guidelines for transition projects.	
<b>Guidelines:</b> Selected SDG impacts must not result from a one-off from design/construction/distribution/ start-up or decommissioning of the project.	

You may refer to /use the [SDG impact Tool](#) (under consultation currently) to identify the relevant monitoring indicator, SDGs and corresponding SDG targets and design monitoring plan for identified indicators.

## 8| START DATE AND DURATION OF THE CREDITING PERIOD

<b>8.1  </b> Has the crediting period of the transitioning CPA registered with other carbon standard/certification scheme changed and/or extended?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>8.2  </b> Is the total duration of the crediting period of CPA (i.e. including period that had been issued under the host standard) less than/equal to the maximum crediting period allowed under relevant GS4GG activity requirements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Complete the section [C.2.2 Total length of crediting period](#) below.

### Requirement:

- The crediting period of the transitioning CPA registered with other standards or certification schemes cannot be changed/extended.
- Maximum crediting period allowed under GS4GG are as  
CSA – 15 Yrs, RE – 15 Yrs, if not defined in activity requirement or applicable methodology – 10 Yrs.
- The start date of the GS crediting period shall be same as the start date of the CDM crediting period. (Annex B, [GHG Product Requirements](#))
- For a transitioning CPA the total duration of the crediting period, including the period that has been claimed under the host standard, shall not exceed the maximum crediting period allowed under relevant GS4GG activity requirements.

If a given CPA transitioning to GS4GG, was registered under Standard X with -

- fixed crediting period (10 years): The total crediting period (Standard X + GS4GG) must remain 10 years. The CPA can only claim remaining years of its 10-year crediting period after transitioning to GS4GG.
- renewable crediting period (7\*3 year): The total crediting period (Standard X + GS4GG) must be equal to that allowed under relevant GS4GG activity requirements. The CPA can only claim remaining years of the maximum allowed crediting period after transitioning to GS4GG. For example; the maximum crediting period allowed for renewable energy project is 15 years. A renewable energy CPA that has already claimed 5 years under Standard X can only claim remaining 10 years of the total 15 years of its allowed crediting period after transitioning to GS4GG
- For a transitioning CPA, the start date of the Gold Standard Crediting Period starts with crediting period start date with other standard or maximum two years before the date of first submission (submission for preliminary review), whichever occurs later.. (Ref: [GHG Product Requirements](#))
- All transition CPAs shall be renewed every 5 years. The first crediting period renewal under GS4GG takes into account the crediting years that has already been issued by other standard. For example, if a CPA start date with standard X is 01/01/ 2019, the CPA shall renew its crediting period with GS4GG on or before 1st Jan 2024, irrespective of date of transition

approval with GS4GG.	
<b>9  SAFEGUARDING PRINCIPLES ASSESSMENT</b>	
<b>9.1  </b> Does the CPA conform to the Gold Standard Safeguarding Principles and Requirements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>9.2  </b> Is there any risk and/or likely adverse outcomes of the project?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>9.3  </b> If answer is yes for Q 9.2, can the project achieve requirements with regards to the relevant principle through design, management or risk mitigation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>9.4  </b> If answer is yes for 9.3, have the Mitigation Measures added to the Monitoring Plan (if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><b>Complete the Annex 1 and section D. Summary of Safeguarding Principles below.</b></p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> The transitioning project shall conform to the <a href="#">Gold Standard Safeguarding Principles and Requirements</a>. (Ref: Section 4.1.19 of <a href="#">GS4GG Principles and Requirements</a>)</p> <p><b>Guidelines:</b> The detailed Safeguarding Principles and Requirements checklist is available in Annex 1 of this document.</p>	
<b>10  STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION REQUIREMENTS</b>	
<p><b>10.1  </b> Has the CPA conducted a Stakeholder Consultation in accordance with the requirements of <a href="#">Gold Standard Stakeholder Consultation &amp; Engagement Requirements</a>?</p> <p>The answer to Q 10.1 is "No", if any of the questions below is answered as "No". The project should take the question(s) into account and address the gap when conducting supplementary stakeholder consultation to comply with GS4GG requirements.</p> <p>Please answer the below question with regards to the stakeholder consultation conducted to comply with CDM/other standard requirements?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.2  </b> Did you conduct the stakeholder consultation before the CPA start date?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.3  </b> Did you discuss identified direct positive and negative impacts of the CPA with stakeholders?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.4  </b> Does the invited stakeholder covers all stakeholder groups (a to g) listed in paragraph 3.1.1. of <a href="#">STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION AND ENGAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS</a> ?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.5  </b> Did the invitation methods solicit input from women and marginalised groups?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

<b>10.6</b>   Were the stakeholders invited at least 30 days before the stakeholder meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.7</b>   Did a local language version of the non-technical summary with information required as per paragraph 5.1.1. of <a href="#">STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION AND ENGAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS</a> , shared with stakeholders?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.8</b>   Was a physical meeting conducted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.9</b>   Was a gender lens applied to assessing comments? (for example, if only men provided comments on household device project, was this taken into consideration when assessing the relevance of the comment?)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.10</b>   Were any serious, reasonable and proportional concerns raised and taken into account and satisfactorily addressed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.11</b>   Were any points that warrant 'Mitigation measures' marked as such and monitoring plan has been designed and included in the PDD?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.12</b>   Is the mandatory Continuous Input / Grievance Expression Process Book's location clearly stated (and therefore usable)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>10.13</b>   Does PDD include a summary report of the comments received from local stakeholders?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Complete the section E. Summary of Local Stakeholder Consultation below.

**Requirement:** Ref: Section 4.1.25 of [GS Principles and Requirements](#).

**Guidelines:** CPA that conducted a stakeholder consultation meeting to comply with CDM/other standard requirements, should conduct, at minimum,

- one round of consultation for identified gaps i.e., gaps due to differences in stakeholder consultation requirements of GS4GG and CDM/other standard. For instance, if original consultations only involve one physical meeting, CME/PD should conduct a stakeholder feedback round covering all the identified gaps. The additional stakeholder consultations may involve a physical meeting or stakeholder feedback round, as necessary.

If COVID interim measures are applicable (currently till 30/06/2021), the physical meeting and stakeholder feedback round may be postponed, and a draft SCR shall be mandatorily submitted to cover the consultation activities carried out till date.

## KEY PROJECT INFORMATION

GS ID of Project	GS11482
Title of Project	GS11450 - MicroEnergy Credits – Microfinance for Clean Energy Product Lines – India - MicroEnergy Credits PoA – CPA 12 – GS11482
Time of First Submission Date	04/01/2022
Date of Design Certification	DD/MM/YYYY
Version number of the VPA-DD	4.1
Completion date of version	13/09/2022
Coordinating/managing entity	Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited
VPA Implementer (s)	Shri Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP) d.light Energy Private Limited
Project Participants and any communities involved	-
Host Country (ies)	India
GS ID and Title of applicable Design Certified VPA	NA
GS ID and Title of applicable Performance Certified VPA	NA
Activity Requirements applied	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community Services Activities <input type="checkbox"/> Renewable Energy Activities <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use and Forestry Activities/Risks & Capacities <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Scale of the project activity	<input type="checkbox"/> Micro scale <input type="checkbox"/> Small Scale <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Large Scale
Other Requirements applied	-
Methodology (ies) applied and version number	AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user" (Version 14)



	Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 3.1
Product Requirements applied	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GHG Emissions Reduction & Sequestration <input type="checkbox"/> Renewable Energy Label <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Project Cycle:	<input type="checkbox"/> Regular <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Retroactive

**Table 2 – Estimated Sustainable Development Contributions**

Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact (defined in B.6.)	Estimated Annual Average	Units or Products
13 Climate Action (mandatory)	Number of VERs	815,142 52,838	tCO <sub>2</sub> VERs-SLS tCO <sub>2</sub> VERs-ICS
1 End poverty in all its forms everywhere	Number of clean energy products distributed	20,200 2,622,470	ICS SLS
3 Good Health and Wellbeing	% Households confirming less smoke with the use of improved cookstove	100	%
5 Gender Equality	% Household reporting time saving on domestic work by women in collecting fuel or cooking on traditional stove	100	%
7 Affordable and Clean Energy	Number of households having access to clean fuel and technology	18,180 2,622,470	ICS SLS
8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Quantitative Employment and income generation	20	jobs

## SECTION A. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

### A.1 Purpose and general description of project

>>

The project activity is implemented in India. In the rural areas in India, the predominant means of cooking are traditional cook stoves that use woody biomass as fuel. The smoke and fumes from these traditional inefficient stoves contribute heavily to indoor air pollution, which overall claim approximately 400,000 lives per year in India<sup>2</sup>. Moreover, in such areas, households are either not connected to the grid or in households even with grid connectivity, there are frequent power outages and low voltage so rural households use kerosene for indoor lighting, which also contributes to indoor air pollution and GHG emissions.

The VPA involves marketing, distributing, and financing improved cookstove and solar lighting systems, for low-income households and microentrepreneurs in India. Improved cookstoves will only be distributed in the Indian state of Karnataka. However, future sales of solar lighting systems may happen in any state but within the geographic boundary of the PoA i.e., the country of India.

The VPA involves dissemination of technological products that provide clean energy for cooking and renewable energy for lighting. The cookstoves distributed under the VPA replaces traditional cookstoves thereby reducing the amount of fuelwood used for cooking in the baseline by households and thus reducing GHG emissions corresponding to the fuelwood saving by the project activity. The solar lighting systems replace kerosene-based lamps in households, which would have resulted in GHG emissions due to burning of fossil fuel i.e., kerosene.

**Table A.1.1 Total stoves in operation over the crediting period**

Year	Sales
Year 1	10,000 <sup>3</sup>
Year 2	<del>10,000</del> 27,000
Year 3	27,000

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.pciaonline.org/sierra-club>

<sup>3</sup> [Till date 10,000 cookstove sales have been made](#)

Year 4	27,000
Year 5	27,000

**Table A.1.2 Estimated Solar Lighting system in Operation**

Year	Sales
Year 1	<del>1,3,06,176</del> <sup>4</sup> <del>2,000,000</del>
Year 2	<del>1,3,06,176</del> <del>2,000,000</del>
Year 3	3,000,000
Year 4	3,500,000
Year 5	4,000,000

Sales in this VPA for solar lighting devices can happen in any Indian state. It will be ensured that threshold for Type 1 SSC projects is not exceeded for the solar lighting devices and all requirements of the applied methodologies ([namely TPDDTEC v3.1](#) and AMS.I.A. v14) and the PoA eligibility criteria are met. ERs shall be calculated at actual sales numbers complying with relevant methodological requirements.

The program is a voluntary initiative coordinated by Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited (MEC), the CME of the PoA, and implemented by MEC's Partner Organizations (PO). The solar lighting system are implemented by d.light<sup>5</sup> The efficient cookstoves are implemented by Shri Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP)<sup>6</sup>. The exact number of solar lighting systems implemented will be made available at the time of verification as deployment of these systems is done in a phased manner depending on demand from clients.

Under this [SSC-CPAVPA](#), MEC works with project partners to develop a successful and diversified clean energy-lending program. The clean energy program addresses typical barriers for low-income clients including education, price, finance, and supply and aftersales service. MEC trains project partners to implement the clean energy lending program, as well as a robust and transparent carbon credit monitoring and tracking

<sup>4</sup> These are the solar lighting system actual sales made in first 2 years the crediting period and rest of the years shows estimated sales

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.dlight.com/>

<sup>6</sup> <http://skdrdpindia.org/>

system to quantify and record the volume of carbon emission reductions created through the clean energy program. The carbon finance is used to expand and sustain the clean energy program through:

1. Client education and marketing
2. Internal training and capacity building
3. On lending funds to local SMEs producing the clean energy products
4. Aftersales service and maintenance
5. Lowering the interest or principal cost to the client

The goal of the VPA is to use carbon finance to enable installations of solar lanterns, and improved cook stoves in India.

The CME has approved the inclusion of the VPA in the registered PoA and also confirms that the VPA will not be part of another PoA or any single CDM/VCS/GS project activity.

The technologies/measures employed by the VPA - POs offers loans for a suite of Clean Energy Products ("CEP") including efficient cookstoves, and solar lighting systems.

The project boundary – The POs included in this [CPA-VPA](#) will be working in branches located in India (Coordinates: 20.5937°N 78.9629°E)

The baseline scenario –

**SOLAR LIGHTING:** This VPA involves the introduction of solar lighting systems into households and SMEs in several states in India to replace the main baseline fuel, kerosene.

**EFFICIENT STOVES:** The cookstoves distributed under the VPA replace traditional cookstoves thereby reducing the amount of fuelwood used for cooking in the baseline by households. Improved cookstoves will only be distributed in the Indian state of Karnataka.

#### A.1.1 Eligibility of the project under approved PoA

>>

No.	Eligibility criterion	Description/ Required condition	Means of Verification/Supporting evidence for inclusion
1	Boundary and location of the VPA	The VPA is located within India	Location and boundary is specified in section A.2 of the VPA-DD stating that the location is limited to India and supported with GPS coordinates.  Document: Statement of CME that the location and boundary is within India and supported with GPS coordinates.
2	<a href="#">Project technology</a>	<a href="#">VPAs involves use of the following technologies-</a>  <a href="#">1. distribution of safe drinking water systems (HWT and CWT technologies) to residential area.</a> <a href="#">2. Distribution of improved cookstoves to households</a> <a href="#">3. Distribution of Solar lighting systems to households</a>	<a href="#">The VPA-DD specifies the target end-user group and the technology being distributed i.e. Improved Cookstoves and Solar Lighting Systems in section A.3</a>  <a href="#">Supporting evidence: Sales database</a>
3	No Double counting of CEPs impacts within this PoA and across	A unique numbering or identification system for the CEP installed is applied. This shall ensure	The unique numbering on each CEP supported by the individual distribution record matching such

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

	other registered or deregistered PoAs	<p>no double counting of CEPs within the same VPA and same PoA/ and ensure that CEP can be identified as belonging to this PoA and not to a PoA/ project activity registered under another voluntary or compliance GHG program or to a deregistered PoA</p> <p><u>A legally binding contract between CME and manufacturer/micro finance institution/POs would be required to ensure that all carbon title is transferred to the CME. This shall ensure that POs, stove/lamp manufacturers and distributors do not claim ERs separately.</u></p>	<p>information is included in the specific VPA-DD and consistent with the PoA-DD.</p> <p><u>A legally binding contract between CME and manufacturer/micro finance institution/POs would be established to ensure that all carbon title is transferred to the CME.</u></p> <p><u>A summary of projects registered with other standards- GS/VERRA/CDM and how they are not overlapping with this VPA has been presented in Table 1.2 and an analysis thereafter. Further, the VVB will be submitted with detailed information on registered projects with other standards which can be assessed and cross-checked during Validation.</u></p> <p><u>Document:</u> <u>Credit Tracker stove sales receipt showing CME and PO information, end user details including name and address and CEP ID number.</u></p> <p><u>Database of other similar projects registered with</u></p>
--	---------------------------------------	---	--

			<p><u>GS or other GHG programs shall be submitted to VVB</u></p> <p>A legally binding contract between CME and manufacturer/micro finance institution/POs.</p>
4	VER ownership	End users receiving CEP under the specific VPA and POs contractually cede their rights to claim and own emission reductions to the CME of the PoA.	<p>The default CEP Booking Record is including the provision that emission reductions generated by the CEP are transferred from the end-user to the PO and ultimately owned by the CME. The receipts will clearly specify that carbon rights are ceded in favour of CME.</p> <p>Documents:</p> <p><u>1. <del>1.</del> Default Booking Record</u></p> <p><u><del>1.2.</del> A legally binding contract between CME and end user to ensure that all carbon title is transferred to the CME.</u></p>
5	No Double counting of VPA	The VPA is exclusively bound to the PoA. Confirmation that the programme activity has not been and will not be registered either as a single project activity or as a VPA under another registered PoA in other	<p>A declaration from the CME on its letterhead has been provided that this VPA will not be part of another single CDM project activity or <del>CPA</del>/VPA under another PoA. In addition, declaration from VPA</p>

		offset schemes nor the project activities that have been deregistered.	operators as part of their contract with the CME, stating that their activities are not registered as part of another single CDM project activity of <del>EV</del> PA under another PoA.  Evidence: <a href="#">Contract between the CME and MFI.</a>
6	Awareness and Agreement of those operating a <del>CPA</del> -VPA on PoA subscription	<p>Contractual provisions to ensure that those operating the VPA are aware and have agreed that their activity is being subscribed to the PoA.</p> <p>In the case that the CME is not responsible for implementing the <del>CPA</del>VPA, the organization responsible for <del>VPA</del>CPA implementation, known as the Partner Organisation (PO), has signed a contractual agreement with the CME to participate in the PoA. This agreement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Defines the ownership of the carbon emission reduction rights</li> <li>- Covers the PO's distribution and</li> </ul>	Contractual agreement for VPA operators, stating that they are aware and have agreed that their activity is being subscribed to the PoA



		<p>monitoring related responsibilities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Confirms that the CEPs to be distributed under the VPA have not and will not be distributed under any other carbon project (CDM project, PoA or voluntary carbon market project)</li> <li>- Cedes the PO's rights to the carbon credits generated from VPAs under the PoA to the CME</li> </ul>	
7	Non-diversion of ODA in case of Public funding	<p>The CME and the VPA operator (in case of being different from the CME) shall confirm that there is no public funding or in the case of public funding, the Annex 1 party will confirm that funding is not a diversion of Official Development Assistance.</p>	<p>Statement from the CME and the VPA operator (in case of being different from the CME) that there is no public funding</p> <p>Or</p> <p><a href="#">In the case that there is public funding, an Annex 1 party will confirm that funding is not a diversion of ODA.</a></p> <p><a href="#">Document: ODA Declaration</a></p>
8	<a href="#">Specification of the technology such as the level and type of service, as well as performance specification:</a>	<p><a href="#">The VPAs will include distribution of improved cookstoves which will replace inefficient cookstoves thereby improving the indoor air pollution levels.</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Technological and performance specifications are given in section A.3 of the VPA-DD for the technologies included in the VPA.</a></p>

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

		<a href="#">The VPAs will include distribution of solar lighting systems which will replace kerosene lamps in baseline</a>	<a href="#">The project technologies comply with the minimum specification requirements prescribed in the applied methodologies (as applicable).</a>
9	VPA Start Date	<p>The VPAs start date is as registered with the CDM from which the project is transitioning.</p> <p>Please note that not all CEP installations may have been deployed at CPA inclusion stage, however the CEP start date can also be checked during verification. In the event that any deployed CEP is found not in line with CPA start date, those CEP will not be counted in the emission reduction calculation.</p> <p><a href="#">The VPA can request issuance of GS-VERs or convert issued GS-CERs to GS-VERs for a retroactive period.</a></p>	Link of the UNFCCC webpage of the CDM PoA and CPAs _to be shared with the VVB.
10	VPA Crediting Period	<p>VPA crediting period not to exceed the PoA end date and the start date of the crediting period of a VPA shall be on or after:</p> <p>(i) The date of registration of the PoA, if the corresponding VPA-DD is submitted together with</p>	<a href="#">Maximum crediting period under Community Services Activity requirements is 15 years from start date i.e. 25/08/2018 to 24/08/2033.</a>

		<p>the request for registration;</p> <p>(iii) The date when the VPA was included in accordance with the Project cycle procedure;</p> <p><u>Crediting period shall be 15 years in line with the Community Service Activity Requirements. The maximum crediting period includes the time that a project or VPA has been issued emission reductions under CDM.</u></p> <p><u>Each VPA shall provide verifiable evidence.</u></p>	<p><u>Details on years in which emission reductions were issued under CDM has been provided to GS VVB.</u></p>
11	Approval of <u>VPA</u> by CME	CME approved each VPA to be included into its registered PoA.	A letter by CME giving approval for the VPA to be included into its registered PoA.
12	<u>Target groups of the programme</u>	<p><u>The VPAs included in the POA will involve distribution of WPS or ICS and/or SLS directly to the domestic end users individually or to community in case of WPS.</u></p>	<p><u>Sale invoices and agreements between the end user/community head and the CME.</u></p>
13	Additionality of VPAs	<p>Additionality will be demonstrated in accordance with EB 68 Annex 27: Guideline on the Demonstration of Additionality of SmallScale Project Activities Version 09, Paragraph 2(c) which</p>	<p>1. VPA-DD Section A.4 demonstrates that the size of each unit is no larger than 5% of the small-scale CDM threshold.</p> <p>2. Manufacturer's specifications showing</p>

		states that a barriers analysis is not required to document Additionality for: c) Project activities solely composed of isolated units where the users of the technology/measure are households or communities or Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) and where the size of each unit is no larger than 5 per cent of the small scale CDM thresholds	that solar lighting products are less than the 5% of the 15 MW cap. 3. Manufacturer's specifications showing that improved cookstoves are less than the 5% of the 180 GWh cap.
14	<a href="#">Sampling requirements for the PoA</a>	<a href="#">The VPAs will follow the usage survey and sampling requirements/ guidelines of the applied methodology(ies) and UNFCCC guidelines on sampling and survey for Programme of Activities version 4.0</a>	<a href="#">VPA-DD has incorporated the sampling procedure in section B.7.2 and sampled survey forms shall be provided to GS VVB.</a>
15	Application Methodologies of	The methodologies that can be applied to a VPA include:  - AMS-I.A (version 14) <del>AMS-II.G (version 3)</del> <del>AMS-III.AV (version 2)</del> - AMS-III.AR (version 6)	The VPA applied the following combination of methodologies: AMS-I.A (version 14) and <a href="#">TPDDTEC (version 3.1)</a> The justification for meeting each of the applicability criterion of the applied methodologies for both Improved Cookstoves and Solar Lighting Systems in given

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <a href="#">TPDDTEC (version 3.1)</a></li> <li>- <a href="#">Emission reduction from Safe Drinking Water Supply (version 1.0)</a></li> </ul> <p>Each VPA can implement these methodologies in isolation. In addition, the following combinations of methodologies are eligible under the PoA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- AMS-I.A (Version 14) or AMS-III.AR (version 6) and <a href="#">AMS II.G (version 3)</a> TPDDTEC (version 3.1)</li> <li>- AMS-I.A (Version 14) or AMS-III.AR (version 6) and <a href="#">AMS-III.AV (version 2)</a> Emission reduction from Safe Drinking Water Supply (version 1.0)</li> </ul>	in section B.2 of the VPA-DD.
16	End User Group	The VPA is either aimed at households, community organisations (e.g. schools) or small/medium enterprises.	The VPA-DD identifies the target end-user group and describes the appropriate baseline scenario in subsequent sections of the VPA-DD.
17	Baseline parameters to be established at VPA level	Each VPA shall demonstrate how the baseline parameters for baselines not established at the PoA level (that	VPA-DD outlines the approach for establishing baseline parameters in sections B.6.2 and B.7.1 and provides supporting

		applies for baselines and options not applicable at the first VPA at the time of PoA registration) that are to be calculated at the VPA level have been determined. Parameters to be monitored are listed in VPA-DD.	documents including copies of any official government reports, statistics or literature sources used for determining parameters. For local surveys or representative sampling the copies of questionnaires, sampling design etc. shall be provided to GS VVB.
18	Local Stakeholder Consultation	Local stakeholder consultation for VPA to be conducted prior to the VPA start date.	The summary of LSC has been provided in section E of the VPA-DD. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- VPA LSC report</li> <li>- Record of invitations sent to the stakeholders</li> <li>- Attendance sheet of the VPA LSC meeting</li> </ul>
19	Scale of VPA	<p><u>VPAs under the PoA can either be small scale or large scale.</u></p> <p><u>In case of large scale VPAs, small scale threshold is applicable</u></p> <p><u>In case of small scale, the threshold limit as per GHG Emission Reduction &amp; Sequestration Product Requirements, shall be followed where maximum output capacity of distributed renewable energy generation technology shall not be</u></p>	<p><u>No suppressed demand baseline is applied in the VPA.</u></p> <p><u>Total installed capacity of Solar lighting systems (SLS) is within the small-scale Type I threshold of 15MW.</u></p> <p><u>Total annual energy saving is more than 180 GWhth per year, hence this is a large scale VPA.</u></p> <p><u>Product data sheets or specification or product</u></p>

		<p>more than 15MW (Type I) or that achieve energy savings at a scale of no more than 60 GWh per year which is equivalent to 180 GWh(th) per year saving (Type II) or that achieve emission reductions at a scale of no more than 60k tCO<sub>2</sub> per year (TYPE III) for household/community/SM E applications, Small Scale projects and VPAs, solely comprising of such distributed units are not required to demonstrate compliance with the applicable Small Scale thresholds at the aggregate level of the project and VPA, if VPAs are <b>NOT</b> applying suppressed demand baseline.<sup>7</sup></p> <p>Please note that not all solar lighting system or ICS may have been deployed at VPA inclusion stage, but the threshold however can also be checked during verification, and in case</p>	<p>information sheets from manufacturer are available.</p>
--	--	--	--

<sup>7</sup> <https://globalgoals.goldstandard.org/ru-2020-ssc-application-of-suppressed-demand/>

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

		any deployed CEP type will be found not in line with the requirement, those CEPs will not be counted for emission reduction calculation.	
20	Conditions to be met by each VPA regarding SDG outcomes assessment	The CME shall conduct the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) impact assessment at the VPA level as per Principles & Requirements	SDG outcome assessment report shall be submitted to GS VVB.
21	Conditions to be met by each VPA regarding safeguarding principles	Projects shall conduct a Safeguarding Principles Assessment and conform to Gold Standard Safeguarding Principles and Requirements.	Safeguarding principles assessment report as provided in Appendix 1 of the VPA-DD
22	Conditions to confirm that technologies in VPAs are eligible	Specification of technology or measures, such as the level and type of service, as well as performance specification based on, intra alia, testing/certification	Project technology along with technical specifications is outlined in section A.3 above

Table 1.2: List of ICS/SL projects registered with other registries:

Improved Cookstoves	
GOLD STANDARD PROJECTS	
ID	Project Name
858	Improved Cook Stoves CDM project of JSMBT
859	Improved Cook Stoves CDM project of SAMUHA
1021	Improved Woodstoves in Udaipur - Helping Women and Environment
1029	The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 02 Envirofit
1030	The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 03 Envirofit
1031	The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 04 Envirofit
3363	The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 05 Envirofit
3364	The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 06 Envirofit



TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

<a href="#">3365</a>	<a href="#">The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 07 Envirofit</a>
<a href="#">3366</a>	<a href="#">The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 08 Envirofit</a>
<a href="#">3367</a>	<a href="#">The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 09 Envirofit</a>
<a href="#">1231</a>	<a href="#">The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 01 Envirofit</a>
<a href="#">2540</a>	<a href="#">Laya Surakshana VER Project for Tribal Communities</a>
<a href="#">3024</a>	<a href="#">Dissemination of TLUD improved cook stoves in the Sundarbans India 2nd project</a>
<a href="#">4270</a>	<a href="#">GS1366 Micro Energy PoA VPA 5 BioLite Improved Stove Programme India</a>
<a href="#">4291</a>	<a href="#">The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 10 Envirofit</a>
<a href="#">5046</a>	<a href="#">The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 11 Envirofit</a>
<a href="#">5417</a>	<a href="#">The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 12 Envirofit</a>
<a href="#">5418</a>	<a href="#">The Breathing Space Improved Cooking Stoves Programme India - VPA No. 13 Envirofit</a>
<a href="#">7466</a>	<a href="#">Integrated Domestic Energy Systems (IDES) for Clean Energy access and clean cooking in rural households in India</a>
<a href="#">10716</a>	<a href="#">Improved Cook Stoves And Sustainable Charcoal Initiative, CPA 1</a>
<a href="#">10821</a>	<a href="#">GS10818 - Dissemination of Improved Cookstoves in India by Greenway - Dissemination of Improved Cookstoves in Karnataka by Greenway - VPA001</a>
<a href="#">10466</a>	<a href="#">FCN GS PoA for Rural Communities VPA 04</a>
<a href="#">1226</a>	<a href="#">Samuha</a>
<a href="#">997</a>	<a href="#">LAYA PADERU ENERGY EFFICIENT WOODSTOVES PROJECT</a>
<a href="#">5312</a>	<a href="#">FCN GS PoA for Rural Communities VPA 02</a>
<a href="#">5291</a>	<a href="#">DISSEMINATION OF IMPROVED COOK STOVES AND GENERATION OF CHARCOAL CPA2</a>
<a href="#">4270</a>	<a href="#">MICRO ENERGY POA, VPA 5 BIOLITE IMPROVED STOVE PROGRAMME, INDIA</a>
<a href="#">3993</a>	<a href="#">Large Scale Dissemination of High Efficiency Improved Cookstoves in India</a>
<a href="#">3526</a>	<a href="#">DISSEMINATION OF TLUD GASIFIER STOVES AND GENERATION OF CHARCOAL IN WEST BENGAL, FIRST CPA</a>
<a href="#">1296</a>	<a href="#">DISSEMINATION OF TLUD IMPROVED COOK STOVES IN THE SUNDARBANS, INDIA</a>
<a href="#">1219</a>	<a href="#">MICRO SCALE IMPROVED COOK STOVE PROJECT OF BAGEPALLI COOLIE SANGHA</a>
<b>VCS</b>	
<a href="#">2415</a>	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 11</a>
<a href="#">2533</a>	<a href="#">Improved Cookstove Programme by SDG13 in India</a>
<a href="#">2336</a>	<a href="#">Energy Efficient Cook Stove Implementation in India</a>
<a href="#">2427</a>	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase VI</a>
<b>Clean Development Mechanism</b>	

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

4478	<a href="#">Improved Cook Stoves CDM project of JSMBT</a>
4772	<a href="#">Improved Cook Stoves CDM project of SAMUHA</a>
8522	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase II</a>
8654	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase III</a>
8687	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase IV</a>
8612	<a href="#">Micro scale Improved Cook stove Project of Bagepalli Coolie Sangha</a>
8725	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase V</a>
8745	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 7</a>
8732	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase VI</a>
8746	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 8</a>
8771	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 10</a>
8769	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 9</a>
8808	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 13</a>
8807	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 12</a>
8806	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 11</a>
8810	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 15</a>
8809	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 14</a>
7958	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase I</a>
9436	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 20</a>
9444	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 24</a>
9443	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 22</a>
9429	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 16</a>
9434	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 18</a>
9498	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 25</a>
9501	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 23</a>
9503	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 21</a>
9505	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 19</a>
9506	<a href="#">Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 17</a>
8949	<a href="#">National Programme for Improved Cookstoves in India</a>
<b><a href="#">Solar Lighting System Projects</a></b>	
2699	<a href="#">D.light Rural Lighting Project</a>
7281	<a href="#">Bundled project on distribution of solar energy lamps and replacement of Incandescent Light Bulbs (ICLs) with Compact Fluorescent Lamps (CFLs) by Green Village Ventures in different households in Barshi, Maharashtra, India</a>
9488	<a href="#">Greenlight Solar PV Lighting India</a>
2279	<a href="#">Rural Education for Development Society (REDS) CDM Photovoltaic Lighting Project</a>

Analysis of VCS/CDM/GS Registries<sup>8</sup>:

An analysis of other registries is carried out and the following is observed:

<u>Requirement as per CDM project Standard for Programme of Activities V3.0</u>	<u>Solar Lamps</u>	<u>Efficient cookstoves</u>
<u>It utilizes both a different measure and a different technology from those of the former project</u>	<u>There are 4 registered CDM projects (Reference number – 2699, 7281, 2279 and 9488), however, the technology (specifically the solar lamp models) used in these projects are different from the solar lamp models in the VPA<sup>9</sup>. Also, the solar models mentioned in the registered CDM project have been discontinued by the manufacturer and also have different technical specifications than the models included in the VPA.</u>	<u>There are multiple Projects and Programme of Activities for improved cookstoves in India under GS, VCS and CDM as shown in table 1.2. There are 3 GS projects GS10821, GS10466, GS5312 and 1 VCS project ID2533 where the same technology type (stove model) is being distributed. However, either the geographical boundary or the start date is not same as CME. The last sale of ICS added to the VPAs by the CME is 23/03/2020 and the start date of all the VPAs mentioned earlier have start date after 15/05/2020. For all other projects, However, the geographical boundary</u>

<sup>8</sup> The detailed list of Solar Lighting System and ICS projects registered under GS, VCS and CDM will be shared with VVB (done on 30/04/2022)

<sup>9</sup> Associated evidence (product technical specifications) is submitted to the validating VVBDOE to substantiate that the models are different.

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

		<u>and/or technology type used by these registered PAs and PoAs is different from the technology (Grameen Greenway manufactured cookstoves – Jumbo Stove) used in the VPA.</u>
<u>It does not share or utilize any of the assets of the former project</u>	<u>The registered existing project activity solely utilizes the network of distributors and retailers to disseminate the products. However, the VPA relies extensively on microfinance channel to disseminate the products.</u>	<u>The registered existing project activity solely utilizes the network of distributors and retailers to disseminate the products. However, this VPA relies extensively on microfinance channel to disseminate the products.</u>
<u>It utilizes a different resource type compared to the former project</u>	<u>While the resource type is solar energy for both, the existing registered CDM project as well as this VPA, however, the resource (solar energy) is available in abundance and hence is not shared.</u>	<u>While the resource type is woody biomass for both, the existing registered CDM project as well as the VPA, however, the resource is available in abundance and hence is not shared.</u>

The VPA meets the requirements of the Community Services Activity Requirements, as follows –

<u>Eligibility Criteria Category</u>	<u>Eligibility criterion - Required condition</u>	<u>Justification</u>
<u>1. Eligible Project Types</u>	<u>All CSA Projects shall lead to climate change mitigation and/or adaptation by providing or improving access to</u>	<u>The goal of the VPA is to distribute Improved Cook Stoves (ICS), and Solar lighting systems (SLS) in the</u>

<u>Eligibility Criteria Category</u>	<u>Eligibility criterion - Required condition</u>	<u>Justification</u>
	services/resources at the household or community or institution level. Eligible services include electricity and energy, water and sanitation, waste management, housing, etc.	households/SMEs of the host country of India. Thus, the VPA leads to climate change mitigation (and other sustainable development impacts) by providing access to clean cooking and lighting technologies/services at the household and institution level.
<b><u>GENERAL ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA</u></b>		
<b><u>2. Type of project</u></b>	(b) End-use energy efficiency: Project activities that reduce energy requirements as compared to baseline scenario without affecting the level and quality of services or products, where the end-user of the products and services are clearly identified and when the physical intervention is required at the user end. For example, efficient cooking, heating, lighting, etc.	The PoA involves distribution of cleaner and energy efficient ICS and SLS thereby resulting in reduction of baseline energy requirements, without compromising the quality and level of services/products for households/SMEs
<b><u>3. Project Area, Boundary and scale</u></b>	Project Area and Boundary shall be defined in line with the applicable Impact Quantification Methodologies and Product Requirements.	<p>The project area is point location of CEP beneficiaries in the host country of the VPA. The project boundary will be limited to the geographical boundary of the host country of India.</p> <p>For the purpose of applying UNFCCC methodologies for quantification of GHG reductions, 'small scale' is:</p> <p>a. Type I: Renewable energy project activities with a maximum output capacity of 15 MW (or an appropriate equivalent)</p>

<u>Eligibility Criteria Category</u>	<u>Eligibility criterion - Required condition</u>	<u>Justification</u>
		<p><u>b. Type II: Energy-efficiency improvement project activities &lt; = 60 GWh(e) or 180 GWh(th) energy savings per year</u></p> <p><u>c. Type III: Other project activities not included in Type I or Type II &lt; = 60,000 tCO<sub>2</sub>eq per year</u></p> <p><u>The VPA involves Type I which shall not cross the above small-scale limits.</u></p> <p><u>Scale is no limit For Improved Cookstoves, since TPDDTEC methodology is followed and there is no suppressed demand element. The annual energy threshold is &gt;180GWhth per year, hence the VPA is large scale.</u></p>
<b>4. Legal Ownership</b>	<p><u>(a) Projects involving the distribution of a large number of devices for services such as heating, cooking, lighting, electricity generation, water treatment technology such as water filter, etc. shall provide a clear description of the ownership of the Products that are generated under Gold Standard Certification all along the investment chain. In line with the FPIC requirement, the proofs that end-users are aware of and willing to give up their rights on Products shall be provided.</u></p>	<p><u>The CEP owners confirm that rights to the ownership of carbon credits reside with the CME according to the end user agreement /declaration form signed via monitoring app etc (refer Eligibility under GS4GG section above).</u></p> <p><u>This shall again be explained in future VPA-level LSC meetings.</u></p>

<u>Eligibility Criteria Category</u>	<u>Eligibility criterion - Required condition</u>	<u>Justification</u>
	(b)The transfer of Product ownership shall be discussed during local stakeholder consultations for projects.	
<b>ELIGIBILITY PRINCIPLES AND REQUIREMENTS</b>		
<b><u>Principle 3 – Stakeholder Inclusivity</u></b>	Projects shall have specific stakeholder consultation requirements for certain project types including, but limited to, hydropower and projects using biomass resource as given in Annex A of the document.	Not Applicable  The VPA is implementing solar lighting and improved cookstove and therefore, does not fall under the project types with additional eligibility criteria according to Annex A of the document.
<b><u>Principle 4 – Demonstration of Real Outcomes</u></b>	New Projects may seek Certification and receive Issuance of Gold Standard Certified Impact Statements or Products for a maximum of two Design Certification Renewal Cycles i.e., a total of 15 years issuance.	The VPA has a maximum crediting period of 15 years (5 years + two renewals of 5 years) in line with the GS4GG Principles and Requirements. See section C.2.2.
<b><u>Principle 5 – Financial Additionality &amp; Ongoing Financial Need</u></b>	All projects seeking the issuance of Certified Impact Statements and/or Products shall demonstrate Financial Additionality in accordance with the Principles & Requirements and the applicable Product requirements.	Not applicable.  The additionality and need for ongoing financial need have been demonstrated using the applicable GS4GG Activity Requirements: Community Services Activity Requirements (v1.2) in section B.5

A.1.2. Legal ownership of products generated by the project and legal rights to alter use of resources required to service the project

>>

Participation in the VPA is voluntary for every beneficiary. If a household wishes to participate in the VPA, they confirm that MEC has full and uncontested legal ownership

of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions (SDG 13) that are generated from the use of ICS/SLS distributed under the VPA.

MEC has the legal ownership of the Verified Emission Reductions (VERs) that are generated through the Gold Standard Certification. For each CEP distributed under the VPA, the beneficiary agrees at the time of distribution/dissemination of ICS/SLS that, in return for receiving a CEP, the ownership of emissions reductions and VERs lies with MEC. With effect to this, the carbon title for the product is signed off by end user directly to MEC waiving any claim or rights on carbon credits generated under the VPA.

Further, as per Annex A of GHG Emissions Reduction and Sequestration Product Requirements version 2.1, as of now there are no mandatory caps enforced in the host country, India for use of issued GS VERs.

#### **A.2. Location of project**

>>

The products sold will be restricted to the boundary of the Republic of India. The VPA project activities will involve households in many states of the host country. The location of each clean energy installation as per a GPS location or verified address will be recorded in Micro Energy Credit's Credit Tracker Platform.





The location of each clean energy installation<sup>10</sup> as per a GPS location or verified address will be recorded in Micro Energy Credit’s Credit Tracker Platform, which has been designed specifically for accelerating microfinance access to clean and efficient energy. These locations will define the more precise boundary of the project activities.

The Credit Tracker Platform is used to collect and store the information related to the unique identification number, location, installation date, and usage status of each clean energy product in the VPA, making it easy to identify, locate and verify any or all of the installations that pertain to the VPA. The MEC Credit Tracker Platform is a hosted internet service, limiting the risk of loss of data.

<sup>10</sup> Location is defined by one of the following sets of information:  
 A. Precise GPS location of the household that purchases/installs clean energy product.  
 B. GPS location within one mile of the household and credible address for household.  
 C. Three of the following identifiers: purchaser name, household address, phone number, bank ID number, national ID number, product serial number, household GPS location, or GPS location within one mile of household.

### A.3. Technologies and/or measures

>>

#### *Efficient Cookstoves*

There will be one model of efficient cook stoves disseminated under the VPA. In the absence of the project activity, the households with efficient cook stoves would have continued to use inefficient traditional cook stoves, including three-stone fires and conventional stoves built of mud/clay lacking a chimney and grate to provide energy for cooking. These stoves use firewood as the fuel. The efficiencies of these conventional stoves are low and are of the order of 10%<sup>11</sup>. The technical specifications of the clean energy products are as follows -

The Greenway Jumbo Stove (GJS) is a single burner, high efficiency cook stove that delivers fuel savings up to 70% and minimizes harmful emissions of CO, CO<sub>2</sub> and Particulate Matter. The rated thermal efficiency is 31.17%<sup>12</sup>.



Grameen Jumbo Stove (GJS) –  
Stove Body Size – 12.4" x 10.6" x 11.6"  
Net weight: 5 kg  
Life span under standard use conditions: Average 5 years

#### Solar Lighting Systems

A variety of solar lighting systems will be offered under the VPA. Households receiving these solar lighting systems are either not connected to the grid or have intermittent electricity supply from the grid resulting in use of kerosene for lighting in the baseline scenario.

Some of the models that will be distributed, including their technical specifications<sup>11</sup> are –

1) D.light S300

<sup>11</sup> [Footnote 24 of TPDDTEC v3.1](#)

<sup>12</sup>As per stove testing results

Formatted: Font: 8 pt

Luminosity - 100  
 LumensWattage - 1W  
 Average lifetime - 5 years

2) D.light S350  
 Luminosity - 120  
 LumensWattage - 3W  
 Average lifetime - 5 years

3) D.light S400  
 Luminosity - 200 Lumens  
 Wattage - 4.5 W  
 Average lifetime - 5 years

4) D.light S500  
 Luminosity - 240 Lumens  
 Wattage - 3W  
 Average lifetime - 5 years

All products contain a solar panel, lights as shown in the photograph –



Other models of solar lighting systems may also be offered under the VPA as long as they meet all the requirements of the methodology AMS.I.A. v14. and the PoA eligibility criteria in the registered PoA-DD.

The following table provides information on how the project helps in reducing GHG emissions and contributes to SDGs

Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	How the project contributes to the identified SDG
--	---

13 Climate Action (mandatory)	The emissions from the project stove are less than the baseline stove. Similarly, SLS replace kerosene lanterns and reduce emissions. Therefore, GHG emissions are reduced.
1 No Poverty	The project stoves and SLS provides access to basic services (namely cooking and lighting) that are efficient and less polluting compared to baseline technologies/practices.
3 Good Health and Wellbeing	The project stoves and SLS results in lesser emissions of CO/PM2.5 therefore improving the indoor air quality and health of the end-users.
5 Gender Equality	The project results in reduced time for wood collection for women thereby freeing up time for them to utilize on other income generating/productive activities.
7 Affordable and Clean Energy	The project provides access to affordable and cleaner technologies, i.e., improved cookstoves for cooking and Solar lighting Systems for lighting purposes.
8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Employment is generated in manufacturing, dissemination and maintenance of CEPs by the project.

#### A.4. Scale of the project

>>

The VPA is a ~~small~~large-scale project activity, ~~in line with the criteria laid out in the Community Services Activity Requirements.~~

For ICS

Parameter	Unit	Value	Reference/Source
Baseline Stove efficiency	%	10%	Footnote 24 of TPDDTEC v3.1
Project stove efficiency	%	31.17%	Performance testing report for Grameen Greenway Jumbo Stove given by Indian Institute of Technology, School of Materials Science and Technology, Banaras Hindu University, dated 17/12/2015
Baseline Fuel consumption	T/family/year	2.63	Baseline KPT
Fuelwood savings	T/family/year	1.78	Calculated
Calorific value of biomass	TJ/T	0.0156	IPCC default
Energy savings per year	TJ/family/year	0.028	Calculated

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

Conversion factor	TJ/GWh	3.6	Default
Energy savings per improved cookstove	GWhth	0.0077	Calculated
Individual Stove energy saving as a factor of the SSC threshold	%	0.043%	Calculated

Total energy savings from cookstoves (GWhth)	<del>209156</del>	<>180 GWhth/year
--	-------------------	------------------

For SLS (within small scale limits)

Parameter	Unit	Value	Reference/Source
Maximum Wattage of solar device	W	2.875	Average capacity of different types of solar lighting systems to be distributed under the VPA

Total number of solar devices till 15 MWe threshold is reached	<del>52,17,391</del>
Max. Distribution planned under the VPA	<del>40,00,000</del>
Each device as a percentage of the threshold	<del>0.00002%</del>

As evident from the above table the distribution of ICS is more than small scale thresholds therefore it is a large-scale activity whereas SLS distribution is below small scale thresholds levels.

#### A.5. Funding sources of project

>>

There is no public funding for the PoA or the VPA. No ODA funding will be used, as confirmed by signed ODA Declaration submitted to GS.

## SECTION B. APPLICATION OF APPROVED GOLD STANDARD METHODOLOGY (IES) AND/OR DEMONSTRATION OF SDG CONTRIBUTIONS

### B.1. Reference of approved methodology (ies)

>>

Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 3.1<sup>13</sup>  
AMS-I.A "Electricity generation by the user" (Version 14)<sup>14</sup>

CDM Tool 30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass v3.0

### B.2. Applicability of methodology (ies)

>>

<u>Improved Cookstoves- TPDDTEC ver 3.1</u>			
<u>1</u>	<u>Methodological [criteria</u>	<u>The project boundary needs to be clearly identified, and the technologies counted in the project are not included in any other voluntary market or CDM project activity (i.e. no double counting takes place). In some cases there maybe another similar activity within the same target area. Project proponents must</u>	<u>The location and boundary of the VPA is limited to the country of India. The VPA entails distribution of clean cookstoves to households and SMEs in the Indian state of Karnataka. The location of each cookstove installation will be tagged through GPS or verified address of the end user will be recorded in Micro Energy Credit's Credit Tracker Platform.</u>

<sup>13</sup> [Microsoft Word - 401.13 TPDDTEC V3.1 20170921 CLEAN.docx \(goldstandard.org\)](#)

<sup>14</sup> [AMS-I.A \(UNFCCC\)](#)

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

		therefore have a survey mechanism in place together with appropriate mitigation measures so as to prevent any possibility of double counting.	Moreover, a legally binding contract between CME and manufacturer/micro finance institution/POs would be established to ensure that all carbon title is transferred to the CME.
2	Methodological criteria	The technologies each have continuous useful energy outputs of less than 150kW per unit (defined as the total useful energy delivered from start to end of operation of a unit divided by time of operation). For technologies or practices that do not deliver thermal energy in the project scenario but only displace thermal energy supplied in the baseline scenario, the 150kW threshold applies to the displaced baseline technology	The energy output of the improved cookstove in the VPA is less than 150kW.  Document: Manufacturer's specification/project data sheet
3	Methodological criteria	Using the baseline technology as a backup or auxiliary technology in parallel with the improved technology introduced by the project activity is permitted as long as a mechanism is put into place to encourage the removal of the old technology (e.g. discounted price for the	The VPA involves distribution of technology to only those HHs which have dismantled or discontinued the use of baseline stoves. If an old technology remains in use in parallel with the improved cookstoves, the corresponding emissions shall be accounted for as

		<p>improved technology) and the definitive discontinuity of its use. The project documentation must provide a clear description of the approach chosen and the monitoring plan must allow for a good understanding of the extent to which the baseline technology is still in use after the introduction of the improved technology.</p>	<p>part of the project emissions in the VPA-DD. CME will conduct campaigns as part of the incentive mechanism to encourage removal of baseline stoves in place.</p>
4	Methodological criteria	<p>The project proponent must clearly communicate to all project participants the entity that is claiming ownership rights of and selling the emission reductions resulting from the project activity. For technology producers and the retailers of the improved technology or the renewable fuel in use, this must be communicated by contract or clear written assertions in the transaction paperwork. If the claimants are not the project technology end users, the end users will need to be informed and notified that they cannot claim for emission reductions from the project</p>	<p>The CME will clearly communicate to the end users of project technologies (through means such as sales receipts and engagement with community representatives) that it shall have ownership rights of and selling the emission reductions resulting from the project activity.</p> <p>The default CEP Booking Record is including the provision that emission reductions generated by the CEP are transferred from the end-user to the PO and ultimately owned by the CME. The receipts will clearly specify that carbon rights are ceded in favour of the CME.</p>



5	<u>Methodological criteria</u>	<u>Project activities making use of a new biomass feedstock in the project situation (e.g. shift from non-renewable to green charcoal, plant oil or renewable biomass briquettes) must comply with relevant Gold Standard specific requirements for biomass related project activities, as defined in the latest version of the Gold Standard rules. If the biomass feedstock is sourced from a dedicated plantation, the criteria must apply to both plantations established for the project activity AND existing plantations that were established in the context of other activities but will supply biomass feedstock.</u>	<u>Not Applicable. The project makes use of the existing non-renewable biomass for improved cookstoves. The amount of NRB used however will reduce in project scenario. No new biomass feedstock usage is there in the project activity. Document: Monitoring and sample surveys</u>
6	<u>Methodological criteria</u>	<u>Adequate evidence is supplied to demonstrate that indoor air pollution (IAP) levels are not worsened compared to the baseline, and greenhouse gases (as listed in section 2.1) emitted by the project fuel/stove combination are estimated with adequate precision. The project fuel/stove combination</u>	<u>Sample surveys shall be carried out during annual monitoring to check IAP levels in the HHs.</u>

		<a href="#">may include instances in which the project stove is a baseline stove.</a>	
<a href="#">Z</a>	<a href="#">Methodology criteria</a>	<a href="#">Records of renewable fuel sales may not be used as sole parameters for emission reduction calculation, but may be used as data informing the equations in section 2.0 of this methodology. These records need to be correlated to data on distribution and results of field tests and surveys confirming (a) actual use of the renewable fuel and usage patterns (such as average fraction of non-renewable fuels used in mixed combustion or seasonal variation of fuel types), (b) GHG emissions, (c) evidence of CO levels not deteriorating (d) any further factors effecting emission reductions significantly.</a>	<a href="#">Not Applicable. The project does not entail utilization of renewable fuel but makes use of the existing non-renewable biomass for improved cookstoves.</a>
<b>Solar Lighting Systems- AMS I.A version 14.0</b>			
<a href="#">8</a>	Methodological criteria	This category comprises renewable electricity generation units that supply individual households/users or groups of households/users included in the project boundary. The	The VPA involves dissemination of renewable energy-based lighting systems (solar lighting systems), where the emission reduction per system is less than 5 tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> e per year.

		<p>applicability of the methodology is limited to individual households and users that do not have a grid connection except when:</p> <p>(a) A group of households or users are supplied with electricity through a standalone mini-grid powered by renewable energy generation unit(s) where the capacity of the generating units does not exceed 15 MW (i.e. the sum of installed capacities of all renewable energy units connected to the mini-grid is less than 15 MW) e.g. a community-based stand-alone off-the-grid renewable electricity systems; or</p> <p>(b) For renewable energy-based lighting applications, the emission reductions per system is less than 5 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e a year and it shall be demonstrated that that fossil fuels would have been used in the absence of the project activity by:</p> <p>(i) A representative sample survey of target households; or</p>	<p>Based on official statistics from the host country government agencies (mentioned in section B.4 of the VPA-DD), in the absence of project technology, end users would have used wick-based kerosene lanterns for lighting.</p> <p>Document: Manufacturers specification And NSSO's 2007 report on "Energy Sources of Indian Households for Cooking and Lighting, 2004-05.</p>
--	--	--	---

		<p>(ii) Official statistics from the host country government agencies;</p> <p>(c) A group of households or users are connected to a grid prior to the start date of the project activity (or the start date of validation with due justification), however the electricity from the grid is available for the households and users for less than 36 hours in any given calendar month during the crediting period or the grid connected household coverage in the host country is less than 50%.</p>	
911	Methodological criteria	<p>Hydro power plants with reservoirs that satisfy at least one of the following conditions are eligible to apply this methodology:</p> <p>(a) The project activity is implemented in an existing reservoir with no change in the volume of reservoir;</p> <p>(b) The project activity is implemented in an existing reservoir, where the volume of reservoir is increased and the power density of the project</p>	<p>The VPA does not involve installation/operation of hydro power plants. Thus, this criterion is not applicable.</p>

		activity is greater than 4 W/m <sup>2</sup> ; (c) The project activity results in new reservoirs and the power density of the power plant, is greater than 4 W/m <sup>2</sup> .	
102	Methodological criteria	Combined heat and power (cogeneration) systems are not eligible under this category.	The VPA does not involve installation/operation of combined heat and power (cogeneration) systems. Thus, this criterion is not applicable.
113	Methodological criteria	If the unit added has both renewable and non-renewable components (e.g. a wind/diesel unit), the eligibility limit of 15 MW for a small-scale CDM project activity applies only to the renewable component. If the unit added co-fires fossil fuel, the capacity of the entire unit shall not exceed the limit of 15 MW.	The VPA does not involve installation/operation of hybrid units (having both renewable and non-renewable components). Thus, this criterion is not applicable.
124	Methodological criteria	Project activities that involve retrofit or replacement of an existing renewable electricity generation unit are included in this category. To qualify as a small-scale project, the total output of the modified or retrofitted unit shall not exceed the limit of 15 MW.	The VPA does not involve retrofit or replacement of an existing renewable electricity generation unit. Thus, this criterion is not applicable.
135	Methodological criteria	In the case of project activities that involve the	The VPA does not involve addition of renewable

		addition of renewable electricity generation units to an existing renewable electricity generation facility, the total capacity of the units added by the project should be lower than 15 MW and should be physically distinct from the existing units.	electricity generation units to an existing renewable electricity generation facility. Thus, this criterion is not applicable.
--	--	---	--

### B.3. Project boundary

>>

#### SOLAR:

Source		GHGs	Included?	Justification/Explanation
Baseline scenario	Combustion of kerosene fuel used for light;	CO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	Primary source of emissions
		CH <sub>4</sub>	No	Minor source
		N <sub>2</sub> O	No	Minor source
Project scenario	Renewable energy source solar lamps used for light	CO <sub>2</sub>	No	Project activity does not involve consumption of fossil fuels or electricity therefore no CO <sub>2</sub> emissions are generated
		CH <sub>4</sub>	No	Minor source
		N <sub>2</sub> O	No	Minor source

#### IMPROVED COOKSTOVES

Source		GHGs	Included?	Justification/Explanation
Project Baseline scenario	Combustion of non-renewable biomass for cooking or heating	CO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	Important source of emissions
		CH <sub>4</sub>	Yes	Important source of emissions
		N <sub>2</sub> O	Yes	Important source of emissions

	Combustion of non-renewable biomass for cooking or heating	CO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	Important source of emissions
		CH <sub>4</sub>	Yes	Important source of emissions
		N <sub>2</sub> O	Yes	Important source of emissions

#### B.4. Establishment and description of baseline scenario

>>

##### BASELINE DESCRIPTION – SOLAR LIGHTING

The project activity involves the introduction of solar lighting systems into households and SMEs throughout India. Solar lighting systems replace the main baseline fuel, kerosene. Baseline parameters for this project activity were primarily derived from data presented in India's National Sample Survey Organization's (NSSO) 2007 report, "Energy Sources of Indian Households for Cooking and Lighting, 2004-05". It reports information from the national Household Consumer Expenditure survey conducted from July 2004 to June 2005, and contains the most recent data on household lighting consumption in India. Other supporting studies were used for non-India specific parameter values, such as luminous efficiency and the net calorific value of kerosene.

Households in India use kerosene, gas, candle, electricity, and other oil for lighting. Among these, kerosene and electricity are most commonly used. At a national level, kerosene and electricity is used by 99% of the households in both rural and urban areas. The use of kerosene as the primary source of lighting is common in rural areas where nationally 44% of the rural population consumes kerosene for lighting, as compared to 7% in urban areas.

According to Methodology AMS-I.A (version 14), the energy baseline is: the fuel consumption of the technology in use or that would have been used in the absence of the project activity to generate the equivalent quantity of energy, estimated using one of three options. This project activity will use Option 3, a trend-adjusted projection of historic fuel consumption in situations where an existing technology is replaced, to calculate emissions baseline in year  $y$  ( $BE_{CO_2}$ ), as outlined in the methodology.

Data from the 2007 NSSO report is used to calculate this projection. The baseline scenario identified in this VPA-DD will serve to calculate the emission reductions creditable from the installation of solar lighting applications, and the replacement of kerosene lanterns.

### *Objectives and Reliability Requirements*

The 2004-05 Household Consumer Expenditure survey presents the distribution of rural and urban households by primary source of energy used for cooking and lighting in all of the states and UTs of India. The survey sampling design and instruments, as well as the preparation of the 2007 report, were developed by NSSO's Survey Design and Research Division. The field work was conducted by the Field Operations Division and the data processing and table generation by the Data Processing Division.

### *Target Population*

The target population for this project activity consists of households throughout India where the CME's partner Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) operate. NSSO survey sample was collected to represent all Indian states and different socio-economic categories. NSSO data is used to calculate historic consumption rates of kerosene for the baseline of this project activity.

### *Sample Size*

The 2004-05 NSSO survey covered all the States and UTs in India. The data was collected from a sample of 79,298 rural and 45,346 urban households spread over 7,999 villages and 4,602 urban blocks, respectively.

## **BASELINE SAMPLING DESIGN**

### *Sampling Method*

Clustered random sampling was used to select villages and urban blocks included in the survey. Each district within a state or UT was divided into two clusters that were comprised of all rural areas and all urban areas within a district. The number of villages or blocks sampled within a state or UT was determined based on the proportion of population as per the 2001 Census, and was subject to the availability of investigators to ensure a uniform workload. The allocation of the sample between the rural and urban sectors was determined by the proportion of the population as per the 2001 Census with a 1.5 weighting for the urban sector. Households were



selected using simple random sampling without replacement with respect to rural/urban location, income, and monthly per capitaexpenditure.<sup>15</sup>

Sampling Frame

The sampling frame was comprised of two different sources: For households in rural areas, a list ofvillages from the 2001 national census constituted the sampling frame. For households in the urban sector, the latest available list from the Urban Frame Survey (UFS) blocks was used as the sampling frame.

Quality Assurance/Quality Control

Technical guidance from the governing council NSSO and survey working group was provided atevery stage of the survey. Since surveying was conducted over four rounds, an equal number of villages/blocks were sampled in each to ensure a uniform spread.

BASELINE DATA ANALYSIS

According to Methodology AMS-I.A (version 14), the energy baseline is: *the fuel consumption ofthe technology in use or that would have been used in the absence of the project activity to generate the equivalent quantity of energy*. The technology that would have been used in the absence of the project activity is determined as a simple wick-based kerosene lantern.

PARAMETER:  $BE_{CO_2,y}$

Calculation Definitions

To calculate the energy baseline, this project activity will use Option 3 (which is specifically recommended for lighting devices) listed in AMS.I.A, *a trend-adjusted projection of historic fuel consumption in situations where an existing technology is replaced*. The fuel consumption trend ofIndia shows the average level of kerosene consumption for lighting in the target households over the years. The trend extrapolation is used to ensure that no carbon credits can be claimed for a lighting service which exceeds the

<sup>15</sup>See Appendix B of “Energy Sources of Indian Households for Cooking and Lighting, 2004-5” for detailed description ofsampling procedures.

general lighting service that people could obtain from their average kerosene consumption. The specific equivalent level of lighting service is calculated for each improved lamp model, to ensure that in the end only the actual lighting service which is provided by an improved lamp will be converted into carbon credits.

As defined by AMS.I.A., paragraph 11, emissions in the baseline ( $BE_{CO_2,y}$ ) is calculated using the following equation:

Equation 1

$$BE_{CO_2,y} = \sum_j FC_{j,y} * NCV_j * EF_{CO_2,j}$$

Where:

Table 2

Parameter	Unit	Project Calculation
$BE_{CO_2,y}$	tCO <sub>2</sub>	Emissions in the baseline in year y
$FC_{j,y}$	kg	Amount of kerosene consumption in year y
$NCV_j$	GJ/kg	Net calorific value of kerosene
$EF_{CO_2,j}$	tCO <sub>2</sub> /GJ	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor of kerosene
$J$	kg	Kerosene

**Step 1: Baseline Technology**

Applying a conservative approach we assume the kerosene lamp model in the baseline is a hurricane lamp, which is conservative because it has a glass cover making it more efficient than most homemade lanterns. This baseline lantern has an average efficiency of 0.13 lumen/watt (Louineau et al, 1994)<sup>16</sup>. This again is conservative, as the World Bank has reported an efficiency of 0.1 lumen/Watt for this model.

<sup>16</sup> Jean-Paul Louineau, Modibo Dicko, Peter Fraenkel, Roy Barlow and Varis Bokalders, "Rural Lighting: A Guide for Development Workers, Intermediate Technology (IT)" publications in association with The Stockholm Environment Institute 1994.

## Step 2: General Energy Baseline:

The most recent kerosene consumption volume of households that use kerosene for lighting in all of rural India is 6.98 L/month (NSSO data, 2004; see Table 3 below).

Table 3

Year	Kerosene usage (L/month)
1987	3.85
1993	5.48
1999	8.1
2004	6.98


Source: NSSO, 1987, 1993, 1999, and 2004.

The following values were calculated based on the following formula:

### Equation 2

$$KChh = KCcapita * HHsize / P(ker \text{ all India})$$

Figure 1: Kerosene Consumption in Rural India (based on historic NSSO data)



## Step 3: Specific equivalent level of lighting service:

As a next step, the energy baseline calculated in Step 2 will be adjusted according to the actual level of lighting service provided by the improved lamps, in lumen\*hours. The units of kerosene consumption per month per household will be adjusted to lumen\*hours per month per household in the following way:

1. Calculate the lighting service provided to a household using the volume of kerosene consumption established in Step 2.
2. Compare the calculated lighting service in the previous step to the lighting service provided by the project lamps
3. Ensure carbon credits for project lamps per household do not surpass the lighting service of the energy baseline
4. Calculate the actual baseline emissions per project lamp based on the actual specific lighting service provided

The above steps are followed with detailed calculations below. As mentioned in Step 1, the luminous efficiency of the baseline technology = 0.13 lumens / watt (using a conservative value as described above).

Using the parameters below, the equivalent level of lighting service of the kerosene consumed by households in the baseline can be calculated:

Table 4

Parameter	Unit	Description	Value	Source
LS(month)	Lumen*hr/month	Lighting per month	9021.4	Calculated
KC(HH)	Liter/ month	Household Kerosene consumption per month	6.98	NSS0 511
LE(ker)	Lumen / W	Luminous efficiency of kerosene with baseline lantern	0.13	Louineau et al, 1994
NCV(ker)	TJ/Gg	Net calorific value of kerosene	43.8	IPCC 2006
Dens (ker)	KG/L	Density of kerosene	0.81715	www.simetric.co.u

1. Methodology AMS-I.A. allows for a default daily lighting usage of 3.5 hours in the baseline. The calculation below represents the average light output that households get from the kerosene consumed. This value will be used to compare the lighting output of the new technology from this project.

The Lighting per month can be calculated using the following formula:  
Equation 3

$$LS_{month} = KC_{HH} * LE_{ker} * NCV_{ker} * \frac{10^3}{3.6} * dens_{ker}$$

The lighting per month based on 2004 kerosene usage = 9021.4 Lumen hr / month. The reference cap can then be calculated using the formula:

Equation 4

$$RC = LS (\text{month}) * 12 / 365 * h$$

The reference cap equals 84.7, based on 2004 data, and will be extrapolated to future years as new data arises on usage. The reference cap for possible carbon savings is defined such that in a single household it shall not be allowed more emission reductions claimed than those that arise from the general baseline lighting service.

2. The possible carbon savings in a single household has a reference cap as defined by the baseline light output. According to AMS-I.A, it shall not be allowed that for a single household more emission reductions are claimed than those that arise from the general baseline lighting service. The reference cap values for all years of the crediting period are presented in the table below:

Table 5: Extrapolated monthly kerosene consumption per household, equivalent lighting service and reference cap values

Year	Extrapolation of Kerosene Consumption (L/month)	Equivalent lighting service (lumen*hours/month)	Reference Cap (lumens)
2012	9.632	12448.96	116.9374
2013	9.848	12728.14	119.5598
2014	10.064	13007.31	122.1821
2015	10.28	13286.48	124.8045
2016	10.496	13565.65	127.4268
2017	10.712	13844.82	130.0492
2018	10.928	14123.99	132.6715
2019	11.144	14403.16	135.2939
2020	11.36	14682.33	137.9162
2021	11.576	14961.5	140.5386
2022	11.792	15240.68	143.1609

3. The baseline emissions for the lighting systems that are being distributed under this project are calculated as the emissions corresponding to the specific equivalent level of lighting service in the baseline.

The following equation is used to calculate baseline emissions for a solar lamp (n) in period(v); the emissions that would have been generated by the burning of kerosene in the baseline to generate that same lighting as provided by n lamp over period v:

Equation 5

$$BE_{n,v} = l_n * d_v * h * \frac{1}{LE_{ker}} * EF_{ker} * 10^{-6} * 3.6$$

The values are defined as follows:

Table 5

Parameter	Unit	Description	Value	Source
l(n)	Lumen	Lumen output of solarlamp, n	Variable (see table)	Technical specs (see references)
d	Days	Number of days in period v	365	-
h	Hours / day	Average number of hours lamps are used	3.5	Meth AMS I.A.
LE(ker)	Lumen/W	Specific luminous efficiency of kerosene when burnt in kerosene lantern	0.13	Louineau et al 1994
EF(ker)	TCO <sub>2</sub> /GJ	Specific emissions of CO2 of kerosene	0.0719	IPCC 2006

For the solar lighting component, baseline scenario is the use of fossil fuel to provide lighting in the households in the project boundary as per AMS-I.A. "Electricity generation by the user" (Version 14).

Rural households in India rely on kerosene for lighting. As per the "Energy sources of Indian Households for cooking and lighting" report (dated September 2012) of the Government of India's National Sample Survey Office, 44% of households in rural India use kerosene for lighting. Since, the solar lighting systems are implemented in a phased manner, the baseline scenario for individual solar lighting system will be identified in line with the guidelines given in AMS-I.A version 14. A representative sample survey (90% confidence interval, +/- 10% error margin) was also carried out in the project population to determine their pre-project fuel.

To ensure that the baseline requirements of the methodology and the registered PoA-DD are complied with by the VPA, the CME also carried out a baseline survey to determine the baseline at time of VPA inclusion. This survey was carried out through a random representative approach by considering end-users that have expressed an interest in buying the solar products from PO – GGI.

A representative sample survey (90% confidence interval, +/- 10% error margin) was carried out in the anticipated project population to determine their pre-project fuel. All respondents said that they used kerosene in wick lamps in the baseline scenario and are not connected to the grid.

Methodology for the sample survey:

1. The total sample size required to meet (90% confidence interval, +/- 10% error margin) was calculated using <http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html>.
2. The number of final samples taken i.e. 70 was more than the sample size required (68 samples as per <http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html> calculation) to meet 90% confidence interval, +/- 10% error margin to cover for contingencies like residents not being in the house, residents not willing to talk etc.
3. A questionnaire was prepared in consultation with PO's for conducting the survey. The questionnaire includes the name of the product owner, address and ask questions on what their baseline fuel was. The questions are designed to make sure that they are not leading and ensure that the respondents are not asked questions with bias.
4. MEC enumerators visited the selected households during the day (between 9 AM and 6 PM) to ask them the questions and collect the answers

During transition, CME conducted additional survey to ensure that the baseline requirements of the methodology and the registered PoA-DD are still complied with by the VPA at the time of transition, a baseline survey was conducted by CME in September to November 2020. This survey was carried out through a random representative approach by considering end-users that have expressed an interest in buying the solar products from Partner Organisations (PO) included in the VPA at the time of submission for transition.

The baseline survey was carried out in the all the Indian states included in the VPA. Representative branches are selected from these states from different POs operating in these regions. Then smaller set of sample population was selected randomly from 2-3 villages in each of the branch area.

Four staff members of MEC, branch staffs from selected branches and head office staff from PO have been trained to conduct survey. The staff members chosen for the survey had prior experience of conducting on-field surveys and were familiar with local area, culture, and local language. MEC staff and branch staff of PO organizations have in turn have trained the local field staffs from the same villages who are familiar with local area. The training was adequately tailored to the baseline surveys and included an interactive discussion of questions with surveyors, going through the questions of the baseline survey questionnaire (data collection form), role plays as well as interview techniques. The geographical area was divided into state-wise and each of CME staff supervising the on-field surveys carried out by the partner organizations branch staff.

Methodology for the sample survey:

1. The number of final samples taken i.e. 45 samples per state to meet 90% confidence interval, +/- 10% error margin.
2. The samples selected cover the states covered under the VPA at the time of submission for transition to GS.
3. A questionnaire was prepared in consultation with PO for conducting the survey. The questionnaire includes the name of the surveyed household member, address and ask questions on
  - a. Present lighting arrangement
  - b. Usage of kerosene for lighting needs
  - c. Number of kerosene lamps
  - d. Hourly usage of kerosene lamps
  - e. Power cut in the area
  - f. Issues/ problems faced while using kerosene lamp (health/financial/ illumination)



4. MEC enumerators visited the selected households during the day (between 9 AM and 6 PM) to ask them the questions and collect the answers.

All surveyed households responded that they used kerosene lamps in the baseline scenario. On an average the households burned kerosene lamps for 4-5hrs per day for their lighting needs.

As an additional measure, since solar sales in this VPA will be made in a phased manner across several states in India, and to ensure that the baseline requirements of the applied methodology AMS.I.A. v14 and registered PoA-DD are met, the baseline is also one of the monitoring parameters in Section B.7.1 of the VPA-DD. As part of the monitoring, it will be recorded whether or not households being given the solar lighting system used kerosene in the pre-project scenario. Only those households that used kerosene for lighting in the baseline scenario are included in the VPA for crediting.

Hence, it can be established that for households with solar lighting systems in the VPA, the baseline is use of kerosene.

#### BASELINE DESCRIPTION – IMPROVED COOKSTOVES

The use of three stone/open fire or conventional inefficient stoves / charcoal pots for cooking by the project stove users is the applicable pre-project scenario. Traditional biomass remains the main energy source for cooking in rural areas and poor urban clusters.

The baseline scenario identified in this VPA-PDD will serve to calculate the emission reductions creditable from the introduction of improved biomass cookstoves to replace traditional unimproved stoves used for cooking and heating water for drinking purposes at the household level.

A summary of baseline information for the state of Karnataka is provided in this Section. The baseline scenario for this project activity is derived using CDM methodology Tool 30 as well as supporting sources identified below including the calculation of  $f_{NRB,y}$ , the fraction of woody biomass saved by this project activity that can be established as non-renewable. Parameters for the baseline scenario are established using data primarily from the Ministry of Environment and Forest report titled, "India State of the Forest Report" by the Forest Survey of India (FSI), as well as supporting sources identified below. The baseline scenario identified in this VPA-DD will serve to calculate the emission reductions creditable from the introduction of improved biomass cookstoves to replace traditional unimproved stoves used for cooking and heating water for drinking purposes at the household level.

The methodology tool TOOL30 , "Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass", Version 3.0 is used to determine the fraction of non-renewable biomass (f<sub>NRB</sub>) for Karnataka. The tool provides guidance and step-wise procedure/method to calculate values of f<sub>NRB</sub> for Karnataka. This tool is applied for calculating f<sub>NRB</sub> that is used in baseline emissions that displaces the use of non-renewable biomass.

The tool is used by project proponent to calculate project specific f<sub>NRB</sub> values for 6 Indian states. In this VPA, the f<sub>NRB</sub> value estimated for the state of Karnataka has been included. The area where biomass is sourced is the geographical area of the state of Karnataka encompassing all the districts of the state.

#### **Determining fraction of non-renewable biomass (f<sub>NRB</sub>)**

The fraction of woody biomass that can be established as non-renewable is determined using Equation 1 in Para 7 of the Tool.

$$f_{NRB} = \frac{NRB}{NRB+RB}$$

Where:

<u>f<sub>NRB</sub></u>	<u>≡</u>	<u>Fraction of non-renewable biomass of the state (%)</u>
<u>NRB</u>	<u>≡</u>	<u>Quantity of non-renewable biomass consumed in the applicable area in the relevant period (tonnes)</u>
<u>RB</u>	<u>≡</u>	<u>Quantity of renewable biomass that is available on a sustainable basis in the applicable area in the relevant period (tonnes)</u>

The calculations of RB and NRB has been provided in an excel sheet to VVB. Based on equation 1 of TOOL30, the calculations of f<sub>NRB</sub> are shown in below table. Since the stoves in this VPA are distributed in Karnataka state, the f<sub>NRB,y</sub> value calculation has been shown individually for this state.- Thus, the value of f<sub>NRB</sub> for Karnataka is 0.86.

#### **Baseline scenario for this VPA:**

To ensure that the baseline requirements of the methodology and the registered PoA-DD are still complied with by the VPA at the time of transition, a baseline survey was conducted by CME in October 2020. This survey was carried out through a random representative approach by considering end-users that have expressed an interest in buying the improved cookstoves from Partner Organisations (PO).

The baseline survey along with Kitchen Performance Test (KPT) to determine baseline woody biomass consumption and the efficiency of the woody biomass baseline devices (three-stone fired) was carried out in the state of Karnataka where cookstoves in this VPA are implemented. Five staff members of MEC, and head office staff from PO were trained to conduct survey. The staff members chosen for the survey had prior experience of conducting on-field surveys and were familiar with local area, culture, and local language. MEC staff and branch staff of PO organization have in turn have trained the local field staffs called "Seva Prathinidhi" who are from the same villages familiar with local area. The training was adequately tailored to the baseline surveys/KPT and included an interactive discussion of questions with surveyors, going through the questions of the baseline survey questionnaire (data collection form) and KPT protocol, role plays as well as interview techniques. The baseline surveys have carried out throughout the month of October 2020 followed by KPT tests in October and November 2020. The geographical area was divided into two teams, with each team comprising of a Seva Prathinidhi, Branch staff and CME staff who was supervising the field surveys.

Prior to conducting KPT measurements, the baseline surveys were carried out where the households were asked questions in regard to household size, cooking patterns, number of meals cooked, fuel and cooking device used. The surveyors verified the response given by the household related to the cooking devices by an on-site kitchen observation. All the surveys and KPTs were carried out in person visiting the households.

Methodology for the sample survey:

1. The number of final samples taken i.e. 100 for baseline survey -to meet 90% confidence interval, +/- 10% error margin.
2. The samples selected include the states covered under the VPA at the time of submission for transition to GS, i.e. Karnataka in this specific VPA.
3. A questionnaire was prepared in consultation with PO for conducting the survey. The questionnaire includes the HH member consent to interview and if he/she is the primary cook for the HH and has used the ICS, name and gender of the product owner, address and ask questions on
  - a) Type of cooking done (domestic/commercial)
  - b) Currently used cooking devices (three stoned fired, in-efficient mud stove, stove with chimney and grate, kerosene stove/ LPG/ Electric

- stove)
- c) Number of stoves owned
  - d) When was the stove last used?
  - e) Does the stove have a chimney or grate?
  - f) Do you experience any increase in fuel consumption during a different season?
  - g) Count of meals cooked in a day
  - h) Other types of stove being used
  - i) Count of meals prepared with respective stove in a day in different seasons
  - j) Average cooking hours per day
  - k) Average baseline fuel wood consumption (through baseline kitchen performance test in selected households, methodology explained below in this section)
  - l) Purchase / collection of fuel wood
  - m) Person primarily responsible for fuel wood collection
  - n) Time spent in cooking and collecting fuel
  - o) Smoke emission from biomass stove in kitchen and health issues
  - p) Interest in buying an improved cookstove

4. MEC enumerators visited the selected households during the day (between 9 AM and 6 PM) to ask them the questions and collect the answers.

#### Methodology for Kitchen Performance Tests

- Sample selection was done based on a clustering approach. Sample households were selected randomly from the states where cookstoves have been disseminated, but care was taken to select them from a smaller population focusing on one area so that the test houses are not spread out geographically.
- 90 samples were selected per VPA (VPAs with cookstoves included) for baseline kitchen performance tests.
- Quantitative measurement of fuel wood which is the primary fuel, done with a weighing scale and its consumption was measured for 3 consecutive days in the sample households, visiting those households on 4 consecutive days.
- If the sample houses use any other fuel type like LPG, Kerosene, electricity, etc., those were marked clearly, and their measurement taken into consideration.
- Following parameters were recorded:
  - o The type of stove used for cooking; number of stoves present in the household are recorded.

o Number of meals prepared per day to recorded to ensure there are no unusual cooking pattern in the sampled household.

o Any seasonal variation in cooking pattern and fuel consumption like the availability of crop residue if any are recorded.

• Households were encouraged to prepare meals typical of the annual pattern to avoid unusually large meals during the test period.

• Each household was given a measured quantity of wood, using brand new weighing scale with precision of 5g for 0-10kgs and 10g for 10-50kgs for each of these days and after the day's cooking the remaining fuelwood is measured. The logs were created for initial quantity of wood and remaining wood after days' cooking to arrive at the consumption per day.

• Apart from measuring the wood cooking practices, meals prepared per day, fuel handling, etc., are also taken into consideration. Wood moisture levels are tested before stock of fuel being used in the kitchen tests.

The survey results showed that all households surveyed depend on three-stone fired traditional cookstove using fuelwood. Based on the baseline kitchen performance tests, the quantity of fuel consumed by traditional cookstoves using wood/wood residue in baseline scenario ( $B_{b,v}$ ) is 0.6550 t/capita/year based on survey results. Further the survey revealed, each of the households used their three stone fired stove for cooking their daily meals two to three times a day. On an average the family size per household was 3-4 members comprising of adults and children with at least 2 adults per household. None of the households were using any other forms of fuel other than firewood and had only one stove per household.

## B.5 Demonstration of additionality

>>

Refer to section A.1.1 criteria number 13 of the VPA-DD.

Specify the methodology, activity requirement or product requirement that establishes deemed additionality for the proposed project (including the version number and the specific paragraph, if applicable).	<p>Additionality will be demonstrated in accordance with EB 68 Annex 27: Guideline on the Demonstration of Additionality of Small- Scale Project Activities Version 09, Paragraph 2(c) which states that a barriers analysis is not required to document Additionality for:</p> <p>c) Project activities solely composed of isolated units where the users of the</p>
---	---

	technology/measure are households or communities or Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) and where the size of each unit is no larger than 5 per cent of the small- scale CDM thresholds.
Describe how the proposed project meets the criteria for deemed additionality.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. VPA-DD Section A.4 demonstrating that the size of each unit is no larger than 5% of the small-scale CDM threshold</li> <li>2. Manufacturer's specifications showing that solar lighting products are less than the 5% of the 15 mw cap.</li> <li>3. Manufacturer's specifications showing that improved cookstoves are less than the 5% of the 180 GWh cap</li> </ol>

#### B.5.1 Prior Consideration

>> N/A.

#### B.5.2 Ongoing Financial Need

>>

N/A as the VPA is not renewing its crediting period with transition.

#### B.6 Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) outcomes

Relevant Target/Indicator for each of the three SDGs

Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	Most relevant SDG Target	SDG Impact Indicator (Proposed or SDG Indicator)
13 Climate Action (mandatory)	N/A	Emission Reductions
1 End poverty in all its forms everywhere	1.4 By 2030, ensure that all men and women, in particular	Number of households with clean energy products

**Gold Standard** Climate Security and Sustainable Development

	the poor and the vulnerable, have equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to basic services, ownership and control over land and other forms of property, inheritance, natural resources, appropriate new technology and financial services, including microfinance	
3 Good Health and Well-being	3.9 By 2030, substantially reduce the number of deaths and illnesses from hazardous chemicals and air, water and soil pollution and contamination	Percentage of households confirming less smoke with the use of improved stove
5: Gender Equality	5.4 Recognize and value unpaid care and domestic work through the provision of public services, infrastructure and reporting time saving on social protection domestic work by women in policies and the collecting fuel for cooking on promotion of shared traditional stove responsibility within the household and the family as nationally appropriate	Percentage of Household
7: Affordable and Clean Energy	7.1 By 2030, ensure universal access to primary reliance on clean fuels affordable, reliable and technology	Number of households with

	and modern energy services	
8: Decent Work and Economic Growth	8.3 Promote development-oriented policies that support productive activities, decent job creation, entrepreneurship, creativity and innovation, and encourage the formalization and growth of micro-, small- and medium-sized enterprises, including through access to financial services	Total no of jobs created

B.6.1 Explanation of methodological choices/approaches for estimating the SDG Impact

>>

SDG 1: No Poverty

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation
1.4.1 Proportion of population living in households with access to basic services  Approach:  1. Monitor the number of households where CEPs are distributed under the project as an indicator of providing basic service access to households	1. CEP distribution records:  $\text{Net Benefit (SDG 1)} = \text{BSA}_{\text{Project}} - \text{BSA}_{\text{Baseline}}$ Where: $\text{BSA}_{\text{Baseline}}$ Number of households where CEPs were distributed in baseline = 0 $\text{BSA}_{\text{Project}}$ Number of households where CEPs were distributed in Project

SDG 3: Good Health and Well Being



Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation
<p>3.9.1 Mortality rate attributed to household and ambient air pollution</p> <p>Approach: Monitoring Surveys conducted to capture information on users' perception on reduction in health issues after shifting to project CEPs</p>	<p>Ex-post Monitoring Surveys to check change in health issues like reduction in smoke levels.</p> <p>Net Benefit (SDG 3) = <math>SPM_{HH,Project} - SPM_{HH,Baseline}</math></p> <p>Where:</p> <p><math>SPM_{HH,Baseline}</math> % HH reporting reduction in smoke while cooking on improved stove in baseline = 0</p> <p><math>SPM_{HH,Project}</math> % HH reporting reduction in smoke while cooking on improved stove in project</p>

#### SDG 5: Gender Equality

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation
<p>5.4.1 Proportion of time spent on unpaid domestic and care work, by sex, age and location</p> <p>Approach: Monitoring Surveys conducted to capture information on time savings due to reduced fuel collection needs after shifting to project ICS</p>	<p>Ex-post Monitoring Survey Records measuring time savings from reduced fuel collection, due to reduced fuel consumption in households</p> <p>Net Benefit (SDG 5) = <math>HHTS_{Project} - HHTS_{Baseline}</math></p> <p>Where:</p> <p><math>HHTS_{Project}</math> % HH reporting time saving from fuel collection due to reduced fuel consumption in project</p> <p><math>HHTS_{Baseline}</math> % HH reporting time saving from fuel collection due to reduced fuel consumption in baseline = 0</p>

#### SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation
<p>7.1.2 Proportion of population with primary reliance on clean fuels and technology</p>	<p>ICS and SLS distribution records</p> <p>Net Benefit (SDG 7) = <math>ACS_{Project} - ACS_{Baseline}</math></p>

Approach: Monitor the number of ICS and SLS distributed under the project as an indicator of providing clean technology (relative to baseline stoves).	<p>Where:</p> <p>ACS<sub>Baseline</sub> Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating ICS and SLS units under Baseline) = 0</p> <p>ACS<sub>Project</sub> Access to affordable and clean energy (Number of operating ICS and SLS units under Project)</p>
--	---

### SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation
8.3.1 Proportion of informal employment in total employment, by sector and sex	Employment records
Approach: Recording the number of employees (male / female) in the project under administrative, sales, production and management positions	<p>Net Benefit (SDG 8) = <math>QE_{IG_{Project}} - QE_{IG_{Baseline}}</math></p> <p>Where:</p> <p>QE IG<sub>Baseline</sub> Quantitative Employment and income generation (Number of person (male and female) hired under Baseline) = 0</p> <p>QE IG<sub>Project</sub> Quantitative Employment and income generation (Number of person (male and female) hired under Project)</p>

### SDG 13: Climate Action : Improved Cookstoves

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation
13.2.2 Amount of CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions reduced by the project per year	The Emission Reductions can be calculated using the following equation:
Approach: TPDDTEC, version 3.1	When the baseline fuel and the project fuel are the same and the baseline emission factor and project emission are considered the same, the overall GHG reductions achieved by the project activity in year y are calculated as follows:

	<p> <math display="block">ERY = \sum_{b,p} (N_{p,y} * U_{p,y} * P_{p,b,y} * NCV_{b, fuel} * (f_{NRB,b, y} * EF_{fuel, CO2} + EF_{fuel, nonCO2})) - \sum LE_{p,y}</math> </p> <p>Where:</p> <p> <math>\sum_{b,p}</math> Sum over all relevant (baseline b/project p) couples  <math>N_{p,y}</math> Cumulative number of project technology-days included in the project database for project scenario p against baseline scenario b in year y  <math>U_{p,y}</math> Cumulative usage rate for technologies in project scenario p in year y, based on cumulative adoption rate and drop off rate revealed by usage surveys (fraction)  <math>P_{p,b,y}</math> Specific fuel savings for an individual technology of project p against an individual technology of baseline b in year y, in tons/day, as derived from the statistical analysis of the data collected from the field tests  <math>f_{NRB,b, y}</math> Fraction of biomass used in year y for baseline scenario b that can be established as non-renewable biomass (drop this term from the equation when using a fossil fuel baseline scenario)  <math>NCV_{b,fuel}</math> Net calorific value of the fuel that is substituted or reduced (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.015 TJ/ton)  <math>EF_{b,fuel,CO2}</math> CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is substituted or reduced. 112 tCO<sub>2</sub>/TJ for Wood/Wood Waste, or the IPCC default value of other relevant fuel  <math>EF_{b,fuel,nonCO2}</math> Non-CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is reduced  <math>LE_{p,y}</math> Leakage for project scenario p in year y (tCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr) </p> <p>Leakage, if applicable, will be assessed on the following points:</p>
--	--

	<p>a. The displaced baseline technologies are reused outside the project boundary in place of lower emitting technology or in a manner suggesting more usage than would have occurred in the absence of the project.</p> <p>b. The NRB or fossil fuels saved under the project activity are used by non-project users who previously used lower emitting energy sources.</p> <p>c. The project significantly impacts the NRB fraction within an area where other CDM or VER project activities account for NRB fraction in their baseline scenario.</p> <p>d. The project population compensates for loss of the space heating effect of inefficient technology by adopting some other form of heating or by retaining some use of inefficient technology.</p> <p>By virtue of promotion and marketing of a new technology with high efficiency, the project stimulates substitution within households who commonly used a technology with relatively lower emissions, in cases where such a trend is not eligible as an evolving baseline.</p>
--	---

### SDG 13: Climate Action: Solar Lighting Systems

Applied methodology/approach	Equation/calculation
<p>13.2.2 Amount of CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions reduced by the project per year</p> <p>Approach: AMS.I.A., version 14.0</p>	<p>The emissions reductions for solar lighting projects under AMS-I.A are determined to be the same as the baseline emissions. Therefore, the equations for calculating the emissions reductions are:</p> <p>The per-lamp baseline emissions are calculated in Baseline Step 3. To calculate total emission reductions, these must be aggregated across all lamps in use in the period under consideration. This is done using the following equations, as per methodology approved for use in d.Light PDD, GS448:</p>

	Total baseline emissions per project lamp in year $y$ for period $v$ are calculated as the sum of the baseline emissions of each lamp type $i$ in the period:			
	$BE_v = \sum_{i=1}^n BE_{i,v} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$			
	<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Value</b>
	$BE_v$	tCO <sub>2</sub>	Calculated	Emissions generated in the absence of the project activity in period $v$ by all lamps
	$BE_{i,v}$	tCO <sub>2</sub>	Calculated	Emissions generated in the absence of the project activity in period $v$ by all lamps of type $i$
	Ex post baseline emission for each lamp type $i$ is calculated with the following equation:			
	$BE_v = \sum_{a=1}^n (N_{i,a} * d_{i,a,v}) * l_i * h * \frac{1}{LE_{ker}} * EF_{ker} * 10^{-6} * 3.6 * CF_{i,v,LFR}$			
	(Eq. 3)			
	<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Value</b>
	$BE_v$	tCO <sub>2</sub>	Calculated	Emissions generated in the absence of the project activity in period $v$ by all lamps of type $i$
	$N_{i,a}$	Number	Monitored	The total number of solar lamps of type $i$ deployed in period $a$
	$d_{i,a,v}$	Days	Monitored/calculated	Average number of days lamps of type $i$ that have been deployed in period $a$ were operating in period $v$

	$I_i$	Lumen	Monitored (once per lamp type)	Nominal lumen output of solar lamps of the type $I$ deployed as part of the project activity
	H	Hours/day	Fixed	Average operating hours of kerosene lamps in the baseline
	$LE_{ker}$	Lumen/W	Fixed	The specific light output of kerosene when burnt in a kerosene lantern
	$EF_{ker}$	tCO <sub>2</sub> /GJ	Fixed	The specific CO <sub>2</sub> -emissions of kerosene
	$CF_{i,v,LFR}$	-	Monitored/Calculated	This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type $i$ by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period $v$ . The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence level 90%).
<p>Where:</p> $CF_{i,v,LFR} = 1 - \left( LFR_{i,v} + z^* \sqrt{\frac{LFR_{i,v} * (1 - LFR_{i,v})}{n_{i,v,total}}} \right) \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}$				
	<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Value</b>

	$CF_{i,v,LF}$ R	-	Calculated	This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type $i$ by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period $v$ . The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence level 90%).
	$LFR_{i,v}$	%	Monitored	Share of lamps of lamp type $i$ in checked sample group $g_{i,v}$ not operational in period $v$ .
	Z	-	Given	Standard normal for a confidence level of 90%
	$n_{i,v,total}$	-	Monitored	Total number of lamps checked for which a valid result was obtained.
In line with the applied methodology and the registered PoA, project emissions and leakage emissions are not present and hence not included.				

### B.6.2 Data and parameters fixed ex ante

For Improved Cookstoves

#### SDG13

Data/parameter	$P_{b,y}$
Unit	kg/household-day
Description	Quantity of fuel that is consumed in baseline scenario $b$ during year $y$
Source of data	Baseline FT or default baseline fuelwood consumption
Value(s) applied	7.194

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	See Section B.4
Purpose of data	For baseline emission calculations
Additional comment	Fixed ex-ante for entire crediting period

Data/parameter	EF <sub>b,i,CO2</sub>
Unit	tCO <sub>2</sub> /t <sub>fuel</sub>
Description	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor arising from use of fuel type <i>i</i> in baseline scenario
Source of data	Methodology default, Other fuels: IPCC defaults
Value(s) applied	Fuelwood: 112 tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Default IPCC values have been applied
Purpose of data	For baseline emission calculations
Additional comment	-

Data/parameter	EF <sub>b,i,nonCO2</sub>				
Unit	tCO <sub>2</sub> /tfuel				
Description	Non-CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor arising from use of fuel type i in baseline scenario				
Source of data	IPCC default value as per 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories volume 2, chapter 2 (Table 2.9)				
Value(s) applied	Wood: 37.25 tCO <sub>2</sub> e/TJ				
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Default IPCC values have been applied for wood				
	Parameter	Value	Average	Units	Source
	EF_wood_CH4	258 – 2190	1224	kgCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ	
	EF_wood_N2O	4 – 18.5	11.25	KgCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ	



TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

	GWP CH4	28		tCO2/TJ	AR5
	GWP N2O	265		tCO2/TJ	AR5
	EF <sub>b,fuel non-CO2</sub>		37.25	tCO2/TJ	
Purpose of data	For baseline emission calculations				
Additional comment	-				

Data/parameter	EF <sub>p,i,CO2</sub>				
Unit	tCO <sub>2</sub> /t <sub>fuel</sub>				
Description	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor arising from use of fuel type <i>i</i> in project scenario				
Source of data	Wood: Methodology default, Other fuels: IPCC default				
Value(s) applied	Fuelwood / wood chips: 112 tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ				
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Default IPCC values have been applied				
Purpose of data	For project emission calculations				
Additional comment	-				

Data/parameter	EF <sub>p,i,nonCO2</sub>				
Unit	tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ				
Description	Non-CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor arising from use of fuel type <i>i</i> used in project scenario				
Source of data	IPCC default value as per 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories volume 2, chapter 2 (Table 2.9)				
Value(s) applied	37.25 tCO <sub>2</sub> e/TJ				
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Default IPCC values have been applied for wood				
	Parameter	Value	Average	Units	Source
	EF_wood_CH4	258 – 2190	1224	kgCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ	
	EF_wood_N2O	4 – 18.5	11.25	KgCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ	
	GWP CH4	28		tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ	AR5

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

	GWP N2O	265		tCO2/TJ	AR5
	EF <sub>b,fuel non-CO2</sub>		37.25	tCO2/TJ	
Purpose of data	For project emission calculations				
Additional comment	-				

Data/parameter	NCV <sub>b,i</sub>				
Unit	TJ/tonne				
Description	Net calorific value of the fuel type <i>i</i> used in the baseline				
Source of data	Methodology default: Wood Other fuels: - IPCC defaults				
Value(s) applied	Fuelwood / wood chips: 0.0156 TJ/tonnes				
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Default IPCC values have been applied				
Purpose of data	For baseline emission calculations				
Additional comment	-				

Data/parameter	NCV <sub>p,i</sub>				
Unit	TJ/tonne				
Description	Net calorific value of the fuel type <i>i</i> used in the project scenario				
Source of data	Wood: Methodology default, Other fuels: IPCC defaults				
Value(s) applied	Fuelwood / wood chips: 0.0156 TJ/tonnes				
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Default IPCC values for wood / wood waste are applied.				
Purpose of data	For project emission calculations				
Additional comment	-				

Data/parameter	f <sub>NRB,b,i,y</sub>				
----------------	------------------------	--	--	--	--

Unit	Fractional non-renewability
Description	Non-renewability status of woody biomass fuel type <i>i</i> that can be established as non-renewable during year <i>y</i>
Source of data	Calculation as per CDM methodology Tool 30 version 3.0
Value(s) applied	Fuelwood: 0.860 for Karnataka
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	N.A.
Purpose of data	For emission reduction calculations
Additional comment	-

#### For Solar Lighting Systems

Data/parameter	LE <sub>ker</sub>
Unit	Lumen/W
Description	The specific luminous efficiency of kerosene when burnt in a kerosene lantern
Source of data	Jean-Paul Louineau, Modibo Dicko, Peter Fraenkel, Roy Barlow and Varis Bokalders; Rural Lighting: A Guide for Development Workers, Intermediate Technology (IT) Publications in association with The Stockholm Environment Institute 1994
Value(s) applied	0.13
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	Louineau et al (1994) state an efficiency range of 0.05 to 0.21 lumens/W for hurricane kerosene lanterns. Another study by the World Bank states an efficiency of 0.1 lumen/W for hurricane lanterns. Values for the widely used homemade wick lamps are scarcely available as designs vary. Anyway, these lamps have much lower efficiencies than hurricane lanterns. It is assumed that the kerosene lamp model in the baseline is a hurricane lamp. This is conservative since the vast majority of households use self-made kerosene lanterns without a glass cover, which are less efficient due to wind disturbance and very basic design. The average efficiency value of 0.13 lumen/watt for hurricane lamps from Louineau et al (1994) is chosen, being conservative

Purpose of data	with respect to the lower value of 0.1 lumen/W provided by the World Bank. Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	The parameter is fixed for the entire crediting period.

Data/parameter	EF <sub>ker</sub>
Unit	tCO <sub>2</sub> /GJ
Description	The specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions of kerosene
Source of data	2006 IPCC guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas inventories
Value(s) applied	0.0719
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	The default value of other kerosene in 2006 IPCC guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories is 71.900 tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	The parameter is fixed for the entire crediting period.

Data/parameter	z
Unit	n/a
Description	Standard normal for a confidence interval of 90%
Source of data	Köhler, Schachtel, Voleske, 2002; Biostatistik, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg; Tafel 2, p. 279
Value(s) applied	1.290, 1.645; 1.96
Choice of data or Measurement methods and procedures	This is the statistical standard value for standard normal for a confidence level of 90% for a one-sided test, and 90% and 95% for a two-sided test, respectively.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions

Additional comment

-

### B.6.3 Ex ante estimation of SDG Impact

>>

#### Calculations for Improved Cookstoves and Solar Lighting Systems

##### **SDG 1: No Poverty**

1. ICS distribution records

$$\text{Net Benefit (SDG 1)} = \text{BSA}_{\text{Project}} - \text{BSA}_{\text{Baseline}}$$

Where:

$\text{BSA}_{\text{Baseline}}$  Average Number of ICS distributed in baseline = 0

$\text{BSA}_{\text{Project}}$  Average Number of ICS distributed in Project = 20,200

2. SLS distribution records

$$\text{Net Benefit (SDG 1)} = \text{BSA}_{\text{Project}} - \text{BSA}_{\text{Baseline}}$$

Where:

$\text{BSA}_{\text{Baseline}}$  Average Number of SLS distributed in baseline = 0

$\text{BSA}_{\text{Project}}$  Average Number of SLS distributed in Project = 2,622,470

##### **SDG 3: Good Health and Well Being**

Ex-post Monitoring Surveys to check change in health issues like reduction in smoke levels.

$$\text{Net Benefit (SDG 3)} = \text{SPM}_{\text{HH,Project}} - \text{SPM}_{\text{HH,Baseline}}$$

Where:

$\text{SPM}_{\text{HH,Baseline}}$  % HH reporting reduction in smoke while cooking on improved stove in baseline = 0

$\text{SPM}_{\text{HH,Project}}$  % HH reporting reduction in smoke while cooking on improved stove in project = 100%

##### **SDG 5: Gender Equality**

Ex-post Monitoring Survey Records measuring time savings from reduced fuel collection, due to reduced fuel consumption in households

$$\text{Net Benefit (SDG 5)} = \text{HHTS}_{\text{Project}} - \text{HHTS}_{\text{Baseline}}$$

Where:

$\text{HHTS}_{\text{Project}}$  % HH reporting time saving from fuel collection due to reduced fuel consumption in project = 100%

$\text{HHTS}_{\text{Baseline}}$  % HH reporting time saving from fuel collection due to reduced fuel consumption in baseline = 0

### SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

ICS distribution records

$$\text{Net Benefit (SDG 7)} = \text{ACS}_{\text{Project}} - \text{ACS}_{\text{Baseline}}$$

Where:

$\text{ACS}_{\text{Baseline}}$  Access to affordable and clean energy (Avg. Number of operating ICS units under Baseline) = 0

$\text{ACS}_{\text{Project}}$  Access to affordable and clean energy (Avg. Number of operating ICS units under Project) = 20,200

SLS distribution records

$$\text{Net Benefit (SDG 7)} = \text{ACS}_{\text{Project}} - \text{ACS}_{\text{Baseline}}$$

Where:

$\text{ACS}_{\text{Baseline}}$  Access to affordable and clean energy (Avg. Number of operating SLS units under Baseline) = 0

$\text{ACS}_{\text{Project}}$  Access to affordable and clean energy (Avg. Number of operating SLS units under Project) = 2,622,470

### SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth

Employment records

$$\text{Net Benefit (SDG 8)} = \text{QE IG}_{\text{Project}} - \text{QE IG}_{\text{Baseline}}$$

Where:

$\text{QE IG}_{\text{Baseline}}$  Quantitative Employment and income generation (Number of person (male and female) hired under Baseline) = 0

$\text{QE IG}_{\text{Project}}$  Quantitative Employment and income generation (Number of person (male and female) hired under Project) = 20

### SDG 13: Climate Action (Improved Cookstoves)

The overall GHG reductions achieved by the project activity will be calculated as follows:

$$ER_y = \sum BE_{b,y} - \sum PE_{p,y} - \sum LE_{p,y}$$

Where:

$ER_y$	Emission reduction for total project activity in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr)
$BE_{b,y}$	Baseline emissions for baseline scenario b in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr)
$PE_{p,y}$	Project emissions for project scenario p in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr)
$LE_{p,y}$	Leakage for project scenario p in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr)

The project proponent must estimate emission reductions in the project documentation prior to validation using conservative assumptions for baseline and project scenario variables. The approach followed for ex-ante estimation is as follows:

$$BE_{b,y} = B_{b,y} * ((f_{NRB,y} * EF_{b,fuel, CO2}) + EF_{b,fuel, nonCO2}) * NCV_{b, fuel}$$

Where:

$BE_{b,y}$	Emissions for baseline scenario b during the year y in tCO <sub>2</sub> e
$B_{b,y}$	Quantity of fuel consumed in baseline scenario b during year y, in tons, as per by-default factors (cases with project performance field test only)
$f_{NRB,y}$	Fraction of biomass used during year y for the considered scenario that can be established as non-renewable biomass (drop this term from the equation when using a fossil fuel baseline scenario)
$NCV_{b,fuel}$	Net calorific value of the fuel that is substituted or reduced (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.015 TJ/ton)
$EF_{b,fuel,CO2}$	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is substituted or reduced. 112 tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ for Wood/Wood Waste, or the IPCC default value of other relevant fuel
$EF_{b,fuel,nonCO2}$	Non-CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is substituted or reduced

EF can include a combination of emission factors from fuel production, transport, and use. CO<sub>2</sub> and non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions factors for charcoal may be estimated from project specific monitoring or alternatively by researching a conservative wood to charcoal production ratio (from IPCC, credible published literature, project-relevant measurement reports, or project-specific monitoring) and multiplying this value by the pertinent EF for wood.

$$B_{b,y} = N_{p,y} * P_{b,y}$$

Where:

- $N_{p,y}$  Project technology-days in the project database for project scenario p through year y
- $P_{b,y}$  Specific fuel consumption for an individual technology in baseline scenario b during year y converted to tons/day

Project emission calculations are conducted as follows:

$$PE_{p,y} = B_{p,y} * ((f_{NRB,y} * EF_{p,fuel, CO2}) + EF_{p,fuel, nonCO2}) * NCV_{p, fuel}$$

Where:

- $PE_{p,y}$  Emissions for project scenario p during year y in tCO<sub>2</sub>e
- $B_{p,y}$  Quantity of fuel consumed in project scenario p during year y, in tons, and as derived from the statistical analysis conducted on the data collected during the project performance field tests (cases when no baseline performance field test are performed, e.g. by-default baseline factors)
- $f_{NRB,y}$  Fraction of biomass used during year y that can be established as non-renewable biomass (drop this term from the equation when using a fossil fuel baseline scenario)
- $NCV_{p,fuel}$  Net calorific value of the project fuel (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.015 TJ/ton). This is equal to the baseline fuel NCV in projects which use the same fuel.
- $EF_{p,fuel,CO2}$  CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor of the project fuel. This is equal to the baseline fuel EF in projects which use the same fuel, 112 tCO<sub>2</sub>/TJ for Wood/Wood Waste, or the IPCC default value of other relevant fuel
- $EF_{p,fuel,nonCO2}$  Non-CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor of the project fuel. This is equal to the baseline fuel EF in projects which use the same fuel.

Whereas, ex-post the Emission Reductions can be calculated using the following equation:

- When the baseline fuel and the project fuel are the same and the baseline emission factor and project emission are considered the same, the overall GHG reductions achieved by the project activity in year y are calculated as follows:



$$ERY = \sum_{b,p} (N_{p,y} * U_{p,y} * P_{p,b,y} * NCV_{b, fuel} * (f_{NRB,b, y} * EF_{fuel, CO2} + EF_{fuel, nonCO2})) - \sum LE_{p,y}$$

Where:

$\sum_{b,p}$	Sum over all relevant (baseline b/project p) couples
$N_{p,y}$	Cumulative number of project technology-days included in the project database for project scenario p against baseline scenario b in year y
$U_{p,y}$	Cumulative usage rate for technologies in project scenario p in year y, based on cumulative adoption rate and drop off rate revealed by usage surveys (fraction)
$P_{p,b,y}$	Specific fuel savings for an individual technology of project p against an individual technology of baseline b in year y, in tons/day, as derived from the statistical analysis of the data collected from the field tests
$f_{NRB,b, y}$	Fraction of biomass used in year y for baseline scenario b that can be established as non-renewable biomass (drop this term from the equation when using a fossil fuel baseline scenario)
$NCV_{b,fuel}$	Net calorific value of the fuel that is substituted or reduced (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.015 TJ/ton)
$EF_{b,fuel,CO2}$	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is substituted or reduced. 112 tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ for Wood/Wood Waste, or the IPCC default value of other relevant fuel
$EF_{b,fuel,nonCO2}$	Non-CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is reduced
$LE_{p,y}$	Leakage for project scenario p in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/yr)

Leakage, if applicable, will be assessed on the following points:

- The displaced baseline technologies are reused outside the project boundary in place of lower emitting technology or in a manner suggesting more usage than would have occurred in the absence of the project.
- The NRB or fossil fuels saved under the project activity are used by non-project users who previously used lower emitting energy sources.
- The project significantly impacts the NRB fraction within an area where other CDM or VER project activities account for NRB fraction in their baseline scenario.
- The project population compensates for loss of the space heating effect of inefficient technology by adopting some other form of heating or by retaining some use of inefficient technology.

By virtue of promotion and marketing of a new technology with high efficiency, the project stimulates substitution within households who commonly used a technology with relatively lower emissions, in cases where such a trend is not eligible as an evolving baseline.

Emission reduction calculation:

Jumbo Stoves:

Parameter Symbol	Definition	Value	Units	Source
$f_{NRB,b,i,y}$	Non-renewability status of woody biomass fuel type $i$ that can be established as non-renewable during year $y$	0.860	Fraction	The value for the state of Karnataka using CDM Tool 30 version 3.0
$NCV_{b,wood}$	Net calorific value of the fuel that is substituted or reduced	0.0156	TJ/tonne	IPCC default value
$EF_{p,i,CO_2}$	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is substituted or reduced	112	tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ	Default
$EF_{p,i,non-CO_2}$	Non-CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is reduced	37.25	tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ	Default
$P_{p,b,y}$	Specific fuel savings for an individual technology of project $p$ against an individual technology of baseline $b$ in year $y$ , in tons/day	0.0049	tonnes/HH/day	Based on KPT results
$Up,y$	Cumulative usage rate for technologies in project scenario $p$ in year $y$	0.90	Fraction	Survey results
$N$	Total number of stoves disseminated	1	-	Estimated
$N_{p,y}$	Cumulative number of project technology-days included in the project database for project scenario $p$	365	-	Estimated

	against baseline scenario b in year y			
Leakage emissions	Leakage for project scenario p in year y (tCO2e/yr)	0	-	Estimated
ER	Emission reductions	3.35	tCO2	Calculated

Total Emission reductions for year-5 projections from Improved cookstove = 27,000 \* 3.35 = 90,324 tCO<sub>2</sub>

### SDG 13: Climate Action (Solar Lighting Systems)

As explained above, the emissions reductions for solar projects under AMS-I.A. are determined to be the same as the baseline emissions. Therefore, the equations for calculating the emissions reductions are:

The per-lamp baseline emissions are calculated in Baseline Step 3. To calculate total emission reductions, these must be aggregated across all lamps in use in the period under consideration. This is done using the following equations, as per methodology approved for use in d.Light PDD,GS448:

Total baseline emissions for period  $v$  are calculated as the sum of the baseline emissions of each lamp type  $i$  in the period:  
(Eq.2 )

$$BE_v = \sum_{i=1}^n BE_{i,v}$$

Parameter	Unit	Type	Value
$BE_v$	tCO2	Calculated	Emissions generated in the absence of the project activity in period $v$ by all lamps
$BE_{i,v}$	tCO2	Calculated	Emissions generated in the absence of the project activity in period $v$ by all lamps of type $i$

Ex post baseline emission for each lamp type  $i$  is calculated with the following equation:

(Eq. 3)

$$BE_v = \sum_{a=1}^n (N_{i,a} * d_{i,a,v}) * l_i * h * \frac{1}{LE_{ker}} * EF_{ker} * 10^{-6} * 3.6 * CF_{i,v,LFR}$$

Parameter	Unit	Type	Value
$BE_{i,v}$	tCO2	Calculated	Emissions generated in the absence of the project activity in period $v$ by all lamps of type $i$
$N_{i,a}$	-	Monitored	The total number of solar lamps of type $i$ deployed in period $a$
$d_{i,a,v}$	Days	Monitored/ calculated	Average number of days lamps of type $i$ that have been deployed in period $a$ were operating in period $v$
$l_i$	Lumen	Monitored (once per lamp type)	Nominal lumen output of solar lamps of the type $i$ deployed as part of the project activity
$h$	Hours/day	Fixed	Average number of hours solar lamps are used per day
$LE_{ker}$	Lumen/W	Fixed	The specific light output of kerosene when burnt in a kerosene lantern
$EF_{ker}$	tCO2/GJ	Fixed	The specific CO2-emissions of kerosene
$CF_{i,v,LFR}$	-	Monitored/ Calculated	This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type $i$ by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period $v$ . The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence level 90%).

(Eq. 4)

$$CF_{i,v,LFR} = 1 - \left( LFR_{i,v} + z * \sqrt{\frac{LFR_{i,v} * (1 - LFR_{i,v})}{n_{i,v,total}}} \right)$$

Where:

In line with the applied methodology and the registered PoA, project emissions and

Parameter	Unit	Type	Value
$CF_{i,v,LFR}$	-	Calculated	This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type $i$ by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period $v$ . The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence level 90%).
$LFR_{i,v}$	-	Monitored	Share of lamps of lamp type $i$ in checked sample group $g_{i,v}$ not operational in period $v$ .
$z$	-	Given	Standard normal for a confidence level of 90%
$n_{i,v,total}$	-	Monitored	Total number of lamps checked for which a valid result was obtained.

leakage emissions are not present and hence not included.

Parameter Symbol	Definition	Value	Unit	Source
$N_{i,a}$	The total number of solar lamps of type $i$ deployed in period $a$	1	Number	To be monitored
$d_{i,a,v}$	Average number of days lamps of type $i$ that have been deployed in period $a$ were operating in period $v$	365	Days	Assumption for ex-ante emission reduction calculation

$L_i$	Nominal lumen output of solar lamps of the type $i$ deployed as part of the project activity	140.538	Lumen	The PoA-DD prescribes a cap of 140.538 Lumens for individual household with solar lighting systems implemented under the PoA in 2021 and hence a Lumen value of 140.538 is applied. For all solar lighting systems, the Lumen value will be capped at 140.538 for individual households.
$h$	Average operating hours of kerosene lamps in the baseline	3.5	hrs/day	Methodology default
$LE_{ker}$	The specific light output of kerosene when burnt in a kerosene lantern	0.13	Lumen/Watt	Jean-Paul Louineau, Modibo Dicko, Peter Fraenkel, Roy Barlow and Varis Bokalders; Rural Lighting: A Guide for Development Workers, Intermediate Technology (IT) Publications in association with The Stockholm Environment Institute 1994
$EF_{ker}$	The specific CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor of kerosene	0.0719	tCO <sub>2</sub> /GJ	2006 IPCC guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas inventories
$CF_{i,v,LFR}$	This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type $i$ by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period $v$ .	100%		Estimate

	The statistical error is included in this parameter (confidence level 90%).			
<i>Emission Reduction per solar lighting system</i>	Emissions reductions generated by 1 solar lightingsystem	<b>0.3575</b>		Calculated

Leakage: No leakage emissions from solar lighting systems

Emission reductions for solar lighting for year-5 installation is  $=4,000,000 \times 0.3575$   
 $= 1,429,890, \text{ tCO}_2\text{e}$

#### B.6.4 Summary of ex ante estimates of each SDG outcome

##### For Improved Cookstoves

SDG 1: No Poverty<sup>17</sup>

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year 1	0	10,000	10,000
Year 2	0	10,000	10,000
Year 3	0	27,000	27,000
Year 4	0	27,000	27,000
Year 5	0	27,000	27,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27,000</b>	<b>27,000</b>
<b>Total number of crediting years</b>	<b>5</b>		

<sup>17</sup> Installation in Year-1 and 2 is based on actuals. From year-3 onwards, estimated numbers have been added.

<b>Annual average over the crediting period</b>	0	20,200	20,200
---	---	--------	--------

SDG 3: Good Health and well being

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year 1	0	100%	100%
Year 2	0	100%	100%
Year 3	0	100%	100%
Year 4	0	100%	100%
Year 5	0	100%	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total number of crediting years</b>	<b>5</b>		
<b>Annual average over the crediting period</b>	0	100%	100%

SDG 5: Gender Equality

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year 1	0	100%	100%
Year 2	0	100%	100%
Year 3	0	100%	100%
Year 4	0	100%	100%
Year 5	0	100%	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total number of crediting years</b>	<b>5</b>		



Annual average over the crediting period	0	100%	100%
--	---	------	------

SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year 1	0	9,000	9,000
Year 2	0	9,000	9,000
Year 3	0	24,300	24,300
Year 4	0	24,300	24,300
Year 5	0	24,300	24,300
Total	0	24,300	24,300
Total number of crediting years	5		
Annual average over the crediting period	0	18,180	18,180

SDG 13: Climate Action<sup>18</sup>

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year 1	9,454	0	9,454
Year 2	11,716	0	11,716
Year 3	62,371	0	62,371
Year 4	90,324	0	90,324

<sup>18</sup> This VPA has issued 25,141 CERs for ICS under CDM till 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020. The same has been reflected in Year-1,2 and 3 (pro-rated). For rest of the years, estimated value has been presented.

Year 5	90,324	0	90,324
<b>Total</b>	<b>264,190</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>264,190</b>
<b>Total number of crediting years</b>	<b>5</b>		
<b>Annual average over the crediting period</b>	<b>52,838</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>52,838</b>

For Solar Lighting Systems

SDG 1: No Poverty<sup>19</sup>

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year 1	0	1,306,176	1,306,176
Year 2	0	1,306,176	1,306,176
Year 3	0	3,000,000	3,000,000
Year 4	0	3,500,000	3,500,000
Year 5	0	4,000,000	4,000,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,000,000</b>	<b>4,000,000</b>
<b>Total number of crediting years</b>	<b>5</b>		
<b>Annual average over the crediting period</b>	0	2,622,470	2,622,470

<sup>19</sup> Installation in Year-1 and 2 is based on actuals. From year-3 onwards, estimated numbers have been added.

SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year 1	0	1,306,176	1,306,176
Year 2	0	1,306,176	1,306,176
Year 3	0	3,000,000	3,000,000
Year 4	0	3,500,000	3,500,000
Year 5	0	4,000,000	4,000,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,000,000</b>	<b>4,000,000</b>
<b>Total number of crediting years</b>	<b>5</b>		
<b>Annual average over the crediting period</b>	0	2,622,470	2,622,470

SDG 13: Climate Action<sup>20</sup>

Year	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net benefit
Year 1	312,495	0	312,495
Year 2	288,536	0	288,536
Year 3	793,636	0	793,636
Year 4	1,251,153	0	1,251,153
Year 5	1,429,890	0	1,429,890

<sup>20</sup> This VPA has issued 701,268 CERs for SLS under CDM till 31<sup>st</sup> December 2020. The same has been reflected in Year-1, 2 and 3 (pro-rated). For rest of the years, estimated value has been presented.

<b>Total</b>	<b>4,075,710</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,075,710</b>
<b>Total number of crediting years</b>	<b>5</b>		
<b>Annual average over the crediting period</b>	<b>815,142</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>815,142</b>

SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth<sup>21</sup>

<b>Year</b>	<b>Baseline estimate</b>	<b>Project estimate</b>	<b>Net benefit</b>
Year 1	0	20	20
Year 2	0	20	20
Year 3	0	20	20
Year 4	0	20	20
Year 5	0	20	20
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Total number of crediting years</b>	<b>5</b>		
<b>Annual average over the crediting period</b>	0	20	20

**B.7. Monitoring plan**

B.7.1 Data and parameters to be monitored

**SDG 13 (For Solar Lighting Systems)**

---

<sup>21</sup> Total employment generation irrespective of technology type

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

Data / Parameter	Ln
Unit	Lumens
Description	Lumen output of each solar lamp <i>n</i> deployed as part of project activity
Source of data	Table 4, 2021 value
Value(s) applied	<del>116.9</del> 140.538
Measurement methods and procedures	Will be recorded at time of sale/installation in MEC Credit Tracker system
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Each light installation is geocoded (GPS coordinate or other specific location data) or provided with address/location of household in the MEC Tracker System. Associated data will reside in the MEC Tracker Database, allowing each installation to be monitored on a regular basis.
Purpose of data	To calculate baseline emissions
Additional comment	If lamp types allow for different settings of light intensity, the conservative value shall be chosen unless an accurate average value is substantiated through a representative sample survey (90% confidence interval +/- 10% error). A variety of solar lighting systems will be offered under the VPA. The lumen output for the models sold under the VPA will be used for calculating the final emission reduction.  In line with the information given in the eligibility criteria section in this VPA-DD, the lumen value for solar lighting systems in this VPA will be capped at 140.54 Lumen for individual households (based on Table 4 for the year 2021).

Data / Parameter	N <sub>i,a</sub>
Unit	Lamps

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

Description	Total number of solar lamps of type i that have been deployed in period a
Source of data	Primary data collected by PO/VPA implementer and recorded in Credit Tracker
Value(s) applied	4,000,000 (Year-5)
Measurement methods and procedures	<p>Target population: all solar lamps of type i that have been deployed</p> <p>Objective: Establish the number of solar lamps of type i deployed during period a as part of the VPA.</p> <p>Description and Reliability Requirements: Primary data collection. No sampling is applied to this parameter. All deployed solar lamps of type i will be recorded.</p> <p><math>N_{i,a}</math> is adjusted according to actual operational days during a given monitoring period y. The sales date for each solar lamp of type i listed in Credit Tracker for VPA signifies the start of operation for each solar lamp. The operational days of each solar lamp is divided by the total number of days of the current monitoring period to determine the adjusted <math>N_{i,a}</math> number of solar lamps of type i in operation.</p>
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Each light installation will be geocoded (GPS coordinates or other specific location identifiers) in the MEC Tracker System. Associated data will reside in the MEC Tracker Database, allowing each installation to be monitored on a regular basis. The data in MEC tracker system can be crosschecked with the MIS system of the PO.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Data / Parameter	$d_{i,a,v}$
------------------	-------------

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

Unit	Days
Description	Average number of days lamps of type i that have been deployed in period a were operating in period v
Source of data	Monitoring partner, Credit Tracker
Value(s) applied	365
Measurement methods and procedures	<p>Exact date of sale (in the case of solar lights) and installation (in the case of solar home systems) for all clean energy products is tracked by monitoring partners and recorded in Credit Tracker. For products newly sold/installed in period v, the date of sale or installation will be used to calculate total days of operation in period v. For products sold/installed prior to period v, <math>d_{i,a,v}</math> will be equal to the total number of days in period v.</p> <p>Target population: all solar lamps of type i that have been deployed</p> <p>Objective: Establish the number of days solar lamps of type i that have been deployed in period a were operating in period v.</p> <p>Description and Reliability Requirements: Primary data collection No sampling is applied to this parameter.</p>
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Results will be checked by contracted verifier
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	The date in MEC tracker system can be crosschecked with the MIS system of the PO. Contracted verifier will check results.

Data / Parameter	H
Unit	Hours/day
Description	Average operating hours of kerosene lamps in the baseline

Source of data	Based on field survey results in baseline population.
Value(s) applied	3.5 (default value)
Measurement methods and procedures	<p>AMS I.A version 14 par.8(c) states: For the specific case of lighting devices a daily usage of 3.5 hours shall be assumed, unless it is demonstrated that the actual usage hours adjusted for seasonal variation of lighting is different based on representative sample survey (90% confidence interval +/-10% error) done for minimum of 90 days.</p> <p>In practice, usage of more than 3.5 hours/day is expected. A representative sample survey (90% confidence interval +/- 10% error) within the baseline population may be conducted. The results of the survey shall be checked during the following periodic verification by the contracted verifier and shall afterwards permanently replace the default value used for the relevant VPA.</p>
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Results will be checked by contracted verifier
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Data / Parameter	$LFR_{i,v}$
Unit	%
Description	Lamp failure rate: Share of lamps of lamp type $i$ in checked sample group $g_{i,v}$ not operational in period $v$
Source of data	Monitoring partner, Credit Tracker
Value(s) applied	0% (Ex-ante estimate). The real LFR shall be determined during annual monitoring



TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

Measurement methods and procedures	<p>CME/PO/Monitoring partner will track usage status of all lamps (or solar home systems) from each quarterly of the year with results recorded in Credit Tracker. Any lamps that are non-operational (due to failure or disuse by owner) will be recorded as "failed" lamps.</p> <p>Lamp failure rate will be calculated as:  <math>LFR = (\text{Number of failed lamps} / \text{Total number of lamps monitored})</math></p>
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	The lamp failure rate will also be checked by the verifier. The LFR measure in use based on regular monitoring for the full portfolio of lamps will be down-rated as appropriate according to the verifier rating.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Data / Parameter	$CF_{i,v,LFR}$
Unit	%
Description	This factor corrects the total number of lamps of type i by the share of these lamps that were found to be operational according to the sampling in period v. The statistical error is included in the parameter (confidence level 90%) when 90/10 precision is not met. Otherwise, the mean value of LFR will be used.
Source of data	$LFR_{i,v}$
Value(s) applied	100%
Measurement methods and procedures	<p>The value is calculated using the recorded value for <math>LFR_{i,v}</math></p> <p>-</p> $CF_{i,v,LFR} = 1 - (LFR_{i,v} + z * \sqrt{\frac{LFR_{i,v} * (1 - LFR_{i,v})}{n_{i,v,total}}})$
Monitoring frequency	Annual

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

QA/QC procedures	This value is calculated based on the results of other monitored parameters. Calculation results will be checked by the CME to confirm accuracy.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	-

Data / Parameter	$n_{ri,v,total}$
Unit	Lamps
Description	Total number of lamps checked for which a valid result was obtained.
Source of data	Monitoring partner, Credit Tracker
Value(s) applied	30
Measurement methods and procedures	CME/PO/Monitoring partner will randomly and representatively track households contacted and reached for monitoring lamp usage status for each lamp type $i$ in the monitoring period, $p$ . This data will be recorded in Credit Tracker. Survey methods will be used.
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Results will be checked by contracted verifier.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	As per the sampling procedure, a minimum of 30 samples is required to be checked for obtaining a valid result as this is a proportion based parameter. However, for some state/model combinations this could be lower as the total sales could be less than 30.

Data / Parameter	Kerosene Usage in the Baseline
Unit	n/a
Description	Determination of whether or not the end user used kerosene for lighting prior to the project activity
Source of data	Primary data collected by PO/CME/monitoring partner and recorded in Credit Tracker

**TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA**

Value(s) applied	100% For all sales made under this VPA will provide data on the number of end users who confirmed using kerosene in the absence of the project activity.
Measurement methods and procedures	Target population: all end users who purchased a solar lamp under a VPA included in this PoA  Objective: Confirm whether or not the end user used kerosene for lighting prior to the project activity  Description and Reliability Requirements: Primary data collection  No sampling is applied to this parameter. All end users who purchased a solar lamp will be tracked.
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	Each light installation will be geocoded (GPS coordinates or other specific location identifiers) in the MEC Tracker System. Associated data will reside in the MEC Tracker Database, allowing each installation to be monitored on a regular basis.
Purpose of data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Additional comment	Kerosene Usage in the Baseline

**SDG 13** (For Improved Cookstoves)

Data / Parameter	$P_{p,y}$
Unit	kg/household-day
Description	Quantity of fuel that is consumed in project scenario p during year y
Source of data	Total sales record, Project FT, project FT updates, and any applicable adjustment factors
Value(s) applied	2.309 (Jumbo stove)
Measurement methods and procedures	For ex-ante estimation Baseline KPT value has been used for calculating project fuel consumption.

**TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA**

	Ex-post, Project KPTs shall be done.
Monitoring frequency	Updated every two years
QA/QC procedures	The equipment used for testing, if any either will be externally calibrated or newly purchased at the time of use so measurements are done with the necessary guarantees.
Purpose of data	For calculation of baseline emission
Additional comment	<p>A single project fuel consumption parameter is weighted to be representative of baseline technologies being compared for project crediting.</p> <p>KPT protocol shall be used for PFT (for e.g.: PCIA KPT protocol may be used)</p>

Data / Parameter	$U_{p,y}$
Unit	Fraction (or %)
Description	Usage rate in project scenario p during year y
Source of data	Annual usage survey (KS)
Value(s) applied	0.90
Measurement methods and procedures	<p>Sampling surveys (telephonic / physical) may be conducted to record the continued operation of project devices.</p> <p>The usage rate shall be calculated for each age (simple random sampling to be applied as applicable)</p>
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	<p>CME will provide guidance and training to enumerators for conducting surveys to meet specific requirement of the methodology, if any. The value obtained will be tested to determine if the desired precision was met.</p> <p>For ex-post assessment, the "Cookstove Usage Rate Guidelines" will be followed and CME will ensure that the value applied for this parameter is in line with the guidance provided for the Level applied.</p>
Purpose of data	For calculation of baseline emission

**TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA**

Additional comment	A single usage parameter is weighted to be representative of the quantity of project technologies of each age being credited in a given project scenario.
--------------------	---

Data / Parameter	Policy for encouraging discontinuation of baseline stove
Unit	--
Description	Measures adopted to encourage use of project technology / discontinue baseline technology
Source of data	Internal records
Value(s) applied	-
Measurement methods and procedures	The end user training events shall be monitored to demonstrate that the users have been informed about use of project stoves and phase out of baseline stove.
Monitoring frequency	Updated every two years
QA/QC procedures	Transparent data analysis and reporting.
Purpose of data	For calculation of baseline emission
Additional comment	-

Data / Parameter	$N_{p,y}$
Unit	Project technologies credited (Number)
Description	Technologies in the project Database for project scenario p through year y
Source of data	Total sales record
Value(s) applied	27,000 (for ex ante estimation)
Measurement methods and procedures	Number of stoves listed in the Monitoring Database
Monitoring frequency	Continuous
QA/QC procedures	Values can be cross checked by sales records.
Purpose of data	For Baseline emission calculations
Additional comment	For sampling and monitoring purposes, the end user database which shall be a subset of the number of installations reported in the QPR shall be used.

**TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA**

Data / Parameter	LE <sub>p,y</sub>
Unit	tCO <sub>2</sub> e per year
Description	Leakage in project scenario p during year y
Source of data	Baseline and monitoring surveys for Leakage assessment
Value(s) applied	0
Measurement methods and procedures	Qualitative / quantitative assessment
Monitoring frequency	Every two years
QA/QC procedures	N.A.
Purpose of data	For leakage emissions
Additional comment	Aggregate leakage can be assessed for multiple project scenarios, if appropriate.

**SDG 1**

Data / Parameter	BSA / HHS
Unit	Number
Description	Access to basic service to households/institutions
Source of data	1. ICS/SLS distribution records Ex-post Monitoring Survey Records
Value(s) applied	20,200ICS (annual average) 2,622,470 SLS (annual average)
Measurement methods and procedures	Monitoring and recording of number of ICS/SLS distributed under the project
Monitoring frequency	Annually
QA/QC procedures	-
Purpose of data	SDG 1 contribution
Additional comment	-

**SDG 3**

**TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA**

Data / Parameter	SPM <sub>HH</sub>
Unit	Percentage
Description	Percentage household reporting reduction in smoke while cooking on traditional stove
Source of data	Ex-post monitoring survey records
Value(s) applied	100% (for ex-ante estimation) (No sampled user reported an increase in incidence of coughing, incidence of respiratory illness, and incidence of itchy eyes after shifting to ICS)
Measurement methods and procedures	% HH reporting reduction in smoke while cooking on improved stove
Monitoring frequency	Annually
QA/QC procedures	-
Purpose of data	SDG 3 contribution
Additional comment	-

**SDG 5**

Data / Parameter	HHTS
Unit	Percentage
Description	Percentage household reporting time saving due to reduction in time spent on collecting fuel or boiling water in traditional stove
Source of data	Ex-post Monitoring Survey Records
Value(s) applied	100% (for ex-ante estimation)
Measurement methods and procedures	Monitor the time savings reported by project households on a sampling basis due to reduced fuel collection need (relative to baseline stoves).
Monitoring frequency	Annual
QA/QC procedures	-
Purpose of data	SDG 5 contribution
Additional comment	-

**SDG 7**

**Gold Standard** *Climate Security and Sustainable Development*

**TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA**

Data / Parameter	AACS <sub>HH</sub>
Unit	Number
Description	Number of households and institutions having access to affordable, reliable and modern project ICS/SLS.
Source of data	ICS/SLS distribution records
Value(s) applied	18,180 ICS (annual average) 2,622,470SLS (annual average)
Measurement methods and procedures	Monitor the number of ICS/SLS distributed under the project as an indicator of providing reliable, clean and modern technology (relative to baseline stoves).
Monitoring frequency	Continuous
QA/QC procedures	-
Purpose of data	SDG 7 contribution
Additional comment	-

**SDG 8**

Data / Parameter	QE IG
Unit	Number
Description	Quantitative Employment and income generation
Source of data	Employment records
Value(s) applied	20
Measurement methods and procedures	Recording the number of employees (male / female) in the project under administrative, sales, production and management positions
Monitoring frequency	Annually
QA/QC procedures	-
Purpose of data	SDG 8 contribution
Additional comment	-

**B.7.2 Sampling plan**

>>



To reduce monitoring efforts a single sample is drawn based on which all of the parameters determined via sampling shall be monitored. The CME will determine the number of users/appliances monitored during sampling for each of the parameters separately. The reason is that the variation within the values obtained will be different for each parameter. Since the precision of a sampled parameter depends on the variation of its values, the necessary number of users/appliances to be monitored in order to achieve the 5% or 10% precision will also depend on the variation of values. Therefore, although the monitoring team will undertake monitoring of various parameters simultaneously and on the same sample, the PP may decide to stop monitoring of a particular parameter during the campaign once the required precision for this parameter is achieved. The monitoring team will continue to monitor appliances in the sample with respect to the remaining parameter(s) until again the required precision for these parameters is achieved.

~~The monitoring team will continue to monitor appliances in the sample with respect to the remaining parameter(s) until again the required precision for these parameters is achieved.~~

~~Statistical sampling using a random number generated will be used to select samples from sampling frames drawn from Credit Tracker for monitored parameters.~~

~~Multi-stage sampling equations are provided below, but alternative sampling approaches may be used in accordance with EB 67 Annex 6 Best Practices Examples Focusing on Sample Size and Reliability Calculations, Paragraph 40.~~

~~Multistage sample size is determined for Mean Values using<sup>24</sup>:~~

$$c \geq \frac{\left( \frac{SD_{\beta}}{Clustermean} \right)^2 \times \left( \frac{M}{M-1} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{u} \right) \times \left( \frac{SD_{\pi}}{Overallmean} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\bar{N}-u}{\bar{N}-1} \right)}{\left( \frac{0.1}{1.645} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{M-1} \left( \frac{SD_{\beta}}{Clustermean} \right)^2}$$

Where:

- (c) Minimum required number of clusters to be sampled.
- Confidence:
  - 90% = 1.645 (as indicated in the formula above)
  - 95% = 1.96 (1.645 in formula will be replaced)
- Precision:
  - 10% = 0.1 (as indicated in the formula above)
  - 5% = 0.05 (0.1 in formula will be replaced)
- (M) Total number of clusters (i.e. villages) = TBD from project database
- (N) Average number of units (HH) per a cluster = TBD from project database
- (u) Number of units that have been pre-specified per a cluster = TBD according to M and N.
- (Overall mean) Mean per unit from all clusters in the sample =

$$\frac{(\Sigma \text{ Mean per unit in a cluster})}{\text{Total number of units in sample}}$$

(Cluster mean) Mean for all units (in other words, per cluster) from all clusters in the sample

=

$$\frac{(\Sigma \text{ Mean per cluster in the sample})}{\text{Total number of clusters in sample}}$$

(SD<sub>B</sub>) Standard deviation between clusters =

$$\text{Therefore: } SD_B = \sqrt{(SD_B)^2}$$

Where: \_\_\_\_\_

y = Total for the units sampled per cluster (TBD from the field, and according to N)

n = Number of clusters (TBD from the field, and according to M)

(SD<sub>w</sub>) Average within clusters standard deviation =

$$\frac{\sqrt{\Sigma (\text{Number of units in cluster} * (\text{St Dev between units in cluster})^2)}}{\text{Total number of units across all clusters}}$$

Multi-stage sample size is determined for Proportional Values using<sup>25</sup>:

$$c \geq \frac{\frac{SD_B^2}{\bar{p}^2} \times \frac{M}{M-1} + \frac{1}{u} \times \frac{SD_w^2}{\bar{p}^2} \times \frac{(\bar{N} - \bar{u})}{(\bar{N} - 1)}}{\frac{0.1^2}{1.96^2} + \frac{1}{M-1} \times \frac{SD_B^2}{\bar{p}^2}}$$

Where:

- (c) Minimum required number of clusters to be sampled.
- Confidence:
  - 90% = 1.645 (as indicated in the formula above)
  - 95% = 1.96 (1.645 in formula will be replaced)
- Precision<sup>26</sup>:
  - 10% = 0.1 (as indicated in the formula above)
  - 5% = 0.05 (0.1 in formula will be replaced)
- (M) Total number of clusters (i.e. villages) = TBD from the project database
- (N) Average number of units (HH) per a cluster = TBD from the project database
- (u) Number of units that have been pre-specified per a cluster = TBD according to M and N.
- (p) Mean for all units (in other words, per cluster) from all clusters in the sample =
- (Σ Mean per cluster in the sample)
- Total number of clusters in sample
- 
- (SD<sub>B</sub>) Standard deviation between clusters =
- 

$$SD_B^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - \bar{p})^2}{n-1}$$

- 
- Therefore:  $SD_B = \sqrt{(SD_B)^2}$
- Where:
  - p = Mean for each unit sampled per cluster (calculated above)
  - n = Number of clusters (TBD from the field, and according to M)
  - SD<sub>w</sub>) Average within clusters standard deviation =

$$\frac{\sum (Variance within each cluster)}{}$$

✓

#### *Number clusters*

A sample size calculation tool<sup>27</sup> has been designed to describe step by step the method in place and to estimate the minimum sample needed to satisfy statistical requirements for each monitoring parameter according to its sampling approach. Thus, the sample size calculation tool to be used has been developed for each monitored parameter. Actual survey results will inform whether fewer or greater surveys will be needed to meet the required confidence/precision. Although the monitoring team will undertake monitoring of various parameters simultaneously and on the same sample, the CME may decide to stop monitoring of a particular parameter during the campaign once the required precision for this parameter is achieved. The monitoring team will continue to monitor appliances in the sample with respect to the remaining parameter(s) until the required precision for these parameters is achieved again.

The coefficient of variation is estimated from recent monitoring data. In the case of parameters monitored for the first time the expected variation for that measure in the sample may be based on results from similar studies, pilot studies, or from the project planner's own knowledge of the data.

The sampling procedure may either consist of a single-stage process which randomly samples households across all the CPAs, or it may consist of a two-stage process whereby a sample of CPAs are randomly selected and within these, a random selection is made of installations.

The required confidence/precision may be achieved through different combinations of across CPA sample size and within CPA household sample sizes; if less CPAs are surveyed, more houses within the CPAs will need to be surveyed to achieve the required precision, and vice versa. The relative costs and practicalities of surveying across several CPAs will be balanced against the relative costs and practicalities of

household surveys and a decision taken as to the most efficient balance between across CPA surveying and within CPA surveying.

For simple random sampling, steps 1-2 below will be followed. For multi stage sampling, steps 1-5 will be followed.

*Multistage sampling from CPA Project Databases*

Step 1: For each monitoring period contact details from end users are collected for all, or a subset of, appliances deployed. This is stored in the Credit Tracker Platform and serves as the basis from which sampling frames are developed.

Step 2: In order to reflect the different age of the appliances (i.e. the different deployment dates), the relative share of appliance vintages within the total population of appliances deployed as recorded in the Credit Tracker under the CPAs shall be established. Example: If after the second monitoring period, 75% of all appliances were deployed until the end of the first Monitoring Period, and 25% were deployed until the end of the second Monitoring Period, then the final selection shall also represent that share. Similarly, within each monitoring period, probability weighted sampling will reflect the number of appliances sold per 3 month quarter within a calendar year. Households will be randomly sampled accordingly.

Step 3: Multi-stage sampling is applied to the sampling frame. A first-stage sampling frame consisting of all households serviced across all CPAs categorized by region, methodology combination, end-user type, and CEP model combination will be developed and listed by village or MFI. Random sampling of villages/MFI's from the first-stage frame would provide a subset of areas to sample from.

Step 4: In the second stage of multi-stage sampling, the sampling frame would consist of all households serviced in the randomly selected villages or MFI's. Random sampling of users from within the selected villages or MFIs will be drawn

~~for participation in the study. The number of users to be selected shall be determined statistically to maximize reliability of results.~~

~~(i) In case the coordinating/managing entity opts for a verification method that does not use sampling but verifies each CPA (whether in groups or not, with different or identical verification periods) a transparent system is to be defined and described that ensures that no double accounting occurs and that the status of verification can be determined anytime for each CPA;~~

~~The verification method will use a sampling system to verify CPAs. In accordance with the General guidelines for sampling and surveys for small scale CDM project activities, EB50, a 90/10 confidence/precision are used as the criteria for reliability of sampling efforts. This is applied to the selected the number of CPAs to be sampled and to selecting selected the number of household to be sampled for each sampled parameters used in the calculation of CERs. All parameters sampled are linked to a specific CPA and to specific households, both of which are recorded in the in the monitoring database.~~

~~All monitored data required for verification and issuance will be kept for two years after the end of the crediting period or the last issuance of CERs, for this programme, whichever occurs later.~~

#### Solar Lighting System

A statistically valid sample can be used to determine parameter values, as per the relevant requirements for sampling in the "Standard for Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities version 9.0." Minimum 90% confidence interval and a 10% margin of error requirement shall be achieved for the sampled parameters. In any case, for proportion parameter values, a minimum sample size of 30, or the whole group size if this is lower than 30, must always be applied.

#### **Sampling Methodology**

Simple random sampling method will be applied through all VPAs. A simple random sample is a subset of a population (e.g. villages, individuals, buildings, pieces of equipment) chosen randomly, such that each element (or unit) of the population has

the same probability of being selected. The sample-based estimate (mean or proportion) is an unbiased estimate of the population parameter. Simple random sampling is conceptually straightforward and easy to implement – provided that a sampling frame of all elements of the population exists. Its simplicity makes it relatively easy to analyse the collected data. It is also appropriate when only minimum information of the population is known in advance of the data collection. The costs of data collection under simple random sampling could be higher than other sampling approaches when the population is large and geographically dispersed.

### **Sampling Size**

Sample size shall be determined using the following formula:

$$n \geq \frac{1.645^2 N \times p(1 - p)}{(N - 1) \times 0.1^2 + 1.645^2 p(1 - p)}$$

Where:

- (c) Minimum required number of clusters to be sampled.
- Confidence:
  - o 90% = 1.645 (as indicated in the formula above)
  - o 95% = 1.96 (1.645 in formula will be replaced)
- Precision:
  - o 10% = 0.1 (as indicated in the formula above)
  - o 5% = 0.05 (0.1 in formula will be replaced)

### **Sampling frame**

Sample sizes will be sufficient to ensure that the precision of the sample means/proportions are in accordance to the Sampling Frame established for the VPA within the PoA to estimate emissions reductions. In cases where survey results indicate that the desired precision is not achieved, the lower bound value of corresponding confidence interval of the parameter value may be used as an alternative to repeat the survey. Alternatively, the survey may be expanded to reach the required confidence/precision. To ensure a simple random sample selection, random number generators shall be applied. Each SLS in the target population is uniquely identifiable by its Serial ID number. Each CEP can thus be allocated a Sample Selection Number in each monitoring period, starting at 1 and increasing up to the total number of CEPs in the Database for that pre-defined simple random sampling frame. Applying the random number generators, the CEP can then be randomly chosen from the defined population up to the required sample size as calculated by the CME.

Improved cookstove:

If homogeneity of population can be demonstrated, or differences are taken into account (stratification) in the sample size calculation then 90/10 confidence/precision will be applied.

When a baseline and project survey is used the following sample size guidelines should be applied, unless otherwise stated:

**Usage Survey**

- The minimum total sample size for Usage Survey is 100 with at least 30 samples for project technologies of each age being credited. The majority of interviews in a usage survey must be conducted in person. Thus, if technologies of age 1-5 are credited, the usage survey must include 30 representative samples from each age for total of 150 samples. The resulting usage parameter should be weighted based on the proportion of technologies in the total sales record of each age.

<b><u>Group size</u></b>	<b><u>Minimum sample size</u></b>
<u>&lt;300</u>	<u>30 or population size, whichever is smaller</u>
<u>300 to 1000</u>	<u>10% of group size</u>
<u>&gt;1000</u>	<u>100</u>

- In line with the applied methodology, any sampling methods can be used, provided that the sample is selected randomly. If sampling approach other than simple random sampling is applied, 'Guidelines for sampling and survey for CDM project activities and program of activities'<sup>22</sup> must be followed.

When applicable the Usage Survey and the Project Survey will be implemented together using the same sample.

**Project KPT**

<sup>22</sup> [https://cdm.unfccc.int/sunsetcms/storage/contents/stored-file-20151023152925068/Meth\\_GC48\\_%28ver04.0%29.pdf](https://cdm.unfccc.int/sunsetcms/storage/contents/stored-file-20151023152925068/Meth_GC48_%28ver04.0%29.pdf) (site visited 03/06/2020)



[For determining the fuel consumption in the project scenarios, the random sampling for KPTs shall be applied. The sample size determined shall be distributed within each age category based on percentage of stoves in corresponding age category. For determining the fuel consumption in the project scenario, the KPT sample size determination shall be based on Kitchen Performance Test Protocol. Alternatively, the guideline given in TPDDTEC version 3.1 may be used for determination of sample size.](#)

[The project fuel consumption test can also be replaced with ageing test approach from second monitoring period.](#)

[In case CME opts for the ageing test approach instead of biennial project KPTs, annual WBTs would be conducted on a representative sample of each age group. The sample size would be calculated using student's t-distribution approach for mean based parameter. The sample size is appropriately sampled from each age group and enough so that the results comply with the 90/10 rule. In case of not meeting the required confidence/precision, lower bound value will be used. The WBT shall be carried out along with the project KPTs prior to 1st issuance and then subsequently WBTs shall be carried out annually to monitor the degradation in the efficiency of the ICS. The WBTs should be conducted in the last 3 months of the monitoring period or after the monitoring period, provided it is representative of each age group.](#)

### B.7.3 Other elements of monitoring plan

>>

The monitoring activity provides a framework for project preparation and monitoring processes that will be undertaken at the VPA level for each VPA. This schedule takes into account the key parameters that are needed during the crediting periods of the project. All required monitoring and documentation would be implemented, reported, consolidated and managed by the CME or a qualified expert partner to meet verification requirements. Monitored data will be stored in a suite of monitoring databases. These will be updated each monitoring period:

Summary:

1. Each PO keeps a record of all the CEPs it installs in the MEC Credit Tracker Platform. The record includes the name, date of installation, model of CEP and location of the product. All records are screened by the CME and cross-checked with the PO records to confirm the installation record is authentic and no double counting occurs.
2. The values of the emission reduction parameters required for ex-post ER calculation

- ~~{project fuel consumption ( $p_{p,y}$ ) or efficiency of  $\text{CEPs-ICS}$  ( $\eta_{new}$ )}~~, number of ~~CEPs~~ ICS still operating ( $U_{p,y}$ ), number of not operational SLS ( $LFR_{i,y}$ ), average operating hours ( $h$ ), average number of operational days of lamps ( $d_{i,a,y}$ )—are found from sampling of CEP installations.

3. The records kept in the MEC Credit Tracker Platform relate to paper copies of title transfer agreements received from individual households.

#### Quality assurance

To increase the precision of the estimates during the survey, it is necessary to establish sampling mechanisms for avoiding non-sampling errors (bias) include good questionnaire design, well-tested questionnaires, possibly pilot testing the data collection. To remedy the incomplete questionnaires, additional households or schools will be drawn randomly until the required number is met at per the sample size determined. Then, well-trained personnel will scrutinize all the questionnaires. This will be a procedure to find outliers, and then outliers may be excluded and/or replaced. If the outliers are found according to the above analysis it will be examined further to correct for possible transcription and data entry errors, but it will be omitted from the analysis if no such administrative errors exist. All monitored data such as name, date and contact information of the end-user will be archived in the electronic database tool. Hard copies of all documents will be kept at the office of CME or an alternative place nominated by CME. All the data will be used to calculate the sample size for parameters and emission reductions. All data stored to be kept for at least two years after the crediting period or the last issuance of CERs for the project activity.

#### Generalities

The CME along with the PO will coordinate all ex-post monitoring activities in the PoA. The CME is ultimately responsible for implementing the monitoring plan, ensuring the quality of data obtained and the use of this data for emissions reduction calculations. The CME will provide the ~~VVBDOE~~ with a single monitoring report for verification purposes for all VPA's requesting issuance together. However, the actual field measurements to be conducted during monitoring (e.g. project KPT or testing of ICS selected during sampling) will most likely be performed by enumerators trained by CME or third parties contracted to the CME and/or PO. In the case of using contractors, however, the CME will still be responsible for setting the procedures and providing oversight and training to the contractors. The choice between conducting the actual monitoring activities itself or employing another organization (for example, local

marketing firm, university etc) will depend on location, operational factors and financial factors. In any case, a local partner will be important for providing local insight in questionnaire design, interview technique and for gaining physical access to project beneficiaries to obtain accurate results during monitoring.

CME has defined the project technology "use" versus "non-use" to determine who should be considered eligible for crediting. The criteria for defining the same has been listed below:

1. User is defined as someone who uses the stove daily. The same shall be captured in the survey questionnaire.
2. To define the use and non-use of project technology, CME has included questions in the survey questionnaire such as when was the stove last used, frequency of use, how many meals are prepared in a day.
3. Physical verification of the stove is conducted to check if the combustion chamber is intact and grate is available or not. Surveyor shall also observe physically that the stove feels warm and presence of ash in the stove.
4. Users will be asked questions on use of the baseline stove, how many meals prepared, presence or absence of the baseline stove.
- 2- CME shall refer to usage and project survey and Kitchen Performance Tests (KPTs) to determine if the stove was in use or not.

Parameter values shall be estimated by sampling in accordance with the requirements in the applied methodology separately and independently for each of the VPAs included in a PoA except when a single sampling plan covering a group of VPAs is undertaken, in which case 95/10 confidence/precision is applied for the sample size calculation. A single sample plan will combine together the populations of all VPAs, and the sample size is determined and a single survey is undertaken to collect data e.g. if the parameter of interest is daily self-reported fuel consumption, it may be feasible to undertake a single sampling and survey effort spread across geographic regions of several VPAs when either homogeneity of included VPAs relative to the fuel usage can be demonstrated or the differences among the included VPAs is taken into account in the sample size calculation, such as proportional and weighted averages. If a sampling plan is developed for each VPA, and where there is no specific guidance in the applicable methodology, project proponents shall use 90/10 confidence/precision as the criteria for reliability of sampling efforts for small-scale project activities (according to CDM-EB 69 Annex 4).


*Sampling Objective* – The sampling objective for each parameter is to determine via survey with statistically significant value for the emission reduction calculations.

~~Field Measurement Objective and data to be collected—This is defined in the ex-post monitoring tables.~~

~~Target population and sampling frame—The target population is the total population served under the PoA, and in the case of multi-stage sampling, the sampling frame is a complete listing of sub-groups of the study area/population which constitutes all the primary sampling units. In developing sampling frames the implementer of the survey effort shall compile a clear description of the target population, including those characteristics of the population, which define membership (as in the diagram below defining sampling frames). From the description and characteristic the implementer can then select a sampling frame appropriate for the study.~~

#### Selection of Sampling Frame

1. **Region** (State within India)
2. **Methodology Combination** (Option 1 = AMS I.A + AMS-II.G; Option 2 = AMS.A + AMS-III.AV)
3. **End-user type** (Household; SME; Community org.)
4. **CEP model combination** (Option 1 = G3300 or similar + D.Light or similar; Option 2 = Other water + Other lighting...)
5. **Conclusion: Sampling Frame Set**



~~Sample method—Multi-stage random sampling will be used, and detailed calculations are provided below. When project circumstances allow single-stage simple random sampling will be applied per CDM guidelines EB-69 Annex 5. One example of a 2-stage random sampling approach would be to provide a first-stage sampling frame consisting of all households serviced across all CPAs categorized by region, methodology combination, end-user type, and CEP model combination—all listed by village. Random sampling of villages from the first-stage frame would provide a subset of areas to sample from. In the second-stage, the sampling frame would consist of all households serviced in the randomly selected villages. Random sampling would then be conducted from the second-stage frame. To ensure a random sample selection, random-number generators shall be applied. Each CEP in the target population is uniquely identifiable by its Serial ID number. Each CEP within a sampling frame can thus be allocated a Sample Selection Number in each monitoring period, starting at 1 and increasing up to the total number of CEPs in the~~

~~Credit Tracker Platform for that pre-defined sampling frame. Applying the random number generators, the CEP can then be randomly chosen from the defined population up to the required sample size as calculated by the CME. This will be done for each group of CPAs within a defined sampling frame or for each CPA in the case that CPAs are not grouped up for monitoring.~~

*Desired precision / expected variance and sample size –*

To monitor the required parameters, data will be collected from a statistically valid sample in the database as per this sampling plan during the monitoring period. The monitoring frequency for each parameter will be followed as per the methodology applied. After that, the relevant data will be archived in the electronic database tool and be used to determine sample size for each parameter. As per the methodology the relevant requirements for sampling in the "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities" shall be followed. A 90% or 95% confidence interval and a 10% margin of error requirement shall be achieved for the sampled parameters. As per "Guidelines for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programme of Activities", version 04, the following Sampling plan has been developed.

During sampling there may be non-response from the target population. Over-sampling by 20% may be used to avoid non-response, however, sampling may be cease once required confidence/precision is met.

*Implementation* - The survey for collecting the details of monitoring parameters will be conducted at least annually at the end of the monitoring period. The overall monitoring and the implementation of the sampling will be coordinated by the CME and the management staff. They will ensure successful monitoring of the emission reductions of the project during its crediting period. Furthermore, the survey of the representative sample for the parameters will be carried out by the distributor together with the CME. The survey method that will be used by CME include: (a) Online questionnaires (b) Face to face interview (c) Telephone Interview (cross check).

All efforts of sampling will be conducted by qualified personnel who have undergone training as part of the programme.

Primary data will be stored by the implementing entities/operators:

The MEC Credit Tracker Platform is used to keep detailed records of all installations under each VPA. Each installation is monitored annually to check usage status. The


Project shall monitor a representative sample of households that have received both stoves and water technologies. All monitoring records are maintained in the Credit Tracker Platform.

1. The PO maintains in the Credit Tracker Platform a record of all clean energy products that are installed
2. The PO identifies the exact location of the CEP using GPS location and/or address of the household or organization.
3. The emissions parameters required for ex-post management are also maintained in the Credit Tracker Platform. These include the number of solar lighting systems still in operation, and then performance of the solar lighting systems. These parameters are determined through a sampling study as described above.
4. The CME uses the Credit Tracker Platform to cross-check the new records with the existing Platform in order to confirm that the installation record is authentic and that no double-counting occurs.
5. The electronic files holding installation records are backed up on the Internet, reducing risk of any loss of data.
6. All monitored data required for verification and issuance will be kept for two years after the end of the crediting period or the last issuance of CERs/VERs for the PoA, whichever occurs later. The unique system ID number which is linked to a GPS location and/or verified address eliminates any risk of double-counting between VPAs.

#### ORGANIZATIONAL DIAGRAM OF MONITORING PLAN

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm

## TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA



### Quality Assurance/Quality control

As the PoA is intended to include multiple regions within India with a high level of cultural diversity as well as different end user groups, there is no "one size fits all" approach for dealing with these issues. However, in order to avoid many of these problems the CME will undertake the following strategies, tailoring the specific approach to the local circumstances:

- 1) Ensuring end user awareness. At the time of sale, the CEP customer is made aware that they are required to participate in monitoring activities. This will be via training sales personnel to explain the importance of monitoring to each customer, and during regularly scheduled microfinance group meetings for end-users.
- 2) Questionnaire design. The design of the questionnaire will ensure that the questions are non-intrusive and easy to understand for both the interviewee and interviewer.
- 3) Drawing on local knowledge. The local contractors to be hired by the CME in each region will play an important role in tailoring the approach to suit local circumstances. For example, in some instances, it may be essential for a local person to conduct the interview in order to obtain accurate results.
- 4) Quality of contractors. Any third parties hired by the CME to carry out

sampling will be required to demonstrate a high level of cultural awareness, local language skills and appropriate experience with data entry and data management. The CME will ensure that contractors are adequately trained for the tasks they are contracted for (eg. carrying out of WBTs in line with a methodology supported by an appropriate international body such as PCIA). Training will also be provided on how to deal with non-responses, refusals and other problems should these occur.

## SECTION C. DURATION AND CREDITING PERIOD

### C.1. Duration of project

#### C.1.1 Start date of project

>>

03/08/2015 i.e. date of sale of first clean energy product

#### C.1.2 Expected operational lifetime of project

>>

15 years ~~21 years~~

### C.2. Crediting period of project

#### C.2.1 Start date of crediting period

>>

25/08/2018 i.e. the date of inclusion of the VPA as CPA-DD under CDM. Since the start date of the GS crediting period shall be same as the start date of the CDM crediting period, GS Crediting period start date- 25/08/2018

#### C.2.2 Total length of crediting period

>>

15 years (renewable twice)



## SECTION D SUMMARY OF SAFEGUARDING PRINCIPLES AND GENDER SENSITIVE ASSESSMENT

### D.1. Safeguarding Principles that will be monitored

A completed Safeguarding Principles Assessment is in [Appendix 1](#).

### D.2. Assessment that project complies with GS4GG Gender Sensitive requirements

Question 1 - Explain how the project reflects the key issues and requirements of Gender Sensitive design and implementation as outlined in the Gender Policy?	The local stakeholder consultation meeting will be carried out following a gender sensitive approach. The project will incorporate measures to ensure that there is no discrimination based on gender. The improved cookstoves will be distributed to all willing customers within the project boundary and the project will have a positive impact on women considering that they will spend less time on cooking or fuel procurement and will be able to cook in cleaner environment.
Question 2 - Explain how the project aligns with existing country policies, strategies and best practices	The project will contribute towards the goal of host country policy by providing women with efficient cookstoves, thereby they will spend less time on cooking or fuel procurement and will be able to cook in cleaner environment.
Question 3 - Is an Expert required for the Gender Safeguarding Principles & Requirements?	Not required. Improved cookstove projects not following Gender responsive approach do not require to contract an

	expert as per Gender Equality Requirements & Guidelines.
Question 4 - Is an Expert required to assist with Gender issues at the Stakeholder Consultation?	N/A

## SECTION E. SUMMARY OF LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

The below is a summary of the 2 step GS4GG Consultation for monitoring purposes. Please refer to the separate Stakeholder Consultation Report for a complete report on the initial consultation and stakeholder feedback round.

### E.1 Summary of stakeholder mitigation measures

>>

d.light (for solar lamps) -

Local holder consultation meeting was held on 15/01/2015

Location of meeting: Dlight Office, JMD Megapolis building, Sohna Road – Sector 48 Gurgaon – 122002, Haryana India Gurgaon

Stakeholders were invited by email to attend one of two conference calls (arranged at different times to accommodate various time zones). Stakeholders also had the option to submit comments by email. Stakeholders were notified of the meeting 15 days before the date of the meeting.

Physical stakeholder consultation meetings were held by PO in Gurgaon.

The invited stakeholders included representatives from NGOs, development agencies, and businesses working in issues of sustainable development, household-level clean energy, microfinance, and gender among low income populations in India.

Minutes were recorded for all consultations, and additional feedback submitted by email was also recorded in the Local Stakeholder Consultation template.

SKDRDP (for efficient stoves)

Local holder consultation meeting was held on 14/02/2013

Location of meeting: SKDRDP Head Office, Dharmashree Building, Dakshina Kannada District, Dharmasthala

Stakeholders were invited by email to attend the meeting. Stakeholders also had the option to submit comments by email. Stakeholders were notified of the meeting 15 days before the date of the meeting. Physical stakeholder consultation meetings were held by PO in Dharmasthala.

The invited stakeholders included representatives from NGOs, development agencies, and businesses working in issues of sustainable development, household-level clean energy, microfinance, and gender among low income populations in India.

Supplementary stakeholder consultation meeting was conducted by CME to comply with the GS4GG requirements. Physical stakeholder meeting was conducted on 29<sup>th</sup> August 2022 and 3<sup>rd</sup> September 2022 respectively for SKDRDP and d.light. The email invitations were sent 30 days prior to the meeting. Relevant stakeholders were also invited via telephonic calls and hard copy invites. The agenda of the meeting was to explain the participants regarding the programme, technologies, benefits, carbon title transfer, social, economic and environmental impacts, safeguarding principles and grievance mechanism.

The consultation process included invitation to wide range of invitees to include effective and equal participation of both men and women. Prior to consultation, CME/PO had provided with the following documents in the language that allows local stakeholders to understand and engage with the project:

- Non-Technical summary with relevant information (local language)
- Summary of the economic, social and environmental impacts of the project
- Contact details of the CME/PO for technical and project related information

- Email ID of CME/PO was provided to the stakeholders

Meeting started with an opening remark by the PO followed by presentation on the clean energy programme. Subsequently, stakeholder feedback on SDG, monitoring plan, safeguarding principles were collected. Meeting was concluded by explaining the grievance mechanism system CME has put in place.

Stakeholder feedback round was initiated on 30<sup>th</sup> July and 4<sup>th</sup> August 2022 respectively for SKDRDP and d.light. The official closing email was sent on 7<sup>th</sup> September 2022. There were no negative comments which would need change in design of the project. Detailed SCR has been submitted.

## E.2 Final continuous input / grievance mechanism

Method	Include all details of Chosen Method (s) so that they may be understood and, where relevant, used by readers.
Continuous Input / Grievance Expression Process Book (mandatory)	Continuous input / Grievance Expression process book is available at the office at local partner offices. By maintaining feedback book at the local office, it is ensured that stakeholders that don't have access to electronic media for expressing concerns / grievances are also able to share their concerns / feedback. Additionally, the end users always have an option to contact the partner organization (representative of MFI/ manufacturers etc.) in case of any feedback / complaints with the product post distribution.
GS Contact (mandatory)	<a href="mailto:help@goldstandard.org">help@goldstandard.org</a>
Telephone access (Optional)	1800-208-2110 (d.light)
Other	Email: <a href="mailto:skdrdp@skdrdpindia.org">skdrdp@skdrdpindia.org</a> ; <a href="mailto:info@d.light.com">info@d.light.com</a>

## APPENDIX 1 - SAFEGUARDING PRINCIPLES ASSESSMENT

Complete the Assessment below and copy all Mitigation Measures for each Principle into [SECTION D](#) above. Please refer to the instructions in the [Guide to Completing](#) this Form below.

Assessment Questions/ Requirements	Justification of Relevance (Yes/potentiall y/no)	How Project will achieve Requirements through design, management or risk mitigation.	Mitigation Measures added to the Monitoring Plan (if required)
<b>Principle 1. Human Rights</b>			
1. The Project Developer and the Project shall respect internationally proclaimed human rights and shall not be complicit in violence or human rights abuses of any kind as defined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights	No	The VPA and CME both respect human rights and are not complicit in violence or human rights abuses.	Not required
2. The Project shall not discriminate with regards to participation and inclusion	No	The VPA does not discriminate with regards to participation and inclusion	Not required

Principle 2. Gender Equality			
3. The Project shall not directly or indirectly lead to/contribute to adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women a. Sexual harassment and/or any forms of violence against women – address the multiple risks of gender-based violence, including sexual exploitation or human trafficking.	No	Not relevant	Not required
b. Slavery, imprisonment, physical and mental drudgery, punishment or coercion of women and girls.	No	Not relevant	Not required
c. Restriction of women’s rights or access to resources (natural or economic).	No	Not relevant	Not required
d. Recognise women’s ownership rights regardless of marital status – adopt project measures where possible to support to women’s access to inherit and own land, homes, and other assets or natural resources.	No	Not relevant	Not required
1. Projects shall apply the principles of non-discrimination, equal treatment, and equal pay for equal work	No	Not relevant	Not required

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

a. Where appropriate for the implementation of a VPA, paid, volunteer work or community contributions will be organised to provide the conditions for equitable participation of men and women in the identified tasks/activities.			
b. Introduce conditions that ensure the participation of women or men in Project activities and benefits based on pregnancy, maternity/paternity leave, or marital status.	No	Not relevant	Not required
c. Ensure that these conditions do not limit the access of women or men, as the case may be, to VPA participation and benefits.	No	Not relevant	Not required
4. The Project shall refer to the country's national gender strategy or equivalent national commitment to aid in assessing gender risks	No	The Project takes into account the National Policy for the Empowerment of Women (2011) <sup>23</sup> in the "advancement of gender equality and	Not required

<sup>23</sup> [National Policy for the Empowerment of Women| National Portal of India](#)- by Ministry of Women and Child Development

		empowerment of women". The Project is designed to empower women and improve livelihoods. No gender risks are envisaged in the PoA	
5. (where required) Summary of opinions and recommendations of an Expert Stakeholder(s)	No	Not relevant	Not required
<b>Principle 3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions</b>			
1. The Project shall avoid community exposure to increased health risks and shall not adversely affect the health of the workers and the community	Yes	The VPA reduces exposure to indoor air pollutants and smoke levels, further reducing incidence of respiratory illness compared to cooking on traditional biomass stoves using solid biomass fuel.	Not required
<b>Principle 4.1 Sites of Cultural and Historical Heritage</b>			
1. Does the Project Area include sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture?	No	Not relevant	Not required
<b>Principle 4.2 Forced Eviction and Displacement</b>			



1. Does the Project require or cause the physical or economic relocation of peoples (temporary or permanent, full or partial)?	No	Not relevant	Not required
<b>Principle 4.3 Land Tenure and Other Rights</b>			
1. Does the Project require any change, or have any uncertainties related to land tenure arrangements and/or access rights, usage rights or land ownership?	No	Not relevant	Not required
<b>Principle 4.4 - Indigenous people</b>			
Are indigenous peoples present in or within the area of influence of the Project and/or is the Project located on land/territory claimed by indigenous peoples?	No	Since this is an Improved cookstove and Solar Lighting system project at household/institution level, there is no risk to land/territory claimed by indigenous people. The devices will be distributed to all willing customers within the project boundary.	N/A
<b>Principle 5. Corruption</b>			
1. The Project shall not involve, be complicit in or inadvertently contribute to or reinforce corruption or corrupt Projects	No	The CME does not promote/ or is complicit in direct or indirect corruption.	Not required

Principle 6.1 Labour Rights			
1. The Project Developer shall ensure that all employment is in compliance with national labour occupational health and safety laws and with the principles and standards embodied in the ILO fundamental conventions	No	The VPA does not involve any forced labour and the CME/VPA Implementer ensures that all employment is in compliance with local labour regulations and laws.	Not required
2. Workers shall be able to establish and join labour organisations	No	The CME puts no constraints / limitation on employees to form a union.	Not required
3. Working agreements with all individual workers shall be documented and implemented and include: a. Working hours (must not exceed 48 hours per week on a regular basis), AND b. Duties and tasks, AND c. Remuneration (must include provision for payment of overtime), AND d. Modalities on health insurance, AND e. Modalities on termination of the contract with provision for voluntary resignation by employee, AND	No	The CME's policies and employment contracts are compliant with the requirement	Not required

f. Provision for annual leave of not less than 10 days per year, not including sick and casual leave.			
4. No child labour is allowed (Exceptions for children working on their families' property requires an Expert Stakeholder opinion)	No	The CME does not promote / or is complicit in child labour	Not required
5. The Project Developer shall ensure the use of appropriate equipment, training of workers, documentation and reporting of accidents and incidents, and emergency preparedness and response measures	No	Not relevant	Not required
<b>Principle 6.2 Negative Economic Consequences</b>			
1. Does the project cause negative economic consequences during and after project implementation?	No	No negative economic consequences are deemed applicable	Not required
<b>Principle 7.1 Emissions</b>			
1. Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario?	No	The VPA reduces GHG emissions relative to baseline scenario	Not required
<b>Principle 7.2 Energy Supply</b>			

1. Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that provides for other local users?	No	The project will reduce fuel resource consumption instead	Not required
<b>Principle 8.1 Impact on Natural Water Patterns/Flows</b>			
1. Will the Project affect the natural or pre-existing pattern of watercourses, ground-water and/or the watershed(s) such as high seasonal flow variability, flooding potential, lack of aquatic connectivity or water scarcity?	No	Not applicable	Not required
<b>Principle 8.2 Erosion and/or Water Body Instability</b>			
1. Could the Project directly or indirectly cause additional erosion and/or water body instability or disrupt the natural pattern of erosion?	No	The VPA shall result in reduction in demand of biomass fuel in the region putting less pressure of forests for deforestation and will hence indirectly avoid erosion associated with tree cutting/felling.	Not required
<b>Principle 9.1 Landscape Modification and Soil</b>			
1. Does the Project involve the use of land and soil for production of crops or other products?	No	Not applicable	Not required

<b>Principle 9.2 Vulnerability to Natural Disaster</b>			
1. Will the Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to wind, earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding, drought or other extreme climatic conditions?	No	Not applicable	Not required
<b>Principle 9.3 Genetic Resources</b>			
1. Could the Project be negatively impacted by or involve genetically modified organisms or GMOs (e.g., contamination, collection and/or harvesting, commercial development, or take place in facilities or farms that include GMOs in their processes and production)?	No	Not applicable	Not required
<b>Principle 9.4 Release of pollutants</b>			
1. Could the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment?	No	Not applicable	Not required
<b>Principle 9.5 Hazardous and Non-hazardous Waste</b>			
1. Will the Project involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/ or use of hazardous and non-hazardous chemicals and/or materials?	No	Not applicable	Not required
<b>Principle 9.6 Pesticides &amp; Fertilisers</b>			

1. Will the Project involve the application of pesticides and/or fertilisers?	No	Not applicable	Not required
<b>Principle 9.7 Harvesting of Forests</b>			
1. Will the Project involve the harvesting of forests?	No	The VPA does not involve harvesting of forests. The VPA shall result in reduction in demand of biomass fuel in the region putting less pressure of forests for deforestation and will hence indirectly avoid erosion associated with tree cutting/felling.	Not required
<b>Principle 9.8 Food</b>			
1. Does the Project modify the quantity or nutritional quality of food available such as through crop regime alteration or export or economic incentives?	No	Not applicable	Not required
<b>Principle 9.9 Animal husbandry</b>			
1. Will the Project involve animal husbandry?	No	Not applicable	Not required
<b>Principle 9.10 High Conservation Value Areas and Critical Habitats</b>			

1. Does the Project physically affect or alter largely intact or High Conservation Value (HCV) ecosystems, critical habitats, landscapes, key biodiversity areas or sites identified?	No	Not applicable	Not required
<b>Principle 9.11 Endangered Species</b>			
Are there any endangered species identified as potentially being present within the Project boundary (including those that may route through the area)?			
AND/OR			
Does the Project potentially impact other areas where endangered species may be present through transboundary affects?	No	Not applicable	Not required

## APPENDIX 2- CONTACT INFORMATION OF VPA IMPLEMENTER

Organization name	Micro Energy Credits Corporation Private Limited
Registration number with relevant authority	<a href="#">CIN U74999MH2012PTC331308</a>
Street/P.O. Box	<del>75 SARASWATI ROAD, Near</del> <del>PODDAR HIGH SCHOOL, Saraswat Nagar, SV road,</del> <del>SANTACRUZ (WEST),</del> <del>INMain Santacruz West Varthur Road, Whitefield, India</del>
Building	<del>KINGS ACRES 22A Waterwoods A203, Business Suites 9</del>
City	<del>Mumbai City</del> <a href="#">Bangalore</a>
State/Region	<del>Mumbai, Maharashtra</del> <a href="#">Karnataka</a>
Postcode	<a href="#">4000054</a>
Country	India
Telephone	+1.206.274.6457 +91 9884273950
E-mail	april@microenergycredits.com
Website	<a href="#">www.microenergycredits.com</a>
Contact person	April Allderdice
Title	Ms.
Salutation	Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
Last name	Allderdice
Middle name	-
First name	April
Department	-



**TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA**

Mobile	-
Direct tel.	+1.206.274.6457
Personal e-mail	april@microenergycredits.com

Organization name	d.light Energy Private Limited
Registration number with relevant authority	
Street/P.O. Box	Unit no. 504-507, 5th Floor, Tower D JMD Megapolis building, Sohna Road – Sector 48 Gurgaon – 122002, Haryana India
Building	Tower D JMD Megapolis building
City	Gurgaon
State/Region	Haryana
Postcode	
Country	India
Telephone	+91-124-6651800
E-mail	<a href="mailto:kamal.lath@dlight.com">kamal.lath@dlight.com</a>
Website	<a href="http://www.dlight.com">www.dlight.com</a>
Contact person	Kamal Lath
Title	Mr
Salutation	-
Last name	Lath
Middle name	-
First name	Kamal
Department	-
Mobile	-

TEMPLATE- Transition Request Form - CPA

Direct tel.	+91-124-6651800
Personal e-mail	<a href="mailto:kamal.lath@dlight.com">kamal.lath@dlight.com</a>
Organization name	Shri Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project (SKDRDP)
Registration number with relevant authority	<a href="#">BLT-4-00083-2017-18</a>
Street/P.O. Box	Dharmashri Building, Dharmasthala – 574216, Belthangadi Block, Dakshina Kannada District
Building	Dharmashri Building
City	Dakshina Kannada District
State/Region	Karnataka
Postcode	574216
Country	India
Telephone	+91-8256-277215
E-mail	ed@skdrdpindia.org
Website	www.skdrdpindia.org
Contact person	Dr. L.H. Manjunath
Title	
Salutation	Dr
Last name	Manjunath
Middle name	-
First name	L.H.
Department	-
Mobile	-

Direct tel.	+91-8256-277215
Personal e-mail	ed@skdrdpindia.org

## APPENDIX 3-SUMMARY OF APPROVED DESIGN CHANGES

NA

### Revision History

Version	Date	Remarks
1.0	01/04/2021	Initial adoption